

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's $P1$ to $P15$

Statements $Q1$ to $Q15$

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

q -Schur algebras, Wedderburn decomposition and James' conjecture

Max Neunhöffer



University of St Andrews

Oxford, 6 November 2008

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's $P1$ to $P15$

Statements $Q1$ to $Q15$

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

All this is joint work with

Olivier Brunat

(Bochum)

Iwahori-Hecke-Algebras of type A

Let $W := S_r$ and S its Coxeter generators.

Let R be a commutative ring, and $v \in R^\times$.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P1** to **P15**

Statements **Q1** to **Q15**

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P**1 to **P**15

Statements **Q**1 to **Q**15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Iwahori-Hecke-Algebras of type A

Let $W := S_r$ and S its Coxeter generators.

Let R be a commutative ring, and $v \in R^\times$.

The Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_W(R, v)$ is the **R -free**
 R -algebra with R -basis $(T_w)_{w \in W}$ satisfying

Iwahori-Hecke-Algebras of type A

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_{us} Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Let $W := S_r$ and S its Coxeter generators.

Let R be a commutative ring, and $v \in R^\times$.

The Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_W(R, v)$ is the R -free R -algebra with R -basis $(T_w)_{w \in W}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} T_w T_{w'} &= T_{ww'} && \text{if } l(ww') = l(w) + l(w'), \\ (T_s - v)(T_s + v^{-1}) &= 0 && \text{for } s \in S, \end{aligned}$$

where l is the length function on W .

Iwahori-Hecke-Algebras of type A

Let $W := S_r$ and S its Coxeter generators.

Let R be a commutative ring, and $v \in R^\times$.

The Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_W(R, v)$ is the R -free R -algebra with R -basis $(T_w)_{w \in W}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} T_w T_{w'} &= T_{ww'} && \text{if } l(ww') = l(w) + l(w'), \\ (T_s - v)(T_s + v^{-1}) &= 0 && \text{for } s \in S, \end{aligned}$$

where l is the length function on W .

A ring homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow R'$ induces another one:

$$\mathcal{H}_W(R, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_W(R', \varphi(v))$$

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_{us}

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Iwahori-Hecke-Algebras of type A

Let $W := S_r$ and S its Coxeter generators.

Let R be a commutative ring, and $v \in R^\times$.

The Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_W(R, v)$ is the R -free R -algebra with R -basis $(T_w)_{w \in W}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} T_w T_{w'} &= T_{ww'} && \text{if } l(ww') = l(w) + l(w'), \\ (T_s - v)(T_s + v^{-1}) &= 0 && \text{for } s \in S, \end{aligned}$$

where l is the length function on W .

A ring homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow R'$ induces another one:

$$\mathcal{H}_W(R, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_W(R', \varphi(v))$$

Set $A := \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$:

$\mathcal{H}_W(A, v)$ is called the generic Hecke algebra.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_{us} Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Iwahori-Hecke-Algebras of type A

Let $W := S_r$ and S its Coxeter generators.

Let R be a commutative ring, and $v \in R^\times$.

The Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_W(R, v)$ is the R -free R -algebra with R -basis $(T_w)_{w \in W}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} T_w T_{w'} &= T_{ww'} && \text{if } l(ww') = l(w) + l(w'), \\ (T_s - v)(T_s + v^{-1}) &= 0 && \text{for } s \in S, \end{aligned}$$

where l is the length function on W .

A ring homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow R'$ induces another one:

$$\mathcal{H}_W(R, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_W(R', \varphi(v))$$

Set $A := \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$:

$\mathcal{H}_W(A, v)$ is called the generic Hecke algebra.

$\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\ell$ is called a specialisation.

q -Schur algebras

Let $\Delta(n, r) := \{\text{compositions of } r \text{ with at most } n \text{ parts}\}.$

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's $P1$ to $P15$

Statements $Q1$ to $Q15$

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P**1 to **P**15

Statements **Q**1 to **Q**15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

q -Schur algebras

Let $\Delta(n, r) := \{\text{compositions of } r \text{ with at most } n \text{ parts}\}.$

For $\lambda \in \Delta(n, r)$ let W_λ be the parabolic subgroup.

q-Schur algebras

Let $\Lambda(n, r) := \{\text{compositions of } r \text{ with at most } n \text{ parts}\}$.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ let W_λ be the parabolic subgroup.

We set $q := v^2$ and

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H} \right),$$

where $x_\lambda = \sum_{w \in W_\lambda} v^{l(w)} T_w \in \mathcal{H}$.

q -Schur algebras

Let $\Lambda(n, r) := \{\text{compositions of } r \text{ with at most } n \text{ parts}\}$.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ let W_λ be the parabolic subgroup.

We set $q := v^2$ and

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H} \right),$$

where $x_\lambda = \sum_{w \in W_\lambda} v^{l(w)} T_w \in \mathcal{H}$.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r)$ let $D_{\lambda, \mu}$ be the set of distinguished W_λ - W_μ -double coset representatives.

q -Schur algebras

Let $\Lambda(n, r) := \{\text{compositions of } r \text{ with at most } n \text{ parts}\}$.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ let W_λ be the parabolic subgroup.

We set $q := v^2$ and

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H} \right),$$

where $x_\lambda = \sum_{w \in W_\lambda} v^{l(w)} T_w \in \mathcal{H}$.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r)$ let $D_{\lambda, \mu}$ be the set of distinguished W_λ - W_μ -double coset representatives.

Let $M(n, r) := \{(\lambda, w, \mu) \mid \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r) \text{ and } w \in D_{\lambda, \mu}\}$.

q -Schur algebras

Let $\Lambda(n, r) := \{\text{compositions of } r \text{ with at most } n \text{ parts}\}$.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ let W_λ be the parabolic subgroup.

We set $q := v^2$ and

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H} \right),$$

where $x_\lambda = \sum_{w \in W_\lambda} v^{l(w)} T_w \in \mathcal{H}$.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r)$ let $D_{\lambda, \mu}$ be the set of distinguished W_λ - W_μ -double coset representatives.

Let $M(n, r) := \{(\lambda, w, \mu) \mid \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r) \text{ and } w \in D_{\lambda, \mu}\}$.

Write for $\underline{a} = (\lambda, w, \mu) \in M(n, r)$:

$$\text{ro}(\underline{a}) := \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \text{co}(\underline{a}) := \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\underline{a}) := z,$$

where z is the longest element in $W_\lambda w W_\mu$.

Bases of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P**1 to **P**15

Statements **Q**1 to **Q**15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

$(T_w)_{w \in W}$ is an A -basis of $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_W(A, \nu)$.

Bases of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P1** to **P15**

Statements **Q1** to **Q15**

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

$(T_w)_{w \in W}$ is an A -basis of $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_W(A, v)$.

