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## $\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

- The chromatic number of Euclidean space $\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the smallest number of colors needed to color every point of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, such that two points at distance apart 1 receive different colors.
- E. Nelson, 1950, introduced $\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
- Dimension 1 :

$$
\chi(\mathbb{R})=2
$$

- No other value is known!

$$
\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \leq 7
$$
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\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \leq 7
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$\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \leq 7$


$$
\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \geq 4
$$



Figure: The Moser's Spindle

The two inequalities:

$$
4 \leq \chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \leq 7
$$

where proved by Nelson and Isbell, 1950. No improvements since then...

## $\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

- Other dimensions: lower bounds based on

$$
\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \geq \chi(G)
$$

for all finite graph $G=(V, E)$ embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{n}\left(G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ i.e.
such that $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $E=\left\{(x, y) \in V^{2}:\|x-y\|=1\right\}$.

- De Bruijn and Erdös (1951):

$$
\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\max _{\substack{G \text { finite } \\ G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}}} \chi(G)
$$

- Good sequences of graphs: Raiski (1970), Larman and Rogers (1972), Frankl and Wilson (1981), Székely and Wormald (1989).


## $\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for large $n$

$$
(1.2+o(1))^{n} \leq \chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq(3+o(1))^{n}
$$

- Lower bound : Frankl and Wilson (1981). Use graphs with vertices in $\{0,1\}^{n}$ and the "linear algebra method" to estimate $\chi(G)$.
- FW $1.207^{n}$ is improved to $1.239^{n}$ by Raigorodskii (2000).
- Upper bound: Larman and Rogers (1972). Use Voronoï decomposition of lattice packings.


## $\chi_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

- The measurable chromatic number $\chi_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ : the color classes are required to be measurable.
- Obviously $\chi_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \geq \chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
- Falconer (1981): $\chi_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \geq n+3$. In particular

$$
\chi_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \geq 5
$$

- The color classes are measurable 1-avoiding sets, i.e. contain no pair of points at distance apart 1.


## $m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

$$
m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\sup \left\{\delta(S): S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, S \text { measurable, avoids } 1\right\}
$$ where $\delta(S)$ is the density of $S$ :

$$
\delta(S)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(S \cap B_{n}(r)\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(B_{n}(r)\right)}
$$



$$
\delta=1 / 7
$$

## $m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

- Obviously

$$
\chi_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

- Problem: to upper bound $m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
- Larman and Rogers (1972):

$$
m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha(G)}{|V|} \quad \text { for all } G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where $\alpha(G)$ is the independence number of the graph $G$ i.e. the max number of vertices pairwise not connected by an edge.

## Finite graphs

- An independence set of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a set of vertices pairwise not connected by an edge.
- The independence number $\alpha(G)$ of the graph is the number of elements of a maximal independent set.

- A 1-avoiding set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an independent set of the unit distance graph

$$
V=\mathbb{R}^{n} \quad E=\{(x, y):\|x-y\|=1\} .
$$

## 1-avoiding sets versus packings

$S$ avoids $d=1$
$\delta(S)=\lim \frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(S \cap B_{n}(r)\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(B_{n}(r)\right)}$
$m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\sup _{S} \delta(S) ?$
$S$ avoids $d \in] 0,2[$
$\delta(S)=\lim \frac{\left|S \cap B_{n}(r)\right|}{\operatorname{vol}\left(B_{n}(r)\right)}$
$\delta_{n}=\sup _{S} \delta(S) ?$

$S$ avoids $d=1$
$\delta(S)=\frac{|S|}{|V|}$
$\frac{\alpha(G)}{|V|}=\sup _{S} \delta(S) ?$

## The linear programming method

- A general method to obtain upper bounds for densities of distances avoiding sets.
- For packing problems: initiated by Delsarte, Goethels, Seidel on $S^{n-1}$ (1977); Kabatianskii and Levenshtein on compact 2-point homogeneous spaces (1978); Cohn and Elkies on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (2003).
- For finite graphs: Lovász theta number $\vartheta(G)$ (1979).
- For sets avoiding one distance: B, G. Nebe, F. Oliveira, F. Vallentin for $m\left(S^{n-1}, \theta\right)(2009)$. F. Oliveira and F. Vallentin for $m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)(2010)$.


