

Isomorphisms of group algebras of finite almost simple groups

Matthias Nagl

March 25, 2010

- G. Higman (1939): Up to which extend is a finite group determined by its integral group ring ?
- M.Hertweck (1998): Counterexample for the isomorphism problem of integral group rings.

- G. Higman (1939): Up to which extent is a finite group determined by its integral group ring ?
- M.Hertweck (1998): Counterexample for the isomorphism problem of integral group rings.
- R. Brauer (1963): Suppose that the finite groups G_1 and G_2 have isomorphic group algebras over every ground field K . Are G_1 and G_2 isomorphic?

- G. Higman (1939): Up to which extent is a finite group determined by its integral group ring ?
- M.Hertweck (1998): Counterexample for the isomorphism problem of integral group rings.
- R. Brauer (1963): Suppose that the finite groups G_1 and G_2 have isomorphic group algebras over every ground field K . Are G_1 and G_2 isomorphic?
- E. Dade (1971): There are finite metabelian non-isomorphic groups with isomorphic group algebras over any ground field.

- G. Higman (1939): Up to which extent is a finite group determined by its integral group ring ?
- M.Hertweck (1998): Counterexample for the isomorphism problem of integral group rings.
- R. Brauer (1963): Suppose that the finite groups G_1 and G_2 have isomorphic group algebras over every ground field K . Are G_1 and G_2 isomorphic?
- E. Dade (1971): There are finite metabelian non-isomorphic groups with isomorphic group algebras over any ground field.

- Conjecture by B. Huppert (2000) For a finite group G denote by cdG the set of the degrees of its ordinary irreducible characters. Assume that G is finite simple. If $cdG = cdH$ (as set) then $H \cong G \times A$ where A is a finite abelian group.
- B.Huppert established his conjecture for a number of finite simple groups.

- Conjecture by B. Huppert (2000) For a finite group G denote by cdG the set of the degrees of its ordinary irreducible characters. Assume that G is finite simple. If $cdG = cdH$ (as set) then $H \cong G \times A$ where A is a finite abelian group.
- B.Huppert established his conjecture for a number of finite simple groups.
- Wakefield gave a proof for almost all remaining groups of Lie-Rank 2 (2008).

- Conjecture by B. Huppert (2000) For a finite group G denote by cdG the set of the degrees of its ordinary irreducible characters. Assume that G is finite simple. If $cdG = cdH$ (as set) then $H \cong G \times A$ where A is a finite abelian group.
- B.Huppert established his conjecture for a number of finite simple groups.
- Wakefield gave a proof for almost all remaining groups of Lie-Rank 2 (2008).

Restriction

For special classes of finite groups Brauers Question may nevertheless have a positive answer.

Question: What about finite simple or almost simple groups?

For special classes of finite groups Brauers Question may nevertheless have a positive answer.

Question: What about finite simple or almost simple groups?

(2002) Kimmerle and Haeberlen gave a sketch for a positive answer to Brauers Problem for finite simple groups.

For special classes of finite groups Brauers Question may nevertheless have a positive answer.

Question: What about finite simple or almost simple groups?

(2002) Kimmerle and Haeberlen gave a sketch for a positive answer to Brauers Problem for finite simple groups.

The proof has been completed in my Diplomarbeit (2008).

For special classes of finite groups Brauers Question may nevertheless have a positive answer.

Question: What about finite simple or almost simple groups?

(2002) Kimmerle and Haeberlen gave a sketch for a positive answer to Brauers Problem for finite simple groups.

The proof has been completed in my Diplomarbeit (2008).

Theorem

Let G be a finite simple group and H a group with $\mathbb{F}G \cong \mathbb{F}H$ for any field \mathbb{F} then $G \cong H$.

For special classes of finite groups Brauers Question may nevertheless have a positive answer.

Question: What about finite simple or almost simple groups?

(2002) Kimmerle and Haeberlen gave a sketch for a positive answer to Brauers Problem for finite simple groups.

The proof has been completed in my Diplomarbeit (2008).

Theorem

Let G be a finite simple group and H a group with $\mathbb{F}G \cong \mathbb{F}H$ for any field \mathbb{F} then $G \cong H$.

Principal Approach

For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ the principal approach used in the proof is as follows:

- As H has only one representation of degree 1, H is perfect
- Because H is perfect there exists a finite simple nonabelian image Q of H .

Principal Approach

For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ the principal approach used in the proof is as follows:

- As H has only one representation of degree 1, H is perfect
- Because H is perfect there exists a finite simple nonabelian image Q of H .
- $|Q|$ divides $|G|$ and $cd(Q) \subset cd(G)$.

Principal Approach

For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ the principal approach used in the proof is as follows:

- As H has only one representation of degree 1, H is perfect
- Because H is perfect there exists a finite simple nonabelian image Q of H .
- $|Q|$ divides $|G|$ and $cd(Q) \subset cd(G)$.
- Use the classification of finite simple groups to show that the only possible Q is G .

For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ the principal approach used in the proof is as follows:

- As H has only one representation of degree 1, H is perfect
- Because H is perfect there exists a finite simple nonabelian image Q of H .
- $|Q|$ divides $|G|$ and $cd(Q) \subset cd(G)$.
- Use the classification of finite simple groups to show that the only possible Q is G .

Modifying a result by R. Rasala (1977) for the symmetric groups the following proposition has been established in my Diplomarbeit.