In 1979 **Kazhdan** and **Lusztig** defined a basis $(C_w)_{w \in W}$:

Bases of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

$(T_w)_{w \in W}$ is an A -basis of $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_W(A, \nu)$.

In 1979 [Kazhdan](#) and [Lusztig](#) defined a basis $(C_w)_{w \in W}$:

$$\overline{C_w} = C_w \quad \text{and} \quad C_w = \sum_{y \leq w} p_{y,w} T_w \quad \text{for } w \in W$$

Bases of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_{ua}

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

$(T_w)_{w \in W}$ is an A -basis of $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_W(A, v)$.

In 1979 **Kazhdan** and **Lusztig** defined a basis $(C_w)_{w \in W}$:

$$\overline{C_w} = C_w \quad \text{and} \quad C_w = \sum_{y \leq w} p_{y,w} T_w \quad \text{for } w \in W$$

where $p_{y,w} \in \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ and $p_{w,w} = 1$ and \leq is the **Bruhat-Chevalley order** and $\overline{} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is the involution

$$\overline{v} := v^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\sum_{w \in W} a_w T_w} := \sum_{w \in W} \overline{a_w} T_{w^{-1}}^{-1}.$$

Bases of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

$(T_w)_{w \in W}$ is an A -basis of $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_W(A, v)$.

In 1979 **Kazhdan** and **Lusztig** defined a basis $(C_w)_{w \in W}$:

$$\overline{C_w} = C_w \quad \text{and} \quad C_w = \sum_{y \leq w} p_{y,w} T_w \quad \text{for } w \in W$$

where $p_{y,w} \in \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ and $p_{w,w} = 1$ and \leq is the **Bruhat-Chevalley order** and $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is the involution

$$\bar{v} := v^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\sum_{w \in W} a_w T_w} := \sum_{w \in W} \bar{a}_w T_{w^{-1}}.$$

The $p_{y,w}$ are the famous **Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials** and $(C_w)_{w \in W}$ the **Kazhdan-Lusztig basis**.

Bases of the q -Schur algebra \mathcal{S}

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P**1 to **P**15

Statements **Q**1 to **Q**15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\mu\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ has a standard basis $(\phi_{\lambda, \mu}^w)_{(\lambda, w, \mu) \in M(n, r)}$.

Bases of the q -Schur algebra \mathcal{S}

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\mu\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ has a standard basis $(\phi_{\lambda, \mu}^w)_{(\lambda, w, \mu) \in M(n, r)}$.

Recall

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_{\lambda} \mathcal{H} \right),$$

Bases of the q -Schur algebra \mathcal{S}

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\mu\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ has a standard basis $(\phi_{\lambda, \mu}^w)_{(\lambda, w, \mu) \in M(n, r)}$.

Recall

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_{\lambda} \mathcal{H} \right),$$

we have $\phi_{\lambda, \mu}^w \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(x_{\lambda} \mathcal{H}, x_{\mu} \mathcal{H})$.

Bases of the q -Schur algebra \mathcal{S}

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\mu\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ has a standard basis $(\phi_{\lambda, \mu}^w)_{(\lambda, w, \mu) \in M(n, r)}$.

Recall

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_{\lambda} \mathcal{H} \right),$$

we have $\phi_{\lambda, \mu}^w \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(x_{\lambda} \mathcal{H}, x_{\mu} \mathcal{H})$.

Using $(C_w)_{w \in W}$, Du defined a basis $(\theta_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)}$ with **similar properties**.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\mu\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Bases of the q -Schur algebra \mathcal{S}

$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ has a standard basis $(\phi_{\lambda, \mu}^w)_{(\lambda, w, \mu) \in M(n, r)}$.

Recall

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_{\lambda} \mathcal{H} \right),$$

we have $\phi_{\lambda, \mu}^w \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(x_{\lambda} \mathcal{H}, x_{\mu} \mathcal{H})$.

Using $(C_w)_{w \in W}$, Du defined a basis $(\theta_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)}$ with **similar properties**.

We call it the **Du-Kazhdan-Lusztig basis** of $\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\mu\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Bases of the q -Schur algebra \mathcal{S}

$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ has a standard basis $(\phi_{\lambda, \mu}^w)_{(\lambda, w, \mu) \in M(n, r)}$.

Recall

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_{\lambda} \mathcal{H} \right),$$

we have $\phi_{\lambda, \mu}^w \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(x_{\lambda} \mathcal{H}, x_{\mu} \mathcal{H})$.

Using $(C_w)_{w \in W}$, Du defined a basis $(\theta_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)}$ with **similar properties**.

We call it the **Du-Kazhdan-Lusztig basis** of $\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$.

What are these interesting properties?

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P**1 to **P**15

Statements **Q**1 to **Q**15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Cells and cell modules I

Back to \mathcal{H} , let $(g_{x,y,z})_{x,y,z \in W}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$C_x \cdot C_y = \sum_{z \in W} g_{x,y,z} C_z$$

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P1** to **P15**

Statements **Q1** to **Q15**

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Cells and cell modules I

Back to \mathcal{H} , let $(g_{x,y,z})_{x,y,z \in W}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$C_x \cdot C_y = \sum_{z \in W} g_{x,y,z} C_z$$

We have $g_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$,

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Cells and cell modules I

Back to \mathcal{H} , let $(g_{x,y,z})_{x,y,z \in W}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$C_x \cdot C_y = \sum_{z \in W} g_{x,y,z} C_z$$

We have $g_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, **the coefficients are ≥ 0 !**

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\mu\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Cells and cell modules I

Back to \mathcal{H} , let $(g_{x,y,z})_{x,y,z \in W}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$C_x \cdot C_y = \sum_{z \in W} g_{x,y,z} C_z$$

We have $g_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, **the coefficients are ≥ 0 !**

Define $z \leq_L y$ if **there is** $x \in W$ with $g_{x,y,z} \neq 0$, that is:

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Cells and cell modules I

Back to \mathcal{H} , let $(g_{x,y,z})_{x,y,z \in W}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$C_x \cdot C_y = \sum_{z \in W} g_{x,y,z} C_z$$

We have $g_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, **the coefficients are ≥ 0 !**

Define $z \leq_L y$ if **there is** $x \in W$ with $g_{x,y,z} \neq 0$, that is:

C_z occurs in some $C_x \cdot C_y$ as above.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Cells and cell modules I

Back to \mathcal{H} , let $(g_{x,y,z})_{x,y,z \in W}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$C_x \cdot C_y = \sum_{z \in W} g_{x,y,z} C_z$$

We have $g_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, **the coefficients are ≥ 0 !**

Define $z \leq_L y$ if **there is** $x \in W$ with $g_{x,y,z} \neq 0$, that is:

C_z occurs in some $C_x \cdot C_y$ as above.