## Lovász theta number

- The theta number $\vartheta(G)$ (L. Lovász, 1979) satisfies the Sandwich Theorem:

$$
\alpha(G) \leq \vartheta(G) \leq \chi(\bar{G})
$$

- It is the optimal value of a semidefinite program
- Idea: if $S$ is an independence set of $G$, consider the matrix

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{S}(x, y):=1_{S}(x) 1_{S}(y) /|S| . \\
B_{S} \succeq 0, B_{S}(x, y)=0 \text { if } x y \in E,|S|=\sum_{(x, y) \in V^{2}} B_{S}(x, y) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## $\vartheta(G)$

- Defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vartheta(G)=\max \left\{\sum_{(x, y) \in V^{2}} B(x, y) \quad: B \in \mathbb{R}^{V \times V}, B \succeq 0,\right. \\
& \sum_{x \in V} B(x, x)=1, \\
& B(x, y)=0 \quad x y \in E\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Proof of $\alpha(G) \leq \vartheta(G)$ : Let $S$ be an independent set.
$B_{S}(x, y)=\mathbf{1}_{S}(x) \mathbf{1}_{S}(y) /|S|$ satisfies the constraints of the above SDP. Thus

$$
\sum_{(x, y) \in V^{2}} B_{S}(x, y)=|S| \leq \vartheta(G)
$$

## $\vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

- Over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ : take $B(x, y)$ continuous, positive definite, i.e. for all $k$, for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\left(B\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \succeq 0$.
- Assume $B$ is translation invariant: $B(x, y)=f(x-y)$ (the graph itself is invariant by translation).
- Replace $\sum_{(x, y) \in V^{2}} B(x, y)$ by

$$
\delta(f):=\limsup _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(B_{n}(r)\right)} \int_{B_{n}(r)} f(z) d z .
$$

## $\vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

- Leads to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right):=\sup \{\delta(f): & f \in \mathcal{C}_{b}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), f \succeq 0 \\
& f(0)=1, \\
& f(x)=0 \quad\|x\|=1\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem
(Oliveira Vallentin 2010)

$$
m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq \vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

## The computation of $\vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

- Bochner characterization of positive definite functions:

$$
f \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), f \succeq 0 \Longleftrightarrow f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i x \cdot y} d \mu(y), \mu \geq 0 .
$$

- $f$ can be assumed to be radial i.e. invariant under $O\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ :

$$
f(x)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \Omega_{n}(t\|x\|) d \alpha(t), \alpha \geq 0
$$

where

$$
\Omega_{n}(t)=\Gamma(n / 2)(2 / t)^{(n / 2-1)} J_{n / 2-1}(t) .
$$

- Then take the dual program.


## The computation of $\vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

- Leads to:

$$
\vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\inf \begin{cases}z_{0}: & z_{0}+z_{1} \geq 1 \\ & \left.z_{0}+z_{1} \Omega_{n}(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } t>0\right\}\end{cases}
$$

- Explicitly solvable. For $n=4$, graphs of $\Omega_{4}(t)$ and of the optimal function $f_{4}^{*}(t)=z_{0}^{*}+z_{1}^{*} \Omega_{4}(t)$ :



The minimum of $\Omega_{n}(t)$ is reached at $j_{n / 2,1}$ the first zero of $J_{n / 2}$.

## The computation of $\vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

- We obtain

$$
f_{n}^{*}(t)=\frac{\Omega_{n}(t)-\Omega_{n}\left(j_{n / 2,1}\right)}{1-\Omega_{n}\left(j_{n / 2,1}\right)} \quad \vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\frac{-\Omega_{n}\left(j_{n / 2,1}\right)}{1-\Omega_{n}\left(j_{n / 2,1}\right)} .
$$

- Resulting upper bound for $m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)($ OV 2010):

$$
m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq \vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\frac{-\Omega_{n}\left(j_{n / 2,1}\right)}{1-\Omega_{n}\left(j_{n / 2,1}\right)}
$$

- Decreases exponentially but not as fast as Frankl Wilson Raigorodskii bound ( $1.165^{-n}$ instead of $1.239^{-n}$ ). A weaker bound, but with the same asymptotic, was obtained in BNOV 2009 through $m\left(S^{n-1}, \theta\right)$.


