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For the purposes of $[\mathrm{K}]$ and $[\mathrm{KM}]$ it became necessary to have $7 \times 7$ matrix generators for a Sylow-3-subgroup of the Ree groups ${ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$ and its normalizer. For example in $[\mathrm{K}]$ we wanted to show that in a seven dimensional representation the Jordan canonical form of any element of order nine is a single Jordan block of size 7. In [KM] we develop group recognition algorithms. At some stage we need to identify a certain subset of group elements with the Sylow-3-subgroup of ${ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$. This is most easily done using a faithful matrix representation of small dimension. In this note we provide matrix generators for two distinct Sylow-3subgroups of ${ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$, thereby providing a matrix generating set for the whole group. Starting with the Steinberg generators for a seven dimensional representation of $G_{2}(q)$ we construct our matrices following Carter [C], chapters 12 and 13. The matrices for the Steinberg generators of $G_{2}(q)$ were computed with the help of a computer program developed by the second author.

For our setup we let $G=G_{2}(K)$, where $K$ is the algebraic closure of a finite field of characteristic 3. Let $F$ a Frobenius endomorphism of $G$ whose set of fixed points $G^{F}$ is a Ree group of type ${ }^{2} G_{2}(q), q=3^{2 m+1}$. Let $T$ be an $F$-invariant maximal torus of $G$, and let $B$ and $B^{-}$be $F$-invariant Borel subgroups intersecting in $T$ with unipotent radicals $U$ respectively $U^{-}$. By $N$ we denote the normalizer $N_{G}(T)$. Let $\Phi$ be the root system of $G$ with respect to $T$ and $\{a, b\}$ its base given by $B$, where $a$ is a short and $b$ a long root. Now $U$ respectively $U^{-}$is generated by subgroups $X_{r}$ respectively $X_{-r}$, where $r \in \Phi^{+}$(the set of positive roots). The groups $X_{r}$ are isomorphic to the additive group of the field $K$. We denote the elements of $X_{r}$ by $X_{r}(t)$ where $t \in K$.

The reductive group $G$ has an irreducible 7 -dimensional representation over $K$ (with highest weight $(1,0)$ ) which can be found as follows: In characteristic 3 , the 14 -dimensional adjoint representation $V$ of $G$ has a 7 -dimensional irreducible submodule. This submodule is spanned by those elements of the Chevalley basis of $V$ which are labeled by short roots. The restriction of this representation to ${ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$ remains irreducible.

The second author has implemented a computer program which computes for an arbitrary Chevalley group explicit matrices for the root elements $X_{r}(t)$ in its adjoint representation with respect to a Chevalley basis. Using this for type $G_{2}$, we obtain the images of the $X_{r}(t)$ in the 7 -dimensional representation with high weight $(1,0)$ by cutting out the appropriate $7 \times 7$-blocks of the $X_{r}(t)$. (By abuse of notation we also denote these images by $X_{r}(t)$ in the sequel.)

[^0]These programs use the computer algebra packages GAP [GAP] and CHEVIE [GHLMP]. They work along the construction of the Chevalley groups as explained in Carters book [C]. It is planned to make them available to interested users as part of a larger package [L] for computing characters and highest weight representations of reductive groups.

The following list gives the matrices $X_{r}(t)$ for $G$. The reader can check that they satisfy the Steinberg relations [C] 12.2.1, where the structure constants are chosen as in the table on page 211 of [C]. (We denote zero entries by dots.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{b}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & t & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & 2 t & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& X_{-b}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & t & 1 & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 2 t & 1 & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & 1
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{3 a+2 b}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & . & . & . & . & 2 t & . \\
. & 1 & . & . & . & . & t \\
. & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & . \\
. & \cdot & . & . & 1 & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & 1 & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad X_{-3 a-2 b}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & 1 & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & 1 & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & . & \cdot \\
2 t & . & . & . & . & 1 & . \\
. & t & . & . & . & . & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

With our choice of structure constants the automorphism $F$ has a particularly nice form. Let $\pi$ be the involution on $\Phi$ which permutes $\pm a$ and $\pm b, \pm(a+b)$ and $\pm(3 a+b)$, and $\pm(2 a+b)$ and $\pm(3 a+2 b)$. Let $\theta=3^{m}$. Now

$$
F\left(X_{r}(t)\right)=X_{\pi(r)}\left(t^{\lambda(\pi(r)) \theta}\right)
$$

where $\lambda(\pi(r))$ is 1 if $\pi(r)$ is short and 3 if $\pi(r)$ is long, see for example Proposition 12.4.1 of $[\mathrm{C}]$ and the discussion on page 225.