\leq_L is a **preorder**,

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Cells and cell modules I

Back to \mathcal{H} , let $(g_{x,y,z})_{x,y,z \in W}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$C_x \cdot C_y = \sum_{z \in W} g_{x,y,z} C_z$$

We have $g_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, **the coefficients are ≥ 0 !**

Define $z \leq_L y$ if **there is** $x \in W$ with $g_{x,y,z} \neq 0$, that is:

C_z occurs in some $C_x \cdot C_y$ as above.

\leq_L is a **preorder**, this defines an **equivalence relation** \sim_L ,

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Cells and cell modules I

Back to \mathcal{H} , let $(g_{x,y,z})_{x,y,z \in W}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$C_x \cdot C_y = \sum_{z \in W} g_{x,y,z} C_z$$

We have $g_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, **the coefficients are ≥ 0 !**

Define $z \leq_L y$ if **there is** $x \in W$ with $g_{x,y,z} \neq 0$, that is:

C_z occurs in some $C_x \cdot C_y$ as above.

\leq_L is a **preorder**, this defines an **equivalence relation** \sim_L ,
the **equivalence classes** are called **left cells**.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$ Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Cells and cell modules I

Back to \mathcal{H} , let $(g_{x,y,z})_{x,y,z \in W}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$C_x \cdot C_y = \sum_{z \in W} g_{x,y,z} C_z$$

We have $g_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, **the coefficients are ≥ 0 !**

Define $z \leq_L y$ if **there is** $x \in W$ with $g_{x,y,z} \neq 0$, that is:

C_z occurs in some $C_x \cdot C_y$ as above.

\leq_L is a **preorder**, this defines an **equivalence relation** \sim_L ,
the **equivalence classes** are called **left cells**.

For a left cell Λ and $z \in \Lambda$, define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\leq \Lambda} := \langle C_w \mid w \leq_L z \rangle_A \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_{< \Lambda} := \langle C_w \mid w <_L z \rangle_A$$

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_{us}

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Cells and cell modules I

Back to \mathcal{H} , let $(g_{x,y,z})_{x,y,z \in W}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$C_x \cdot C_y = \sum_{z \in W} g_{x,y,z} C_z$$

We have $g_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, **the coefficients are ≥ 0 !**

Define $z \leq_L y$ if **there is** $x \in W$ with $g_{x,y,z} \neq 0$, that is:

C_z occurs in some $C_x \cdot C_y$ as above.

\leq_L is a **preorder**, this defines an **equivalence relation** \sim_L ,
the **equivalence classes** are called **left cells**.

For a left cell Λ and $z \in \Lambda$, define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\leq \Lambda} := \langle C_w \mid w \leq_L z \rangle_A \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_{< \Lambda} := \langle C_w \mid w <_L z \rangle_A$$

and set $\text{LC}^{(\Lambda)} := \mathcal{H}_{\leq \Lambda} / \mathcal{H}_{< \Lambda}$, the **left cell module** of Λ with basis $(C_w + \mathcal{H}_{< \Lambda})_{w \in \Lambda}$.

Cells and cell modules I

Back to \mathcal{H} , let $(g_{x,y,z})_{x,y,z \in W}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$C_x \cdot C_y = \sum_{z \in W} g_{x,y,z} C_z$$

We have $g_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, **the coefficients are ≥ 0 !**

Define $z \leq_L y$ if **there is** $x \in W$ with $g_{x,y,z} \neq 0$, that is:

C_z occurs in some $C_x \cdot C_y$ as above.

\leq_L is a **preorder**, this defines an **equivalence relation** \sim_L ,
the **equivalence classes** are called **left cells**.

For a left cell Λ and $z \in \Lambda$, define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\leq \Lambda} := \langle C_w \mid w \leq_L z \rangle_A \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_{< \Lambda} := \langle C_w \mid w <_L z \rangle_A$$

and set $\text{LC}^{(\Lambda)} := \mathcal{H}_{\leq \Lambda} / \mathcal{H}_{< \Lambda}$, the **left cell module** of Λ with basis $(C_w + \mathcal{H}_{< \Lambda})_{w \in \Lambda}$.

Analogously: $z \leq_R x$ if **there is** $y \in W$ with $g_{x,y,z} \neq 0$.

Cells and cell modules II

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P**1 to **P**15

Statements **Q**1 to **Q**15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Again \mathcal{S} , let $(f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}})_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c} \in M(n, r)}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$\theta_{\underline{a}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}} = \sum_{\underline{c} \in M(n, r)} f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}}$$

Cells and cell modules II

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\mu\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Again \mathcal{S} , let $(f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}})_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c} \in M(n, r)}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$\theta_{\underline{a}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}} = \sum_{\underline{c} \in M(n, r)} f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}}$$

Lemma

We have $f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} = 0$ unless

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\mu\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Cells and cell modules II

Again \mathcal{S} , let $(f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}})_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c} \in M(n, r)}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$\theta_{\underline{a}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}} = \sum_{\underline{c} \in M(n, r)} f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}}$$

Lemma

We have $f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} = 0$ unless

$\text{co}(\underline{a}) = \text{ro}(\underline{b})$ *and* $\text{ro}(\underline{c}) = \text{ro}(\underline{a})$ *and* $\text{co}(\underline{c}) = \text{co}(\underline{b})$

Cells and cell modules II

Again \mathcal{S} , let $(f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}})_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c} \in M(n, r)}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$\theta_{\underline{a}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}} = \sum_{\underline{c} \in M(n, r)} f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}}$$

Lemma

We have $f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} = 0$ unless

*$\text{co}(\underline{a}) = \text{ro}(\underline{b})$ **and** $\text{ro}(\underline{c}) = \text{ro}(\underline{a})$ **and** $\text{co}(\underline{c}) = \text{co}(\underline{b})$, and*

$$f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} = h_{\mu}^{-1} \cdot g(\sigma(\underline{a}), \sigma(\underline{b}), \sigma(\underline{c}))$$

in this case for some $0 \neq h_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$.

Cells and cell modules II

Again \mathcal{S} , let $(f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}})_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c} \in M(n, r)}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$\theta_{\underline{a}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}} = \sum_{\underline{c} \in M(n, r)} f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}}$$

Lemma

We have $f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} = 0$ unless

$\text{co}(\underline{a}) = \text{ro}(\underline{b})$ and $\text{ro}(\underline{c}) = \text{ro}(\underline{a})$ and $\text{co}(\underline{c}) = \text{co}(\underline{b})$, and

$$f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} = h_{\mu}^{-1} \cdot g(\sigma(\underline{a}), \sigma(\underline{b}), \sigma(\underline{c}))$$

in this case for some $0 \neq h_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$.