## $\vartheta_{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

- To summarize, we have seen two essentially different bounds:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha(G)}{|V|} & \text { with FW graphs and lin. alg. bound } \\
m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq \vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & \text { morally encodes } \vartheta(G) \text { for every } G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}
\end{array}
$$

- The former is the best asymptotic while the later improves the previous bounds in the range $3 \leq n \leq 24$.
- It is possible to combine the two methods, i.e to insert the constraint relative to a finite graph $G$ inside $\vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Joint work (in progress) with F. Oliveira and F. Vallentin.


## $\vartheta_{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

Let $G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, for $x_{i} \in V$, let $r_{i}:=\left\|x_{i}\right\|$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right):=\inf \left\{z_{0}+z_{2} \frac{\alpha(G)}{|V|}:\right. & z_{2} \geq 0 \\
& z_{0}+z_{1}+z_{2} \geq 1 \\
& z_{0}+z_{1} \Omega_{n}(t)+z_{2}\left(\frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{i=1}^{|V|} \Omega_{n}\left(r_{i} t\right)\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { for all } t>0\} \text {. }
$$

Theorem

$$
m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq \vartheta_{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq \vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

## Sketch of proof

- $\vartheta_{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq \vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is obvious: take $z_{2}=0$.
- Sketch proof of $m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq \vartheta_{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ : let $S$ a measurable set avoiding 1. Let

$$
f_{S}(x):=\frac{\delta\left(\mathbf{1}_{S-x} \mathbf{1}_{S}\right)}{\delta(S)}
$$

$f_{S}$ is continuous bounded, $f_{S} \succeq 0, f_{S}(0)=1, f_{S}(x)=0$ if $\|x\|=1$. Moreover $\delta\left(f_{S}\right)=\delta(S)$.

- Thus $f_{S}$ is feasible for $\vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, which proves that $\delta(S) \leq \vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.


## Sketch of proof

- If $V=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}\right\}$, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathbf{1}_{S-x_{i}}(y) \leq \alpha(G) .
$$

- Leads to the extra condition:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{M} f_{S}\left(x_{i}\right) \leq \alpha(G)
$$

- Design a linear program, apply Bochner theorem, symmetrize by $O\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, take the dual.


## $\vartheta_{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

- Bad knews: cannot be solved explicitly (we don't know how to)
- Challenge: to compute good feasible functions.
- First method: to sample an interval $[0, M]$, solve a finite LP, then adjust the optimal solution ( $\mathrm{OV}, \mathrm{G}=$ simplex).


Figure: $f_{4}^{*}(t)$ (blue) and $f_{4, G}^{*}(t)($ red $)$ for $G=$ simplex

## $\vartheta_{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

- Observation: the optimal has a zero at $y>j_{n / 2,1}$.
- Idea: to parametrize $f=z_{0}+z_{1} \Omega_{n}(t)+z_{2} \Omega_{n}(r t)$ with $y$ : $f(y)=f^{\prime}(y)=0, f(0)=1$ determines $f$.
- We solve for:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{0}+z_{1}+z_{2}=1 \\
z_{0}+z_{1} \Omega_{n}(y)+z_{2} \Omega_{n}(r y)=0 \\
z_{1} \Omega_{n}^{\prime}(y)+r z_{2} \Omega_{n}^{\prime}(r y)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Then, starting with $y=j_{n / 2,1}$, we move $y$ to the right until $f_{y}(t):=z_{0}(y)+z_{1}(y) \Omega_{n}(t)+z_{2}(y) \Omega_{n}(r t)$ takes negative values.


