

Arithmetical properties of idempotents in group algebras

Max NEUNHÖFFER

Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik, Templergraben 64, 52062 Aachen, Allemagne

E-mail: max.neunhoeffer@math.rwth-aachen.de

Abstract. We consider a finite group G and a Dedekind ring A of characteristic 0. Let $\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$ be a prime ideal of A . Then the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of A at $\mathfrak{p}$ is a discrete valuation ring. The idempotents in the group algebra $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ play a fundamental rôle in the study of projective modules for G . In this Note we show that for every idempotent $\tilde{e} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ there is an idempotent e and a positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, such that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}Ge = A_{\mathfrak{p}}G\tilde{e}$ and $|G|^n e \in AG$.

Propriétés arithmétiques d'idempotents d'algèbres de groupes

Résumé. Soit G un groupe fini, A un anneau de Dedekind de caractéristique 0, et $\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$ un idéal premier de A . Alors la localisation $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ est un anneau de valuation discrète. Les idempotents dans l'algèbre du groupe $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ jouent un rôle fondamental dans l'étude des modules projectifs pour G . Dans cette note, nous démontrons que pour chaque idempotent $\tilde{e} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ il existe un idempotent e et un $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ tel que $A_{\mathfrak{p}}Ge = A_{\mathfrak{p}}G\tilde{e}$ et $|G|^n e \in AG$.

Version française abrégée

Soit G un groupe fini, A un anneau de Dedekind de caractéristique 0, et K son corps des fractions. On se fixe un idéal premier $\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$ de A . Alors la localisation $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ est un anneau de valuation discrète, dont le corps résiduel est de caractéristique $p > 0$. On considère la partie

$$T := A \setminus \left(\mathfrak{p} \cup \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{q} \right)$$

de A , où $\mathfrak{q}$ parcourt l'ensemble de tous les idéaux premiers de A tels $|G| \notin \mathfrak{q}$. L'ensemble T est clos par multiplication et on peut donc définir l'anneau des quotients $R := T^{-1}A \subseteq K$.

Remarque 1. – Tout élément de R est de la forme a/d avec $a \in A$ et $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, où d n'est divisible que par des nombres premiers qui divisent l'ordre du groupe G . Autrement dit, pour tout $x \in R$ il existe un $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ tel que $|G|^n x \in A$, où $|G|$ désigne l'ordre du groupe G .

Remarque 2. – On a $A \subseteq R \subseteq A_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq K$, et $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ est aussi la localisation de R dans l'idéal premier de R engendré par $\mathfrak{p}$.

Les résultats principaux de cette Note sont des conséquences du théorème suivant. Remarquons d'abord qu'un R -module de type fini qui est sans torsion est toujours projectif. C'est une conséquence du fait que R — comme localisation d'un anneau de Dedekind — est aussi un anneau de Dedekind (voir [2], Theorem 10.4).

THÉORÈME 1. – *Soit M un RG -module de type fini qui est projectif comme R -module. Alors M est indécomposable si et seulement si le $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ -module $M_{\mathfrak{p}} := A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R M$ est indécomposable.*

En effet, si M est décomposable, alors il est clair que $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ est aussi décomposable. Supposons maintenant que $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ est décomposable : cela implique qu'il existe une suite exacte

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

où $M_1 \neq 0$ et $M_2 \neq 0$ sont des RG -modules de type fini et sans torsion, tels que la suite exacte

$$0 \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R M_1 \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R M \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R M_2 \rightarrow 0$$

est scindée. Afin de montrer que $(*)$ est aussi scindée, on utilise le principe du “passage du local au global” : la suite $(*)$ est scindée si et seulement si, pour tout idéal premier $\mathfrak{q}$ de R , la suite

$$(**) \quad 0 \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_R M_1 \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_R M \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_R M_2 \rightarrow 0$$

est scindée. Une version généralisée du théorème de Maschke (*voir* [1], (25.12)) montre qu'il suffit de considérer les idéaux premiers $\mathfrak{q} \subset R$ tels que $|G| \in \mathfrak{q}$. Or, par la construction de R (*voir* remarque 1), il y a au plus un idéal premier de R qui contient $|G|$: c'est l'idéal engendré par $\mathfrak{p}$. Dans ce cas-là, la suite $(**)$ est scindée par hypothèse, ce qui démontre le théorème 1.

En appliquant le théorème 1 aux modules de la forme RGe , où $e \in RG$ est un idempotent, on obtient le corollaire suivant :

COROLLAIRE. – *Un idempotent $e \in RG$ est primitif si et seulement si e est primitif vu comme idempotent dans $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$. De plus, pour tout idempotent $\tilde{e} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ il existe un idempotent $e \in RG$ et un $n \in \mathbf{N}^*$ tel que $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G\tilde{e} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}Ge$ et $|G|^n e \in AG$.*

En particulier, si on a $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_r$ où $e_1, \dots, e_r$ sont des idempotents primitifs dans RG qui sont deux à deux orthogonaux, alors la même chose est vraie dans $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$.