Define $\underline{c} \leq_L \underline{b}$ if **there is** $\underline{a} \in M(n, r)$ with $f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \neq 0$.

Cells and cell modules II

Again \mathcal{S} , let $(f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}})_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c} \in M(n, r)}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$\theta_{\underline{a}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}} = \sum_{\underline{c} \in M(n, r)} f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}}$$

Lemma

We have $f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} = 0$ unless

$\text{co}(\underline{a}) = \text{ro}(\underline{b})$ and $\text{ro}(\underline{c}) = \text{ro}(\underline{a})$ and $\text{co}(\underline{c}) = \text{co}(\underline{b})$, and

$$f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} = h_{\mu}^{-1} \cdot g(\sigma(\underline{a}), \sigma(\underline{b}), \sigma(\underline{c}))$$

in this case for some $0 \neq h_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$.

Define $\underline{c} \leq_L \underline{b}$ if **there is** $\underline{a} \in M(n, r)$ with $f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \neq 0$.

Define \sim_L , left cells, $\mathcal{S}_{\leq \Lambda}$, $\mathcal{S}_{< \Lambda}$ and $\text{LC}^{(\Lambda)}$ **exactly as for Hecke-algebras**.

Simple cell modules

One of the wonders of the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis is:

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P1** to **P15**

Statements **Q1** to **Q15**

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Simple cell modules

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

One of the wonders of the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis is:

Theorem (Kazhdan-Lusztig, Du)

For the field $K := \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and the extensions of scalars $K\mathcal{H}_W(A, v) = \mathcal{H}_W(K, v)$ and $K\mathfrak{S}_q(n, r)$ we have:

Simple cell modules

One of the wonders of the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis is:

Theorem (Kazhdan-Lusztig, Du)

For the field $K := \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and the extensions of scalars $K\mathcal{H}_W(A, v) = \mathcal{H}_W(K, v)$ and $K\mathfrak{S}_q(n, r)$ we have:

$KLC^{(\Lambda)}$ is a simple module for every left cell Λ .

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Simple cell modules

One of the wonders of the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis is:

Theorem (Kazhdan-Lusztig, Du)

For the field $K := \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and the extensions of scalars $K\mathcal{H}_W(A, v) = \mathcal{H}_W(K, v)$ and $K\mathfrak{s}_q(n, r)$ we have:

$KLC^{(\Lambda)}$ is a simple module for every left cell Λ .

*This gives **filtrations** of $K\mathcal{H}$ and $K\mathfrak{s}$ by **simple modules**.*

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\mu\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Simple cell modules

One of the wonders of the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis is:

Theorem (Kazhdan-Lusztig, Du)

For the field $K := \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and the extensions of scalars $K\mathcal{H}_W(A, v) = \mathcal{H}_W(K, v)$ and $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ we have:

$KLC^{(\Lambda)}$ is a simple module for every left cell Λ .

*This gives **filtrations** of $K\mathcal{H}$ and $K\mathcal{S}$ by **simple modules**.*

Theorem (Dipper-James)

$\mathcal{H}_W(K, v)$ and $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ are semisimple.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Simple cell modules

One of the wonders of the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis is:

Theorem (Kazhdan-Lusztig, Du)

For the field $K := \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and the extensions of scalars $K\mathcal{H}_W(A, v) = \mathcal{H}_W(K, v)$ and $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ we have:

$KLC^{(\Lambda)}$ is a simple module for every left cell Λ .

*This gives **filtrations** of $K\mathcal{H}$ and $K\mathcal{S}$ by **simple modules**.*

Theorem (Dipper-James)

$\mathcal{H}_W(K, v)$ and $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ are semisimple.

*In fact, $\mathcal{H}_W(\mathbb{F}, u)$ is semisimple **unless** u is an **e -th root of unity** with $e \leq r$ (and likewise for $\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$).*

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P**1 to **P**15

Statements **Q**1 to **Q**15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Trace forms and dual bases

Let

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P**1 to **P**15

Statements **Q**1 to **Q**15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Trace forms and dual bases

Let

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then τ is a **symmetrising trace form** on $\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu)$, i.e.:

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Trace forms and dual bases

Let

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then τ is a **symmetrising trace form** on $\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu)$, i.e.:

- $(h, h') \mapsto \tau(hh')$ is **bilinear**

Trace forms and dual bases

Let

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then τ is a **symmetrising trace form** on $\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu)$, i.e.:

- $(h, h') \mapsto \tau(hh')$ is **bilinear** and
- **non-degenerate**

Trace forms and dual bases

Let

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then τ is a **symmetrising trace form** on $\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu)$, i.e.:

- $(h, h') \mapsto \tau(hh')$ is **bilinear** and
- **non-degenerate** and
- **symmetric**: $\tau(hh') = \tau(h'h)$ for all $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$.

Trace forms and dual bases

Let

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then τ is a **symmetrising trace form** on $\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu)$, i.e.:

- $(h, h') \mapsto \tau(hh')$ is **bilinear** and
- **non-degenerate** and
- **symmetric**: $\tau(hh') = \tau(h'h)$ for all $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$.

Thus, for every basis $(B_w)_{w \in W}$ there is a **dual basis** $(B_w^\vee)_{w \in W}$ with

$$\tau(B_v B_w^\vee) = \delta_{v,w}.$$

Trace forms and dual bases

Let

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then τ is a **symmetrising trace form** on $\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu)$, i.e.:

- $(h, h') \mapsto \tau(hh')$ is **bilinear** and
- **non-degenerate** and
- **symmetric**: $\tau(hh') = \tau(h'h)$ for all $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$.

Thus, for every basis $(B_w)_{w \in W}$ there is a **dual basis** $(B_w^\vee)_{w \in W}$ with

$$\tau(B_v B_w^\vee) = \delta_{v,w}.$$

We do **the same** for $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ and use $(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)}$,

Trace forms and dual bases

Let

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then τ is a **symmetrising trace form** on $\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu)$, i.e.:

- $(h, h') \mapsto \tau(hh')$ is **bilinear** and
- **non-degenerate** and
- **symmetric**: $\tau(hh') = \tau(h'h)$ for all $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$.

Thus, for every basis $(B_w)_{w \in W}$ there is a **dual basis** $(B_w^\vee)_{w \in W}$ with

$$\tau(B_v B_w^\vee) = \delta_{v,w}.$$

We do **the same** for $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ and use $(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)}$, **note**:

If $h = \sum_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)} \beta_{\underline{a}} \theta_{\underline{a}}$ then $\beta_{\underline{b}} = \tau(h \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}}^\vee)$ for all $\underline{b} \in M(n, r)$,

Trace forms and dual bases

Let

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then τ is a **symmetrising trace form** on $\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu)$, i.e.:

- $(h, h') \mapsto \tau(hh')$ is **bilinear** and
- **non-degenerate** and
- **symmetric**: $\tau(hh') = \tau(h'h)$ for all $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$.

