

Finding normal
subgroups of even
order

Max Neunhöffner

Motivation

Finding normal
subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm

Involution centralisers

Done?

Recognising a proper
normal subgroup

Finding normal
subgroups in
action

What can go
wrong?

Finding normal subgroups of even order

Max Neunhöffner



University of St Andrews

Bath, 7.8.2009

The problem

Problem

Let $1 < N \triangleleft G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ be a *finite group* and N be a *normal subgroup*.

Produce a non-trivial element of N *as a word in the g_i* with “*high probability*”.

- Assume **no more knowledge** about G or N .
- We are looking for a **randomised algorithm**.
- Assume we can generate **uniformly distributed random elements** in G .
- “High probability” means **for the moment** “higher than $1/[G : N]$ ”.
- Assume that we can **compute in the group** and can **compute element orders**.

Finding even order normal subgroups

Theorem

Let $1 < N \trianglelefteq G$ with $2 \mid |N|$.

Let $1 \neq x \in G \setminus Z(G)$ with $x^2 = 1$.

Then, for $C := C_G(x)$, we have:

- $1 < C \cap N \trianglelefteq C$ and
- $2 \mid |C \cap N|$.

Proof: We have $xNx = N$ and $|N|$ is even. The orbits of $\langle x \rangle$ on N have lengths 1 and 2, so there must be an **even number of orbits of length 1**. ■

In particular, **$C \cap N$ contains an involution**.

That is, we can **replace** (N, G) **with** $(C \cap N, C)$ and use the statement again, provided we find another non-central involution.

Finding $N \triangleleft G$

We want to **find** an N with $1 < N \trianglelefteq G$ and $2 \mid |N|$, or **conclude** that **there is none**.

We can proceed as follows: Initialise $H := G$. Then

- 1 **Find** a **non-central involution** $x \in H$. If none found, goto 4.
- 2 **Compute** its involution centraliser $C := C_H(x)$.
- 3 **Replace** H with C and goto 1.
- 4 Let D be the group generated by all central involutions we found.
- 5 For all $1 \neq x \in D$: **Test** if $\langle x^G \rangle \neq G$.
- 6 If no normal closure is properly contained, conclude that G does not contain such an $|N|$ as assumed.

We find involutions by powering up random elements.

Involution centralisers

How can we compute the centraliser of an involution?

The following method by John Bray does the job:

Algorithm: INVOLUTIONCENTRALISER

Input: $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ and an involution $x \in G$.

initialise $gens := [x]$

repeat

$y := \text{RANDOMELEMENT}(G)$

$c := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ **and** $o := \text{ORDER}(c)$

if o **is even** **then**

append $c^{o/2}$ and $(x^{-1}yxy^{-1})^{o/2}$ to $gens$

else

append $z := y \cdot c^{(o-1)/2}$ to $gens$

until o was odd often enough **or** $gens$ long enough

return $gens$

Note: If $xy = yx$ then $c = 1_G$ and $o = 1$ and $z = y$.

And: **If** o **is odd**, then z is **uniformly distributed** in $C_G(x)$.

Finding $N \triangleleft G$

We want to **find** an N with $1 < N \trianglelefteq G$ and $2 \mid |N|$, or **conclude** that **there is none**.

We can proceed as follows: Initialise $H := G$. Then

- 1 Find a **non-central involution** $x \in H$. If none found, goto 4.
- 2 **Compute** its involution centraliser $C := C_H(x)$.
- 3 **Replace** H with C and goto 1.
- 4 Let D be the group generated by all central involutions we found.
- 5 For all $1 \neq x \in D$: **Test** if $\langle x^G \rangle \neq G$.
- 6 If no normal closure is properly contained, conclude that G does not contain such an $|N|$ as assumed.

How do we test if we have a proper normal subgroup?

Testing for a proper normal subgroup

The following method by Charles Leedham-Green estimates the order of $gN \in G/N$:

Algorithm: ESTIMATEORDER

```
Input:  $g \in G$  and a  $N = \langle n_1, \dots, n_m \rangle \trianglelefteq G$ .  
initialise  $o := \text{ORDER}(g)$   
for  $i := 1$  to 20 do  
   $y := \text{RANDOMELEMENT}(N)$   
   $o := \text{GCD}(o, \text{ORDER}(yg))$   
  if  $o = 1$  then  
    return 1  
return  $o$ 
```

This is a one-sided Monte Carlo algorithm.

We estimate all orders $g_iN \in G/N$ to decide $G = N$.

The method in action

We look at the following examples:

- $S_{30} \wr S_7 < S_{210}$ (imprimitive action)
- 3rd maximal subgroup of M_{24} on 24 points: $2^4 : A_8$
- 5th maximal subgroup of M_{24} on 24 points: $2^6 : 3.S_6$
- Double cover $2.Suz$ of the sporadic Suzuki group
- $Sp(6, 2) \wr S_6 < GL(36, 2)$ (imprimitive)
- $SL(6, 3) \circ M_{12} < GL(10, 3)$ in $GL(60, 3)$ (tensor decomposable)

What can go wrong?

Actually, **lots of things!**

- We could have **trouble** to find **elements of even order**.
- An **order computation** could take **unpleasantly long**.
- There could be **no non-central involutions**.
- There could be **extremely many central involutions**.
- We could get an **involution centraliser wrong**.
- We could get a **normal closure wrong**.
- We could get an **order estimate wrong**.
- G might **not have** an **even order normal subgroup**.