

IMPRIMITIVE IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF FINITE QUASISIMPLE GROUPS

Gerhard Hiss

Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik
RWTH Aachen University

Group Theory and Computational Methods
ICTS-TIFR, Bangalore, 05 – 14 November 2016

CONTENTS

- ① Maximal subgroups of finite classical groups
 - The Aschbacher approach
 - C_2 -obstructions
 - The main objective

- ② On imprimitive representations of finite quasisimple groups
 - Characteristic 0
 - Positive characteristic
 - Reduction theorems
 - Lusztig series

PROLOGUE: A JOINT PROJECT

The following joint project with William J. Husen and Kay Magaard started back in 1999.

PROJECT

Classify the pairs $(G, G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V))$ such that

- 1 G is a finite *quasisimple* group,
- 2 V a finite dimensional vector space over a field $k = \bar{k}$,
- 3 $G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V)$ is absolutely irreducible and *imprimitive*.

EXPLANATIONS

- 1 G is quasisimple, if $G = G'$ and $G/Z(G)$ is simple.
- 2 $G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V)$ is imprimitive, if $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t$, $t > 1$, the action of G permuting the V_j .

Equivalently, $V \cong \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(V_1) := kG \otimes_{kH} V_1$ as kG -modules, where $H := \mathrm{Stab}_G(V_1)$.

THE FINITE CLASSICAL GROUPS

From now on, k is a finite field, V an n -dimensional k -vector space, and X a finite classical group on V .

To be more specific, $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ (i.e. $k = \mathbb{F}_q$), and

- 1 $X = \mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ ($n \geq 2$), or
- 2 $X = \mathrm{Sp}_n(q)$ ($n \geq 4$ even), or
- 3 $X = \Omega_n(q)$ ($n \geq 7$ odd), or
- 4 $X = \Omega_n^\pm(q)$ ($n \geq 8$ even), or

$V = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ (i.e. $k = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$), and

- 5 $X = \mathrm{SU}_n(q)$ ($n \geq 3$).

In Cases 2–5, the group X is the stabilizer of a non-degenerate form (symplectic, quadratic or hermitian) on V .

THE ASCHBACHER CLASSIFICATION

Let X be a finite classical group as above.

Overall objective: **Determine the maximal subgroups of X .**

Approach: Aschbacher's subgroup classification theorem.

There are nine classes of subgroups $\mathcal{C}_1(X), \dots, \mathcal{C}_8(X)$ and $\mathcal{S}(X)$ of X such that the following holds.

THEOREM (ASCHBACHER, '84)

Let $H \leq X$ be a maximal subgroup of X . Then

$$H \in \cup_{i=1}^8 \mathcal{C}_i(X) \cup \mathcal{S}(X).$$

But: An element in $\cup_{i=1}^8 \mathcal{C}_i(X) \cup \mathcal{S}(X)$ is not necessarily a maximal subgroup of X .

Kleidman-Liebeck and Bray-Holt-Roney-Dougal: Determine the maximal subgroups among the members of $\cup_{i=1}^8 \mathcal{C}_i(X)$ (amot).

THE CLASS $\mathcal{S}(X)$

Let $X \leq \mathrm{SL}(V)$ be a finite classical group as above, and $H \leq X$.

DEFINITION

$H \in \mathcal{S}(X)$, if $H = N_X(G)$, where $G \leq X$ is quasisimple, such that

- 1 $\varphi : G \rightarrow X \leq \mathrm{SL}(V)$ is absolutely irreducible, and
- 2 not realizable over a smaller field.

$[\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V)$ is **realizable over a smaller field**, if φ factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathrm{SL}(V) \\
 & \searrow \varphi_0 & \uparrow \nu \\
 & & \mathrm{SL}(V_0)
 \end{array}$$

for some proper subfield $k_0 \subsetneq k$, a k_0 -vector space V_0 with $V = k \otimes_{k_0} V_0$, and a representation $\varphi_0 : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V_0)$.]

THE STRUCTURE OF $H \in \mathcal{S}(X)$

Let $H = N_X(G) \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.

Put $Z := Z(X)$.