Thus, for every basis $(B_w)_{w \in W}$ there is a **dual basis** $(B_w^\vee)_{w \in W}$ with

$$\tau(B_v B_w^\vee) = \delta_{v,w}.$$

We do **the same** for $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ and use $(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)}$, **note**:

If $h = \sum_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)} \beta_{\underline{a}} \theta_{\underline{a}}$ then $\beta_{\underline{b}} = \tau(h \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}}^\vee)$ for all $\underline{b} \in M(n, r)$,

and thus $f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} = \tau(\theta_{\underline{a}} \theta_{\underline{b}} \theta_{\underline{c}}^\vee)$ for all $\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c} \in M(n, r)$.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P**1 to **P**15

Statements **Q**1 to **Q**15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

The asymptotic algebra

Let $\mathbf{a}(z)$ be the **highest degree** of a $g_{x,y,z}$ and
 $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ the **coefficient** of $g_{x,y,z}$ at $v^{\mathbf{a}(z)}$.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

The asymptotic algebra

Let $\mathbf{a}(z)$ be the **highest degree** of a $g_{x,y,z}$ and
 $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ the **coefficient** of $g_{x,y,z}$ at $v^{\mathbf{a}(z)}$.

Using the $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ Lusztig defined:

- a subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq W$ of **distinguished involutions**,

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

The asymptotic algebra

Let $\mathbf{a}(z)$ be the **highest degree** of a $g_{x,y,z}$ and $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ the **coefficient** of $g_{x,y,z}$ at $v^{\mathbf{a}(z)}$.

Using the $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ Lusztig defined:

- a subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq W$ of **distinguished involutions**,
- a semisimple A -algebra \mathcal{I}_A
(the **asymptotic algebra**)

The asymptotic algebra

Let $\mathbf{a}(z)$ be the **highest degree** of a $g_{x,y,z}$ and $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ the **coefficient** of $g_{x,y,z}$ at $v^{\mathbf{a}(z)}$.

Using the $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ Lusztig defined:

- a subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq W$ of **distinguished involutions**,
- a semisimple A -algebra \mathcal{I}_A (the **asymptotic algebra**)
- a homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_W(\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}], v) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_A$. (the **Lusztig homomorphism**).

The asymptotic algebra

Let $\mathbf{a}(z)$ be the **highest degree** of a $g_{x,y,z}$ and $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ the **coefficient** of $g_{x,y,z}$ at $v^{\mathbf{a}(z)}$.

Using the $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ Lusztig defined:

- a subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq W$ of **distinguished involutions**,
- a semisimple A -algebra \mathcal{I}_A
(the **asymptotic algebra**)
- a homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_W(\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}], v) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_A$.
(the **Lusztig homomorphism**).

Du defined:

- $\mathcal{D}(n, r) := \{ \underline{a} \in M(n, r) \mid \text{ro}(\underline{a}) = \text{co}(\underline{a}) \text{ and } \sigma(\underline{a}) \in \mathcal{D} \},$

The asymptotic algebra

Let $\mathbf{a}(z)$ be the **highest degree** of a $g_{x,y,z}$ and $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ the **coefficient** of $g_{x,y,z}$ at $v^{\mathbf{a}(z)}$.

Using the $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ Lusztig defined:

- a subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq W$ of **distinguished involutions**,
- a semisimple A -algebra \mathcal{I}_A
(the **asymptotic algebra**)
- a homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_W(\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}], v) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_A$.
(the **Lusztig homomorphism**).

Du defined:

- $\mathcal{D}(n, r) := \{\underline{a} \in M(n, r) \mid \text{ro}(\underline{a}) = \text{co}(\underline{a}) \text{ and } \sigma(\underline{a}) \in \mathcal{D}\},$
- $\mathcal{J}(n, r)_A$ with its **standard basis** $(\underline{t}_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)},$
(the **asymptotic algebra**)

The asymptotic algebra

Let $\mathbf{a}(z)$ be the **highest degree** of a $g_{x,y,z}$ and $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ the **coefficient** of $g_{x,y,z}$ at $v^{\mathbf{a}(z)}$.

Using the $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ Lusztig defined:

- a subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq W$ of **distinguished involutions**,
- a semisimple A -algebra \mathcal{I}_A (the **asymptotic algebra**)
- a homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_W(\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}], v) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_A$. (the **Lusztig homomorphism**).

Du defined:

- $\mathcal{D}(n, r) := \{\underline{a} \in M(n, r) \mid \text{ro}(\underline{a}) = \text{co}(\underline{a}) \text{ and } \sigma(\underline{a}) \in \mathcal{D}\},$
- $\mathcal{J}(n, r)_A$ with its **standard basis** $(\underline{t}_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)},$ (the **asymptotic algebra**)
- with **identity** $\sum_{\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)} \underline{t}_{\underline{d}},$

The asymptotic algebra

Let $\mathbf{a}(z)$ be the **highest degree** of a $g_{x,y,z}$ and $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ the **coefficient** of $g_{x,y,z}$ at $v^{\mathbf{a}(z)}$.

Using the $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ Lusztig defined:

- a subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq W$ of **distinguished involutions**,
- a semisimple A -algebra \mathcal{J}_A (the **asymptotic algebra**)
- a homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_W(\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}], v) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_A$. (the **Lusztig homomorphism**).

Du defined:

- $\mathcal{D}(n, r) := \{\underline{a} \in M(n, r) \mid \text{ro}(\underline{a}) = \text{co}(\underline{a}) \text{ and } \sigma(\underline{a}) \in \mathcal{D}\},$
- $\mathcal{J}(n, r)_A$ with its **standard basis** $(\underline{t}_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)},$ (the **asymptotic algebra**)
- with **identity** $\sum_{\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)} \underline{t}_{\underline{d}},$ and
- the **Du-Lusztig hom.** $\Phi : \mathcal{S}_q(n, r) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(n, r)_A.$

Lusztig's conjectures **P1** to **P15**

Lusztig formulates 15 “conjectures” **P1** to **P15**:

P2 If $\gamma_{x,y,d^{-1}} \neq 0$ with $d \in \mathcal{D}$, then $x = y^{-1}$.

P3 For $y \in W$ exists a **unique** $d \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\gamma_{y^{-1},y,d^{-1}} \neq 0$.

P6 For $d \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $d = d^{-1}$.

P9 If $x \leq_L y$ and $\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(y)$, then $x \sim_L y$.

P10 If $x \leq_R y$ and $\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(y)$, then $x \sim_R y$.