Les idempotents jouent un rôle fondamental dans l'étude des modules projectifs de $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G$, où $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ est la complétion de $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$: tout $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ -module projectif et indécomposable est isomorphe à un module de la forme $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G\hat{e}$, où $\hat{e} \in \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ est un idempotent primitif (*voir* [1], (6.17)).

PROPOSITION. – *Supposons K assez gros pour que KG soit déployée. Alors tout $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ -module projectif et indécomposable est isomorphe à un module de la forme $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}Ge$, où e est un idempotent tel que $|G|^n e \in AG$ pour un $n \in \mathbf{N}^*$.*

En effet, soit k le corps résiduel de $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Comme KG est déployé, tout idempotent de kG peut être relevé pas seulement à un idempotent dans $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ mais à un idempotent dans $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ (*voir* [1], Ex. 6.16). Donc, tout $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ -module projectif et indécomposable est isomorphe à un module de la forme $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G\tilde{e}$, où $\tilde{e} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ est un idempotent primitif. Il reste à utiliser le corollaire et la remarque 1.

1. Introduction

Idempotents in the group algebra of a finite group G over a ring of p -adic integers play a fundamental rôle in the study of modular representations of G . In this Note we are concerned with the problem of obtaining arithmetical conditions on the coefficients of such an idempotent when expressed as a linear combination of group elements. Our main results are statements about the denominators in these coefficients: each equivalence class of idempotents (under conjugation in the

group algebra) always contains a representative in which the only prime divisors of the denominators are primes which divide the order of G . This is established as a consequence of a more general result about the behaviour of indecomposable RG -lattices under scalar extension from R to a ring of p -adic integers, where R is a certain non-local ring. The proof uses a local-global principle and some properties of Dedekind rings and maximal orders. The results about idempotents in group algebras over Dedekind domains answer a question of Meinolf Geck (posed in the problem book in Oberwolfach in 1992) positively.

2. The Main Theorem

Let G be a finite group, A a Dedekind ring of characteristic 0, and K its field of fractions. We fix a non-zero prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A . Since A is Dedekind, the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a discrete valuation ring, with residue field of characteristic $p > 0$, say. We consider the following subset of A :

$$T := A \setminus \left(\mathfrak{p} \cup \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{q} \right),$$

where $\mathfrak{q}$ runs over all prime ideals of A such that $|G| \notin \mathfrak{q}$. The set T is multiplicatively closed and so we can form the ring of quotients $R := T^{-1}A \subseteq K$.

Remark 1. – Every element of R is of the form a/d with $a \in A$ and $d \in \mathbf{N}^*$, where d is only divisible by prime numbers which divide the order of G . In other words, for each $x \in R$ there exists an $n \in \mathbf{N}^*$ such that $|G|^n x \in A$, where $|G|$ denotes the order of G .

This is seen as follows: by definition, every element of R is of the form a/t with $a \in A$ and $t \in T$. Since A is Dedekind, the principal ideal $|G|A$ can be written in an essentially unique way as a product of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_j$ in A :

$$|G|A = \mathfrak{p}_1^{i_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{i_m} \quad (i_k > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m).$$

We now conclude from the construction of T that the principal ideal tA decomposes into a product of prime ideals, such that only prime ideals dividing $|G|$ occur, so renumbering yields for some $l \leq m$:

$$tA = \mathfrak{p}_1^{j_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_l^{j_l} \quad (j_k > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq l).$$

This means that there is some exponent $n > 0$ such that $|G|^n A \subseteq tA$. But then $|G|^n \in tA$ and so there is a $g \in A$ with $|G|^n = tg$. Hence we have $a/t = (ag)/(|G|^n)$. $\square$

Remark 2. – We have $A \subseteq R \subseteq A_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq K$, and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is also the localization of R at the prime ideal of R generated by $\mathfrak{p}$.

Note that R as ring of quotients of a Dedekind ring is also Dedekind (see for example Theorem 10.4(1) in [2]). Therefore a finitely generated R -module M is projective if and only if it is torsion free. An RG -lattice is a finitely generated R -projective RG -module.

THEOREM 1. – *An RG -lattice M is indecomposable if and only if the $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ -lattice $M_{\mathfrak{p}} := A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R M$ obtained by localization at $\mathfrak{p}$ is indecomposable.*

Proof:

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” is clear: From $M = M' \oplus M''$ as RG -lattices, it follows, that $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = M'_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus M''_{\mathfrak{p}}$, because localization preserves direct sums.

“ $\Rightarrow$ ”: for this direction we have to show that if $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is decomposable, also M itself is decomposable. More explicitly: If $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \tilde{M}' \oplus \tilde{M}''$ with two non-trivial $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ -submodules $\tilde{M}'$ and $\tilde{M}''$, then M is a direct sum of two proper submodules.