Then

$$C_X(G) = Z = C_X(H) = Z(H),$$

as $\varphi : G \rightarrow X$ is absolutely irreducible.

Also, $H/ZG \leq \text{Out}(G)$ is solvable by Schreier's conjecture.

Hence $F^*(H) = ZG$ and thus $G = F^*(H)' = H^\infty$.

Moreover, H/Z is **almost simple**, i.e. there is a nonabelian simple group S such that H/Z fits into a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow H/Z \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow 1$$

ON THE MAXIMALITY OF THE ELEMENTS OF $\mathcal{S}(X)$

Let $H = N_X(G) \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.

QUESTION

Is H a maximal subgroup of X ?

If not, there is a maximal subgroup K of X with

$$H \not\leq K \not\leq X.$$

By the definition of the classes $\mathcal{C}_i(X)$ and $\mathcal{S}(X)$, we have

$$K \in \mathcal{C}_2(X) \cup \mathcal{C}_4(X) \cup \mathcal{C}_7(X) \cup \mathcal{S}(X).$$

In this talk we investigate the possibility $K \in \mathcal{C}_2(X)$, which we call a *\mathcal{C}_2 -obstruction* to the maximality of H .

THE SUBGROUP CLASS $\mathcal{C}_2(X)'$

Let $H \leq X$. We say that $H \in \mathcal{C}_2(X)'$, if

$$V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t \tag{1}$$

such that

- (a) H permutes the set $\{V_1, \dots, V_t\}$;
- (b) $t \geq 2$;
- (c) if $X \neq \mathrm{SL}_n(q)$, then either
 - the V_i are non-degenerate and pairwise orthogonal, or
 - $t = 2$ and V_1, V_2 are totally singular.

In particular, if $H \in \mathcal{C}_2(X)'$, then $\varphi : H \rightarrow X$ is imprimitive.

The group H belongs to $\mathcal{C}_2(X)$, if H is the **full** stabilizer of a decomposition (1) satisfying (a)–(c).

THE C_2 -OBSTRUCTION

Let $H = N_X(G) \in \mathcal{S}(X)$. (Recall that $G = H^\infty$.)

PROPOSITION

There exists $H \not\leq K \not\leq X$ with $K \in \mathcal{C}_2(X)$ if and only if $H \in \mathcal{C}_2(X)'$.

Proof. The *only if* direction is trivial.

Suppose that $H \in \mathcal{C}_2(X)'$, stabilizing a decomposition (1) satisfying (a) – (c).

Let K denote the stabilizer in X of this decomposition.

Then K^∞ does not act absolutely irreducibly on V (by the explicit description of K in all cases for X).

In particular, $H \not\leq K$. □

If $H \in \mathcal{C}_2(X)'$, then $G \in \mathcal{C}_2(X)'$, and $\varphi : G \rightarrow X$ is imprimitive.

AN EXAMPLE: THE MATHIEU GROUP M_{11}

Let X be a finite classical group.

Let $\varphi : M_{11} \rightarrow X$ be absolutely irreducible, faithful, and not realizable over a smaller field. (All such (φ, X) are known.)

Put $G := \varphi(M_{11})$. Then $N_X(G) = Z(X) \times G$.

Is $Z(X) \times G$ maximal in X ?

NO, except for $\varphi : M_{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_5(3)$.

EXAMPLES

(1) $M_{11} \rightarrow A_{11} \rightarrow \Omega_{10}^+(3)$ (*S-obstruction*).

(2) $M_{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{55}(\ell)$ is imprimitive, $\ell \geq 5$ (C_2 -obstruction).

(3) Also: $M_{11} \rightarrow M_{12} \rightarrow A_{12} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{11}(\ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{55}(\ell)$, $\ell \geq 5$.

(4) $M_{11} \rightarrow 2.M_{12} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{10}(3)$ (*S-obstruction*).

(5) $M_{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_5(3) \rightarrow \Omega_{24}^-(3)$ (*S-obstruction*).

What about $\varphi : M \rightarrow \Omega_{196882}^-(2)$? (M : Monster)

THE MAIN OBJECTIVE

To determine the triples (G, k, V) allowing a \mathcal{C}_2 -obstruction, we plan to perform the following steps.