P13 Every left cell contains a **unique** element $d \in \mathcal{D}$.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P1** to **P15**

Statements **Q1** to **Q15**

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Lusztig's conjectures P1 to P15

Lusztig formulates 15 “conjectures” P1 to P15:

P2 If $\gamma_{x,y,d^{-1}} \neq 0$ with $d \in \mathcal{D}$, then $x = y^{-1}$.

P3 For $y \in W$ exists a **unique** $d \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\gamma_{y^{-1},y,d^{-1}} \neq 0$.

P6 For $d \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $d = d^{-1}$.

P9 If $x \leq_L y$ and $\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(y)$, then $x \sim_L y$.

P10 If $x \leq_R y$ and $\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(y)$, then $x \sim_R y$.

P13 Every left cell contains a **unique** element $d \in \mathcal{D}$.

These are **proved** for $\mathcal{H}_W(A, \nu)$ if

- W is a **finite Weyl group**,
- W is an **affine Weyl group**,
- W is an **infinite dihedral group**.

Lusztig's conjectures **P1** to **P15**

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P1** to **P15**Statements **Q1** to **Q15**

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_{us}

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Lusztig formulates 15 “conjectures” **P1** to **P15**:

P2 If $\gamma_{x,y,d^{-1}} \neq 0$ with $d \in \mathcal{D}$, then $x = y^{-1}$.

P3 For $y \in W$ exists a **unique** $d \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\gamma_{y^{-1},y,d^{-1}} \neq 0$.

P6 For $d \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $d = d^{-1}$.

P9 If $x \leq_L y$ and $\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(y)$, then $x \sim_L y$.

P10 If $x \leq_R y$ and $\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(y)$, then $x \sim_R y$.

P13 Every left cell contains a **unique** element $d \in \mathcal{D}$.

These are **proved** for $\mathcal{H}_W(A, v)$ if

- W is a **finite Weyl group**,
- W is an **affine Weyl group**,
- W is an **infinite dihedral group**.

For other Iwahori-Hecke algebras they are conjectures.

Statements Q1 to Q15

We prove for $\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ statements Q1 to Q15: Setting

$$\gamma_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}}^t := \begin{cases} \gamma(\sigma(\underline{a}), \sigma(\underline{b}), \sigma(\underline{c})^{-1}) & \text{if } f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\underline{a}^t := (\mu, w^{-1}, \lambda)$ for $\underline{a} = (\lambda, w, \mu)$,

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Statements Q1 to Q15

We prove for $\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ **statements Q1 to Q15**: Setting

$$\gamma_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}^t} := \begin{cases} \gamma(\sigma(\underline{a}), \sigma(\underline{b}), \sigma(\underline{c})^{-1}) & \text{if } f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\underline{a}^t := (\mu, w^{-1}, \lambda)$ for $\underline{a} = (\lambda, w, \mu)$, we get:

Q2 If $\gamma_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{d}^t} \neq 0$ with $\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$, then $\underline{a} = \underline{b}^t$.

Q3 $\forall \underline{a} \in M(n, r) \exists$ a **unique** $\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$ with $\gamma_{\underline{a}^t, \underline{a}, \underline{d}^t} \neq 0$.

Q6 For $\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$ we have $\underline{d} = \underline{d}^t$.

Q9 If $\underline{a} \leq_L \underline{b}$ and $\mathbf{a}(\sigma(\underline{a})) = \mathbf{a}(\sigma(\underline{b}))$, then $\underline{a} \sim_L \underline{b}$.

Q10 If $\underline{a} \leq_R \underline{b}$ and $\mathbf{a}(\sigma(\underline{a})) = \mathbf{a}(\sigma(\underline{b}))$, then $\underline{a} \sim_R \underline{b}$.

Q13 Every left cell contains a **unique** element $\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\mu\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Statements Q1 to Q15

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\mu\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

We prove for $\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ **statements Q1 to Q15**: Setting

$$\gamma_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}^t} := \begin{cases} \gamma(\sigma(\underline{a}), \sigma(\underline{b}), \sigma(\underline{c})^{-1}) & \text{if } f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\underline{a}^t := (\mu, w^{-1}, \lambda)$ for $\underline{a} = (\lambda, w, \mu)$, we get:

Q2 If $\gamma_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{d}^t} \neq 0$ with $\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$, then $\underline{a} = \underline{b}^t$.

Q3 $\forall \underline{a} \in M(n, r) \exists$ a **unique** $\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$ with $\gamma_{\underline{a}^t, \underline{a}, \underline{d}^t} \neq 0$.

Q6 For $\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$ we have $\underline{d} = \underline{d}^t$.

Q9 If $\underline{a} \leq_L \underline{b}$ and $\mathbf{a}(\sigma(\underline{a})) = \mathbf{a}(\sigma(\underline{b}))$, then $\underline{a} \sim_L \underline{b}$.

Q10 If $\underline{a} \leq_R \underline{b}$ and $\mathbf{a}(\sigma(\underline{a})) = \mathbf{a}(\sigma(\underline{b}))$, then $\underline{a} \sim_R \underline{b}$.

Q13 Every left cell contains a **unique** element $\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$.

Proofs use **P1 to P15** and some additional q-Schur algebra arguments.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P1** to **P15**

Statements **Q1** to **Q15**

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

An explicit Wedderburn basis

Let Λ be a **left cell** such that $\mathrm{LC}^{(\Lambda)}$ has character ψ and

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

An explicit Wedderburn basis

Let Λ be a **left cell** such that $\mathrm{LC}^{(\Lambda)}$ has character ψ and

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then the **representing matrix** of $h \in \mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ on $\mathrm{LC}^{(\Lambda)}$ is

$$D^{(\Lambda)}(h) = \left(\tau(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee \cdot h \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}}) \right)_{\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \Lambda}.$$

An explicit Wedderburn basis

Let Λ be a **left cell** such that $\mathrm{LC}^{(\Lambda)}$ has character ψ and

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then the **representing matrix** of $h \in \mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ on $\mathrm{LC}^{(\Lambda)}$ is

$$D^{(\Lambda)}(h) = \left(\tau(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee \cdot h \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}}) \right)_{\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \Lambda}.$$

Use **Frobenius-Schur relations** for $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$: Fix $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \Lambda$,

$$\sum_{\underline{c} \in M(n, r)} \tau(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}}^\vee \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}}) \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}}$$

An explicit Wedderburn basis

Let Λ be a **left cell** such that $\mathrm{LC}^{(\Lambda)}$ has character ψ and

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then the **representing matrix** of $h \in \mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ on $\mathrm{LC}^{(\Lambda)}$ is

$$D^{(\Lambda)}(h) = \left(\tau(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee \cdot h \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}}) \right)_{\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \Lambda}.$$

Use **Frobenius-Schur relations** for $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$: Fix $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \Lambda$,

$$\sum_{\underline{c} \in M(n, r)} \tau(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}}^\vee \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}}) \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}} = \theta_{\underline{b}} \theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee$$

An explicit Wedderburn basis

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\bar{a}}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Let Λ be a **left cell** such that $\mathrm{LC}^{(\Lambda)}$ has character ψ and

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then the **representing matrix** of $h \in \mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ on $\mathrm{LC}^{(\Lambda)}$ is

$$D^{(\Lambda)}(h) = \left(\tau(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee \cdot h \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}}) \right)_{\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \Lambda}.$$

Use **Frobenius-Schur relations** for $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$: Fix $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \Lambda$,

$$\sum_{\underline{c} \in M(n, r)} \tau(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}}^\vee \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}}) \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}} = \theta_{\underline{b}} \theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee$$

acts on $\mathrm{LC}^{(\Lambda)}$ as a matrix with one entry 1 and 0 elsewhere.