## Numerical results : upper bounds for $m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

| $n$ | previous | $\vartheta\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)[O V 2010]$ | $\vartheta_{\text {simplex }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)[O V 2010]$ | $\vartheta_{\mathrm{FW}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0.279069 | 0.287120 | 0.268412 |  |
| 3 | 0.187500 | 0.178466 | 0.165609 |  |
| 4 | 0.128000 | 0.116826 | 0.112937 |  |
| 5 | 0.0953947 | 0.0793346 | 0.0752845 |  |
| 6 | 0.0708129 | 0.0553734 | 0.0515709 |  |
| 7 | 0.0531136 | 0.0394820 | 0.0361271 |  |
| 8 | 0.0346096 | 0.0286356 | 0.0257971 |  |
| 9 | 0.0288215 | 0.0210611 | 0.0187324 |  |
| 10 | 0.0223483 | 0.0156717 | 0.0138079 |  |
| 11 | 0.0178932 | 0.0117771 | 0.0103166 |  |
| 12 | 0.0143759 | 0.00892554 | 0.00780322 |  |
| 13 | 0.0120332 | 0.00681436 | 0.00596811 |  |
| 14 | 0.00981770 | 0.00523614 | 0.00461051 |  |
| 15 | 0.00841374 | 0.00404638 | 0.00359372 | 0.00349172 |
| 16 | 0.00677838 | 0.00314283 | 0.00282332 | 0.00253343 |
| 17 | 0.00577854 | 0.00245212 | 0.00223324 | 0.00188025 |
| 18 | 0.00518111 | 0.00192105 | 0.00177663 | 0.00143383 |
| 19 | 0.00380311 | 0.00151057 | 0.00141992 | 0.00102386 |
| 20 | 0.00318213 | 0.001191806 | 0.00113876 | 0.000729883 |
| 21 | 0.00267706 | 0.000943209 | 0.00091531 | 0.000524659 |
| 22 | 0.00190205 | 0.000748582 | 0.00073636 | 0.000392892 |
| 23 | 0.00132755 | 0.000595665 | 0.00059204 | 0.000295352 |
| 24 | 0.00107286 | 0.000475128 | 0.00047489 | 0.000225128 |
| 25 |  | 0.000379829 |  | 0.000173756 |
| 26 |  | 0.000304278 |  | 0.000135634 |
| 27 |  | 0.000244227 | 0.000103665 |  |
| 28 |  | 0.000196383 |  | 0.0000725347 |
| 32 |  | 0.0000834258 |  | 0.0000105061037 |
| 36 |  | 0.00003621287 |  | 0.0000001300741693 |
| 44 |  | 0.0000014908331 |  |  |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |

## Numerical results: lower bounds for $\chi_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

| $n$ | previous | $\vartheta_{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ | $G$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 5 |  |  |
| 3 | 6 | 7 | Simplex |
| 4 | 8 | 9 |  |
| 5 | 11 | 14 |  |
| 6 | 15 | 20 |  |
| 7 | 19 | 28 |  |
| 8 | 30 | 39 |  |
| 9 | 35 | 54 |  |
| 10 | 48 | 73 |  |
| 11 | 64 | 97 |  |
| 12 | 85 | 129 |  |
| 13 | 113 | 168 |  |
| 14 | 147 | 217 |  |
| 15 | 191 | 287 | FW |
| 16 | 248 | 395 |  |
| 17 | 319 | 532 |  |
| 18 | 408 | 698 |  |
| 19 | 521 | 977 |  |
| 20 | 662 | 1371 |  |
| 21 | 839 | 1907 |  |
| 22 | 1060 | 2546 |  |
| 23 | 1336 | 3386 |  |
| 24 | 1679 | 4442 |  |

## Questions, comments

- Exponential behavior of $\vartheta_{F W}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ ?
- Further improvements for small dimensions: change the graph, consider several graphs. For $n=2$, several triangles lead to 0.268412 (OV); several Moser spindles to 0.262387 (F. Oliveira 2011).
- Can we reach $m_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)<0.25$ ? (conjectured by Erdös; would give another proof of $\left.\chi_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \geq 5\right)$.
- Applies to other spaces, e.g. $m\left(S^{n-1}, \theta\right)$ (BNOV 2009).
- In turn, a bound for $m_{1}(S(0, r))$ can replace a finite graph $G$ in $\vartheta_{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
- The Lovász theta method was successfuly adapted to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. What about the linear algebra method (Gil Kalai) ?