OBJECTIVE (THE H.-HUSEN-MAGAARD PROJECT)

Determine all triples $(G, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{V})$ such that

- *G is a finite quasisimple group,*
- *\mathbf{k} is an algebraically closed field,*
- *\mathbf{V} is an irreducible, imprimitive $\mathbf{k}G$ -module,*
- *faithfully representing G .*

The case $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ is included as a model for the desired classification; it has provided most of the ideas for an approach to the general case.

THE FINITE QUASISIMPLE GROUPS

THEOREM

A finite quasisimple group is one of

- 1 *a covering group of a sporadic simple group;*
- 2 *a covering group of an alternating group A_n , $n \geq 5$;*
- 3 *an exceptional covering group of a simple finite reductive group or the Tits simple group;*
- 4 *a quotient of a quasisimple finite reductive group.*

FINITE REDUCTIVE GROUPS

Let \mathbf{G} denote a reductive algebraic group over \mathbb{F} , the algebraic closure of the prime field \mathbb{F}_p .

Let F denote a Steinberg morphism of \mathbf{G} .

Then $G := \mathbf{G}^F$ is a **finite reductive group of characteristic p** .

An F -stable Levi subgroup \mathbf{L} of \mathbf{G} is **split**, if \mathbf{L} is a Levi complement in an F -stable parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{G} .

Such a pair (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{P}) gives rise to a parabolic subgroup $P = \mathbf{P}^F$ of G with Levi complement $L = \mathbf{L}^F$.

THE CHARACTERISTIC 0 CASE

THEOREM

The triples $(G, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{V})$ of the main objective, i.e.

- *G is a finite quasisimple group,*
- *\mathbf{k} is an algebraically closed field,*
- *\mathbf{V} is an irreducible, imprimitive $\mathbf{k}G$ -module,*

are known if $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$.

Here are some references:

- 1 sporadic groups [H.-Husen-Magaard, '15]
- 2 alternating [Djoković-Malzan, '76; Nett-Noeske, '11]
- 3 exceptional covering and Tits [H.-Husen-Magaard, '15]
- 4 finite reductive groups [H.-Husen-Magaard, '15'; H.-Magaard, '16+]

REDUCTIVE GROUPS IN DEFINING CHARACTERISTICS

The following result of Seitz contains the classification for finite reductive groups in defining characteristic.

THEOREM (GARY SEITZ, '88)

Let G be a quasisimple finite reductive group over \mathbb{F} .

Suppose that \mathbf{V} is an irreducible, imprimitive $\mathbb{F}G$ -module.

Then G is one of

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(5), \mathrm{SL}_2(7), \mathrm{SL}_3(2), \mathrm{Sp}_4(3),$$

and \mathbf{V} is the Steinberg module.

Thus it remains to study finite reductive groups in non-defining characteristics.

THE POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC CASE

THEOREM (H.-HUSEN-MAGAARD, '15)

The triples $(G, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{V})$ of the main objective, i.e.

- *G is a finite quasisimple group,*
- *\mathbf{k} is an algebraically closed field,*
- *\mathbf{V} is an irreducible, imprimitive $\mathbf{k}G$ -module,*

are known if

- *G is sporadic;*
- *G is an exceptional covering group of a finite reductive group or the Tits simple group;*
- *G is a Suzuki or Ree group, $G = G_2(q)$, or G is a Steinberg triality group.*

It remains to consider alternating groups or finite reductive groups in case $p \neq \text{char}(\mathbf{k}) > 0$.

THE MAIN REDUCTION THEOREM

Let G be a quasisimple finite reductive group of characteristic p .

Suppose that G

- 1 does not have an exceptional Schur multiplier,
- 2 is not isomorphic to a finite reductive group of a different characteristic.

Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field with $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq p$.

THEOREM (H.-HUSEN-MAGAARD, '15)

Let G and \mathbf{k} be as above. Let $H \leq G$ be a maximal subgroup. Suppose that $\text{Ind}_H^G(V_1)$ is irreducible for some $\mathbf{k}H$ -module V_1 .