An explicit Wedderburn basis II

Theorem (Wedderburn basis (Brunat, N., 2008))

The set

$$\mathcal{B} := \left\{ c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^{\vee} \mid \underline{c} \in M(n, r), \underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r), \underline{c} \sim_L \underline{d} \right\}$$

is a **Wedderburn basis** of $K\mathfrak{S}_q(n, r)$.

For $c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^{\vee}$ and $c_{\underline{d}'}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}'} \theta_{\underline{d}'}^{\vee}$ in \mathcal{B} we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^{\vee}) \cdot (c_{\underline{d}'}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}'} \theta_{\underline{d}'}^{\vee}) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{LC}^{(\underline{d})} \not\cong \text{LC}^{(\underline{d}')} \\ 0 & \text{if } \text{LC}^{(\underline{d})} \cong \text{LC}^{(\underline{d}')} \text{ and } \underline{d} \not\sim_R \underline{c}' \\ c_{\underline{d}'}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}''} \theta_{\underline{d}'}^{\vee} & \text{if } \text{LC}^{(\underline{d})} \cong \text{LC}^{(\underline{d}')} \text{ and } \underline{d} \sim_R \underline{c}' \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

\underline{c}'' is the **unique element** with $\underline{c}'' \sim_L \underline{d}'$ and $\underline{c}'' \sim_R \underline{c}$ and such a \underline{c}'' in fact exists.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

The dual basis of \mathcal{B}

These relations immediately imply: The **dual basis** \mathcal{B}^\vee of

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee \mid \underline{c} \in M(n, r), \underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r), \underline{c} \sim_L \underline{d} \right\}$$

is

$$\mathcal{B}^\vee = \left\{ \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee \mid \underline{c} \in M(n, r), \underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r), \underline{c} \sim_L \underline{d} \right\}$$

The dual basis of \mathcal{B}

These relations immediately imply: The **dual basis** \mathcal{B}^\vee of

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee \mid \underline{c} \in M(n, r), \underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r), \underline{c} \sim_L \underline{d} \right\}$$

is

$$\mathcal{B}^\vee = \left\{ \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee \mid \underline{c} \in M(n, r), \underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r), \underline{c} \sim_L \underline{d} \right\}$$

In fact: $\left(c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee \right)^\vee = \theta_{\underline{c}^t} \theta_{\underline{d}'}^\vee$ where $\underline{c}^t \sim_L \underline{d}' \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$.

The dual basis of \mathcal{B}

These relations immediately imply: The **dual basis** \mathcal{B}^\vee of

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \underline{c}_d^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_d^\vee \mid \underline{c} \in M(n, r), \underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r), \underline{c} \sim_L \underline{d} \right\}$$

is

$$\mathcal{B}^\vee = \left\{ \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_d^\vee \mid \underline{c} \in M(n, r), \underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r), \underline{c} \sim_L \underline{d} \right\}$$

In fact: $\left(\underline{c}_d^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_d^\vee \right)^\vee = \theta_{\underline{c}^t} \theta_{d'}^\vee$ where $\underline{c}^t \sim_L \underline{d}' \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$.

Note:

$$\left\langle (\theta_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)} \right\rangle_A = \mathfrak{g}_q(n, r) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_A$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{B}^\vee \rangle_A \subseteq \left\langle (\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)} \right\rangle_A$$

not depending on the choice of τ !

Preimages of the $t_{\underline{a}}$

Since the formula for the Du-Lusztig homomorphism is

$$\Phi(h) = \sum_{\substack{\underline{b} \in M(n,r) \\ \underline{d}' \in \mathcal{D}(n,r) \\ \underline{d}' \sim_L \underline{b}}} \tau(h \cdot \theta_{\underline{d}'} \theta_{\underline{b}}^{\vee}) \cdot t_{\underline{b}} \quad \text{for } h \in K\mathfrak{S}_q(n, r)$$

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{\underline{a}}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_{ab}

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Preimages of the t_a

Since the formula for the Du-Lusztig homomorphism is

$$\Phi(h) = \sum_{\substack{b \in M(n,r) \\ \underline{d'} \in \mathcal{D}(n,r) \\ \underline{d'} \sim_L b}} \tau(h \cdot \theta_{\underline{d'}} \theta_{\underline{b}}^\vee) \cdot t_{\underline{b}} \quad \text{for } h \in K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$$

we can use Q1 to Q15 and our theorem to show:

Theorem (Preimages of the $t_{\underline{c}}$ (Brunat, N., 2008))

Let τ be an *arbitrary* non-degenerate symmetrising trace form on $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$, then

$$\Phi(c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee) = t_{\underline{c}} \quad \text{for all } \underline{c} \in M(n, r),$$

where $c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee \in \mathcal{B}$, that is $\underline{c} \sim_L \underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$.

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_{us}

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

In view of our **Wedderburn basis**, we have for $A = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and $\mathcal{J}(n, r)_K := K\mathcal{J}(n, r)_A$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{S}_q(n, r) & \longrightarrow & \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_A & \longrightarrow & K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) \\
 \parallel & & \Phi \downarrow \cong & & \Phi \downarrow \cong \\
 \mathcal{S}_q(n, r) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{J}(n, r)_A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}(n, r)_K
 \end{array}$$

since Du has shown that Φ is an **isomorphism after extension of scalars** to K .

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

In view of our **Wedderburn basis**, we have for $A = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and $\mathcal{J}(n, r)_K := K\mathcal{J}(n, r)_A$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{S}_q(n, r) & \longrightarrow & \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_A & \longrightarrow & K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) \\
 \parallel & & \Phi \downarrow \cong & & \Phi \downarrow \cong \\
 \mathcal{S}_q(n, r) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{J}(n, r)_A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}(n, r)_K
 \end{array}$$

since Du has shown that Φ is an **isomorphism after extension of scalars** to K .