Proposition: Let

$$d_0 = 1, d_1 = n - 1, d_2 = \frac{1}{2}n(n - 3), d_3 = \frac{1}{2}(n - 1)(n - 2)$$

$$d_4 = \frac{1}{6}n(n - 1)(n - 5), d_5 = \frac{1}{6}(n - 1)(n - 2)(n - 3),$$

$$d_6 = \frac{1}{3}n(n - 2)(n - 4)$$

$$d_7 = \frac{1}{24}n(n - 1)(n - 2)(n - 7),$$

$$d_8 = \frac{1}{24}(n - 1)(n - 2)(n - 3)(n - 4).$$

Then:

- If $7 \leq n \leq 9$, then d_0, d_1 are the two smallest degrees of cdA_n .
- If $10 \leq n \leq 14$, then d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3 are the four smallest degrees of ordinary irreducible representations of A_n .
- If $15 \leq n \leq 21$, then $d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6$ are the seven smallest degrees of ordinary irreducible representations of A_n .
- If $22 \leq n$, then $d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6, d_7, d_8$ are the nine smallest degrees of ordinary irreducible representations of A_n .

There is exactly one representation of each degree listed above.

The Complex Case

The proof of the theorem gives reason to consider the following question.

Let G be a finite simple group and H be a group with $\mathbb{C}G \cong \mathbb{C}H$.
Are G and H isomorphic groups?

The Complex Case

The proof of the theorem gives reason to consider the following question.

Let G be a finite simple group and H be a group with $\mathbb{C}G \cong \mathbb{C}H$. Are G and H isomorphic groups?

Note: If Hupperts conjecture holds for a simple group G then of course the above question has a positive answer too. The complex group algebra is determined by $cd(G)$ plus multiplicities.

The Complex Case

The proof of the theorem gives reason to consider the following question.

Let G be a finite simple group and H be a group with $\mathbb{C}G \cong \mathbb{C}H$. Are G and H isomorphic groups?

Note: If Hupperts conjecture holds for a simple group G then of course the above question has a positive answer too. The complex group algebra is determined by $cd(G)$ plus multiplicities.

Theorem

(Preliminary Version) $\mathbb{C}G$ determines G if G is an simple alternating group, a sporadic simple group or a simple group of Lie type over a prime field.

The Complex Case

The proof of the theorem gives reason to consider the following question.

Let G be a finite simple group and H be a group with $\mathbb{C}G \cong \mathbb{C}H$. Are G and H isomorphic groups?

Note: If Hupperts conjecture holds for a simple group G then of course the above question has a positive answer too. The complex group algebra is determined by $cd(G)$ plus multiplicities.

Theorem

(Preliminary Version) $\mathbb{C}G$ determines G if G is an simple alternating group, a sporadic simple group or a simple group of Lie type over a prime field.

For the other simple groups of Lie type the problems seems to be solvable but not all the calculation is done till now.

The Complex Case

The proof of the theorem gives reason to consider the following question.

Let G be a finite simple group and H be a group with $\mathbb{C}G \cong \mathbb{C}H$. Are G and H isomorphic groups?

Note: If Hupperts conjecture holds for a simple group G then of course the above question has a positive answer too. The complex group algebra is determined by $cd(G)$ plus multiplicities.

Theorem

(Preliminary Version) $\mathbb{C}G$ determines G if G is an simple alternating group, a sporadic simple group or a simple group of Lie type over a prime field.

For the other simple groups of Lie type the problems seems to be solvable but not all the calculation is done till now.

The proof makes use of the list of minimal characters of groups of Lie-Type (Tiep, Zalesskii, Luebeck)
and the Steinberg character in combination with results by Balogh, Bessenrodt, Olsson and Ono as well as by Malle and Zalesskii on character degrees of prime power order.

The proof makes use of the list of minimal characters of groups of Lie-Type (Tiep, Zalesskii, Luebeck) and the Steinberg character in combination with results by Balogh, Bessenrodt, Olsson and Ono as well as by Malle and Zalesskii on character degrees of prime power order.

Up to few exceptions the Steinberg character is the only non-trivial irreducible character of prim power degree.

The proof makes use of the list of minimal characters of groups of Lie-Type (Tiep, Zaleskii, Luebeck) and the Steinberg character in combination with results by Balogh, Bessenrodt, Olsson and Ono as well as by Malle and Zaleskii on character degrees of prime power order. Up to few exceptions the Steinberg character is the only non-trivial irreducible character of prim power degree.

Does the complex group algebra determine almost simple groups up to isomorphism?

Concerning the techniques used in the proof for the alternating groups the following holds:

$\mathbb{C}S_n$ determines S_n up to isomorphism. (Some small n remain to be checked)

Does the complex group algebra determine almost simple groups up to isomorphism?

Concerning the techniques used in the proof for the alternating groups the following holds:

$\mathbb{C}S_n$ determines S_n up to isomorphism. (Some small n remain to be checked)

Let G be a finite simple group H a finite group and \mathbb{F}_p the field of characteristic p .

- 1 Is there a prime $p(G)$ such that $\mathbb{F}_{p(G)}G \cong \mathbb{F}_{p(G)}H \Rightarrow G \cong H$
- 2 Does the above statement hold for all primes?

The first statement is true for almost all simple groups of Lie-Type by a result of Kimmerle (using Humphrey's result on the number of blocks of simple groups of Lie type in defining characteristic).

Let G be a finite simple group H a finite group and \mathbb{F}_p the field of characteristic p .

- 1 Is there a prime $p(G)$ such that $\mathbb{F}_{p(G)}G \cong \mathbb{F}_{p(G)}H \Rightarrow G \cong H$
- 2 Does the above statement hold for all primes?

The first statement is true for almost all simple groups of Lie-Type by a result of Kimmerle (using Humphrey's result on the number of blocks of simple groups of Lie type in defining characteristic).