Then $H = P$ is a parabolic subgroup of G .

PARABOLIC INDUCTION

Let G be a quasisimple finite reductive group of characteristic p , and let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field with $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq p$.

According to our main reduction theorem, we may restrict our investigation to parabolic subgroups.

PROPOSITION (H.-HUSEN-MAGAARD, '15)

Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with unipotent radical U .

Let V_1 be a $\mathbf{k}P$ -module such that $\text{Ind}_P^G(V_1)$ is irreducible.

Then U is in the kernel of V_1 .

*In other words, $\text{Ind}_P^G(V_1)$ is *Harish-Chandra induced*.*

This allows to apply Harish-Chandra theory to our classification problem, reducing certain aspects to Weyl groups or Iwahori-Hecke algebras.

LUSZTIG SERIES

Let $G = \mathbf{G}^F$ be a finite reductive group.

Let $G^* = \mathbf{G}^{*F}$ denote a dual reductive group.

We have

$$\text{Irr}(G) = \bigcup_{[s]} \mathcal{E}(G, [s]),$$

a disjoint union into **rational Lusztig series** ($[s]$ runs through the G^* -conjugacy classes of semisimple elements of G^*).

THEOREM (LUSZTIG, H.-HUSEN-MAGAARD, '15)

(a) If $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s) \leq \mathbf{L}^*$, where $\mathbf{L}^* \leq \mathbf{G}^*$ is a split Levi subgroup, then every $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ is Harish-Chandra induced from L .

(b) Suppose that $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$ is connected and **not** contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G}^* .

Then every element of $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ is Harish-Chandra primitive.

In particular, the elements of $\mathcal{E}(G, [1])$ are HC-primitive.

NON-CONNECTED CENTRALIZERS

Write $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s)$ for the connected component of $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$.
 Lusztig's generalized Jordan decomposition: There is an equivalence relation \sim on $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ and a bijection

$$\mathcal{E}(G, [s])/\sim \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s)^F, [1])/\approx, \quad [\chi] \mapsto [\lambda],$$

where \approx denotes $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^F$ -orbits on $\mathcal{E}(C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s)^F, [1])$.

THEOREM (H.-MAGAARD, '16+)

Let $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s)^F, [1])$ with $[\chi] \mapsto [\lambda]$.

(a) If

$$C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)_{\lambda}^F C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^{\circ}(s) \leq \mathbf{L}^*, \quad (2)$$

($\mathbf{L}^* \leq \mathbf{G}^*$ split Levi), then χ is Harish-Chandra induced from L .

(b) Suppose that \mathbf{G} is simple and simply connected.

If χ is Harish-Chandra imprimitive,

there is a proper split F -stable Levi subgroup \mathbf{L}^* of \mathbf{G}^* such that Condition (2) is satisfied.

THE CASE OF $SL_n(q)$

Let $G = GL_n(q)$. Then $G^* = G$. Let $s \in G$ be semisimple.

We may write $s = s_1 \oplus s_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus s_e$ with $EV(s_i) \cap EV(s_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ (where $EV(s_i) =$ multiset of eigenvalues of s_i). Then

$$C_G(s) = GL_{n_1}(q^{d_1}) \times GL_{n_2}(q^{d_2}) \times \cdots \times GL_{n_e}(q^{d_e}).$$

We have $\mathcal{E}(G, [s]) = \{\chi_{s,\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{E}(C_G(s), [1])\}$, and
 $\mathcal{E}(C_G(s), [1]) \leftrightarrow \{(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_e) \mid \pi_i \vdash n_i, 1 \leq i \leq e\}$.

THEOREM (H.-MAGAARD '16+)

Let $\chi := \chi_{s,\lambda} \in \mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ with $\lambda \leftrightarrow (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_e)$.

Let χ' be any constituent of $\text{Res}_{SL_n(q)}^G(\chi)$.

Then χ' is Harish-Chandra primitive, if and only if

$n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_e$, $d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_e$, $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \cdots = \pi_e$,
 and $EV(s_i) = \alpha^i EV(s_1)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Thank you for listening!