Thus, the **Du-Lusztig homomorphism** is **the same** as the **inclusion**

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_A.$$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

In view of our **Wedderburn basis**, we have for $A = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and $\mathcal{J}(n, r)_K := K\mathcal{J}(n, r)_A$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{S}_q(n, r) & \longrightarrow & \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_A & \longrightarrow & K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) \\ \parallel & & \Phi \downarrow \cong & & \Phi \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{S}_q(n, r) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{J}(n, r)_A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}(n, r)_K \end{array}$$

since Du has shown that Φ is an **isomorphism after extension of scalars** to K .

Thus, the **Du-Lusztig homomorphism** is **the same** as the **inclusion**

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_A.$$

Furthermore, we get that $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_A$ is isomorphic to a **direct sum of full matrix rings over A** .

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P1** to **P15**

Statements **Q1** to **Q15**

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

James' conjecture ...

Let $s := |M(n, r)|$ and $M = (m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}) \in A^{s \times s}$ be:

$$\Phi(\theta_{\underline{a}}) = \sum_{\underline{b} \in M(n, r)} m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}} \cdot t_{\underline{b}}$$

for all $\underline{b} \in M(n, r)$.

James' conjecture ...

Let $s := |M(n, r)|$ and $M = (m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}) \in A^{s \times s}$ be:

$$\Phi(\theta_{\underline{a}}) = \sum_{\underline{b} \in M(n, r)} m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}} \cdot t_{\underline{b}}$$

for all $\underline{b} \in M(n, r)$.

Let \mathbb{F}_ℓ be a finite prime field, $u \in \mathbb{F}_\ell$ of order $2e$, and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\varphi_e} & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2e}] \\ & \searrow \varphi_\ell & \swarrow \varphi_\ell^e \\ & \mathbb{F}_\ell & \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of ring homomorphisms ($\zeta_{2e} \in \sqrt[2e]{1} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ primitive) with $\varphi_e(v) = \zeta_{2e}$ and $\varphi_\ell(v) = u$.

James' conjecture ...

Let $s := |M(n, r)|$ and $M = (m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}) \in A^{s \times s}$ be:

$$\Phi(\theta_{\underline{a}}) = \sum_{\underline{b} \in M(n, r)} m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}} \cdot t_{\underline{b}}$$

for all $\underline{b} \in M(n, r)$.

Let \mathbb{F}_ℓ be a finite prime field, $u \in \mathbb{F}_\ell$ of order $2e$, and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\varphi_e} & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2e}] \\ & \searrow \varphi_\ell & \swarrow \varphi_\ell^e \\ & \mathbb{F}_\ell & \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of ring homomorphisms ($\zeta_{2e} \in \sqrt[2e]{1} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ primitive) with $\varphi_e(v) = \zeta_{2e}$ and $\varphi_\ell(v) = u$.

We want to compare the representation theory of

$$K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2e})\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{F}_\ell\mathcal{S}_q(n, r).$$

... in a reformulation by Geck

Let $\varphi_\ell = \varphi_\ell^e \circ \varphi_e$ as above.

Theorem (Geck)

James' conjecture for q-Schur algebras is equivalent to the fact that for $\ell > r$ we have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2e})}(\varphi_e(M)) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(\varphi_\ell(M)),$$

where $\varphi_e(M) = (\varphi_e(m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}))$ and $\varphi_\ell = (\varphi_\ell(m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}))$.

... in a reformulation by Geck

Let $\varphi_\ell = \varphi_\ell^e \circ \varphi_e$ as above.

Theorem (Geck)

James' conjecture for q -Schur algebras is equivalent to the fact that for $\ell > r$ we have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2e})}(\varphi_e(M)) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(\varphi_\ell(M)),$$

where $\varphi_e(M) = (\varphi_e(m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}))$ and $\varphi_\ell = (\varphi_\ell(m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}))$.

That is, the **rank** of the matrix M when specialised to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2e})$ is the same as when specialised to \mathbb{F}_ℓ .

... in a reformulation by Geck

Let $\varphi_\ell = \varphi_\ell^e \circ \varphi_e$ as above.

Theorem (Geck)

James' conjecture for q-Schur algebras is equivalent to the fact that for $\ell > r$ we have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2e})}(\varphi_e(M)) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(\varphi_\ell(M)),$$

where $\varphi_e(M) = (\varphi_e(m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}))$ and $\varphi_\ell = (\varphi_\ell(m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}))$.

That is, the **rank** of the matrix M when specialised to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2e})$ is the same as when specialised to \mathbb{F}_ℓ .

By our results, M is the **base change matrix** between

$$(\theta_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} = \{c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee\},$$

all within $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$!

A potential attack?

Let $Q_\tau = (q_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}})$ be the base change from $(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)$ to $(\theta_{\underline{a}})$

$$\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee = \sum_{\underline{b} \in M(n, r)} q_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}} \quad \text{for all } \underline{a} \in M(n, r),$$

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P1** to **P15**

Statements **Q1** to **Q15**

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\bar{a}}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\lambda}$

Lusztig's homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

A potential attack?

Let $Q_\tau = (q_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}})$ be the base change from $(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)$ to $(\theta_{\underline{a}})$

$$\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee = \sum_{\underline{b} \in M(n, r)} q_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}} \quad \text{for all } \underline{a} \in M(n, r),$$

and D be the one from \mathcal{B}^\vee to \mathcal{B} , which is monomial, then:

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the $t_{u\bar{a}}$

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

A potential attack?

A potential attack?

Let $Q_\tau = (q_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}})$ be the base change from $(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)$ to $(\theta_{\underline{a}})$

$$\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee = \sum_{\underline{b} \in M(n, r)} q_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}} \quad \text{for all } \underline{a} \in M(n, r),$$

and D be the one from \mathcal{B}^\vee to \mathcal{B} , which is monomial, then:

$$D = M^t \cdot Q_\tau \cdot M,$$

since M^t is the base change from \mathcal{B}^\vee to $(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)$.

A potential attack?

Let $Q_\tau = (q_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}})$ be the base change from $(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)$ to $(\theta_{\underline{a}})$

$$\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee = \sum_{\underline{b} \in M(n, r)} q_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}} \quad \text{for all } \underline{a} \in M(n, r),$$

and D be the one from \mathcal{B}^\vee to \mathcal{B} , which is monomial, then:

$$D = M^t \cdot Q_\tau \cdot M,$$

since M^t is the base change from \mathcal{B}^\vee to $(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)$.

If, for some φ_e and φ_ℓ , we could find a nice τ , such that

- the elements c_χ all lie in A ,
- $Q_\tau \in A^{s \times s}$, and
- the number of c_χ that vanish under φ_e is equal to the number of c_χ that vanish under φ_ℓ ,

then James' conjecture would follow for φ_e and φ_ℓ .