So let $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \tilde{M}' \oplus \tilde{M}''$. Because M is R -torsion free as RG -lattice, the map

$$M \hookrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad m \mapsto m/1$$

is an embedding. Invoking Proposition (23.12) in [1] we get an RG -submodule M' of M with $M' = M \cap \tilde{M}'$ and $\tilde{M}' = M'_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We consider the following short exact sequence of RG -lattices (note that M/M' is R -torsion free because $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\tilde{M}'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -torsion free and M' is the intersection of $\tilde{M}'$ and M):

$$0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/M' \longrightarrow 0 \quad (*)$$

and its localization at $\mathfrak{p}$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{M}' \longrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow (M/M')_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow 0$$

As $\tilde{M}'$ is, by hypothesis, a direct summand of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the latter sequence splits.

We now use Proposition (4.2.iii) in [1] which states, that $(*)$ splits if and only if all its localizations at prime ideals of R split. A generalized version of Maschke's theorem (*see* [1], (25.12)) shows that we have $|G| \cdot \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}G}^1((M/M')_{\mathfrak{q}}, M'_{\mathfrak{q}}) = 0$ for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{q}$ of R . Thus, we only need to consider those prime ideals $\mathfrak{q}$ such that $|G| \in \mathfrak{q}$. But, by the construction of R (cf. Remark 1), there is at most one such prime ideal of R , namely, the prime ideal generated by $\mathfrak{p}$. In this case, the localization of $(*)$ splits by assumption. So $(*)$ is split and the theorem is proved. $\square$

3. Application to idempotents

We use the same notations as in the last section. An idempotent e in the group algebra RG generates a left ideal RGe which is, as a left RG -module, a direct summand of the left regular RG -module and therefore RG -projective. Being also R -projective, it is an RG -lattice. Conversely, every direct summand of the left regular RG -module is generated by an idempotent. So a direct summand of RG is indecomposable if and only if the corresponding idempotent is primitive. Thus we have the following corollary:

COROLLARY 1. – *An idempotent $e \in RG$ is primitive if and only if it is primitive as idempotent in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$.*

But the situation is even better: If $\tilde{e}$ is an idempotent in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ we can apply the same kind of argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 to the left regular $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ -module $\tilde{M} := A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$. That module is the localization of the left regular RG -module $M := RG$ and it has a direct summand $\tilde{M}' := A_{\mathfrak{p}}G\tilde{e}$. Again by using Proposition (23.12) in [1], we get a submodule M' of RG with $M' = M \cap \tilde{M}' = RG \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}G\tilde{e}$ and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G\tilde{e} = M'_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/M' \longrightarrow 0$ splits by the same argument as in the theorem, showing that M' is a direct summand of $M = RG$. So there must be an idempotent $e \in RG$ such that $RGe = M'$. Because $M' = RG \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}G\tilde{e}$, we have $e \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}G\tilde{e}$ and therefore $M' = A_{\mathfrak{p}}Ge$. Invoking Remark 1 we conclude that there is a natural number n such that $|G|^n e \in AG$. We have thus proved:

COROLLARY 2. – *Let $\tilde{e}$ be an idempotent in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$. Then there is an idempotent e in RG and a natural number n such that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G\tilde{e} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}Ge$ and $|G|^n e \in AG$.*

In particular, if we consider a decomposition of 1 into a sum of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_r$ in RG (existence is clear because R is noetherian), we conclude from Corollary 1 that this is also a decomposition of 1 into pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents for $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$.

Idempotents play a fundamental rôle in the study of projective $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ -modules, where $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denotes the completion of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Indeed, every projective indecomposable $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ -module is isomorphic to a module of the form $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G\hat{e}$, where $\hat{e} \in \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ is a primitive idempotent (see [1], (6.17)).

PROPOSITION. – *Assume that K is a splitting field for G and let $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the completion of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then every projective indecomposable $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ -module is isomorphic to a module of the form $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}Ge$, where e is an idempotent such that $|G|^ne \in AG$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}^*$.*

Indeed, let k be the residue field of $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since KG is split semisimple, we can lift idempotents from kG to $A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ (see [1], Ex. 6.16). So every projective indecomposable $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ -module is isomorphic to a module of the form $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}G\tilde{e}$, where $\tilde{e} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}G$ is a primitive idempotent. It remains to use Corollary 2 and Remark 1.

Acknowledgements. I thank Meinolf Geck for his excellent suggestions which helped to improve the exposition of this note.

References

- [1] Curtis, Charles W., Reiner, Irving, Methods of Representation Theory, volume I, John Wiley & Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, Singapore, 1981.
- [2] Jacobson, Nathan, Basic Algebra, volume II, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1989.