Now every element of $U$ is of the form

$$
X_{a}\left(t_{1}\right) X_{b}\left(t_{2}\right) X_{a+b}\left(t_{3}\right) X_{2 a+b}\left(t_{4}\right) X_{3 a+b}\left(t_{5}\right) X_{3 a+2 b}\left(t_{6}\right)
$$

with unique elements $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{6} \in K$. Following the proof of [C] Proposition 13.6.3(vii) we confirm, using the computer program Maple, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(X_{a}\left(t_{1}\right) X_{b}\left(t_{2}\right) X_{a+b}\left(t_{3}\right) X_{2 a+b}\left(t_{4}\right) X_{3 a+b}\left(t_{5}\right) X_{3 a+2 b}\left(t_{6}\right)\right)= \\
& \quad X_{b}\left(t_{1}^{3 \theta}\right) X_{a}\left(t_{2}^{\theta}\right) X_{3 a+b}\left(t_{3}^{3 \theta}\right) X_{3 a+2 b}^{3 \theta}\left(t_{4}^{3 \theta}\right) X_{a+b}\left(t_{5}^{\theta}\right) X_{2 a+b}\left(t_{6}^{\theta}\right)=X_{a}\left(t_{2}^{\theta}\right) X_{b}\left(t_{1}^{3 \theta}\right) . \\
& \quad X_{a+b}\left(t_{1}^{3 \theta} t_{2}^{\theta}+t_{5}^{\theta}\right) X_{2 a+b}\left(t_{1}^{3 \theta} t_{2}^{2 \theta}+t_{6}^{\theta}\right) X_{3 a+b}\left(-\left(t_{1} t_{2}\right)^{3 \theta}+t_{3}^{3 \theta}\right) X_{3 a+2 b}\left(-t_{1}^{6 \theta} t_{2}^{3 \theta}+t_{4}^{3 \theta}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of the factors and using that for $x \in K$ we have $x^{3 \theta^{2}}=x^{q}=x$ iff $x \in F_{q}$, we get the parametrization of the $F$-stable elements of $U$.

Set $t=t_{2}, u=t_{5}$ and $v=t_{6}$. Then $U^{F}=\left\{x_{S}(t, u, v) \mid t, u, v \in F_{q}\right\}$, where

$$
x_{S}(t, u, v)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & t^{\theta} & -u^{\theta} & (t u)^{\theta}-v^{\theta} & f_{1}(t, u, v) & f_{2}(t, u, v) & f_{3}(t, u, v) \\
. & 1 & t & u^{\theta}+t^{\theta+1} & -t^{2 \theta+1}-v^{\theta} & f_{4}(t, u, v) & f_{5}(t, u, v) \\
. & \cdot & 1 & t^{\theta} & -t^{2 \theta} & v^{\theta}+(t u)^{\theta} & f_{6}(t, u, v) \\
. & \cdot & . & 1 & t^{\theta} & u^{\theta} & (t u)^{\theta}-v^{\theta} \\
\cdot & \cdot & . & \cdot & 1 & -t & u^{\theta}+t^{\theta+1} \\
\cdot & \cdot & . & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & -t^{\theta} \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta & =3^{m} \\
f_{1}(t, u, v) & =-u-t^{3 \theta+1}-(t v)^{\theta} \\
f_{2}(t, u, v) & =-v-(u v)^{\theta}-t^{3 \theta+2}-t^{\theta} u^{2 \theta} \\
f_{3}(t, u, v) & =t^{\theta} v-u^{\theta+1}+t^{4 \theta+2}-v^{2 \theta}-t^{3 \theta+1} u^{\theta}-(t u v)^{\theta}, \\
f_{4}(t, u, v) & =-u^{2 \theta}+t^{\theta+1} u^{\theta}+t v^{\theta} \\
f_{5}(t, u, v) & =v+t u-t^{2 \theta+1} u^{\theta}-(u v)^{\theta}-t^{3 \theta+2}-t^{\theta+1} v^{\theta} \\
f_{6}(t, u, v) & =u+t^{3 \theta+1}-(t v)^{\theta}-t^{2 \theta} u^{\theta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this we confirm, see [C] on page 236, that the group law for $U^{F}$ is as follows:

$$
x_{S}\left(t_{1}, u_{1}, v_{1}\right) x_{S}\left(t_{2}, u_{2}, v_{2}\right)=x_{S}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}, u_{1}+u_{2}-t_{1} t_{2}^{3 \theta}, v_{1}+v_{2}-t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{3 \theta}-t_{2} u_{1}+t_{1} t_{2}^{3 \theta+1}\right)
$$

Replacing positive roots by negative roots and proceeding as above we get that $U^{-F}=$ $\left\{x_{S}^{\prime}(t, u, v) \mid t, u, v \in F_{q}\right\}$, where

$$
x_{S}^{\prime}(t, u, v)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
t^{\theta} & 1 & . & . & . & . & \cdot \\
t^{\theta+1}-u^{\theta} & t & 1 & . & . & \cdot & \cdot \\
(t u)^{\theta}+v^{\theta} & -u^{\theta} & -t^{\theta} & 1 & . & \cdot & \cdot \\
g_{1}(t, u, v) & (t u)^{\theta}-v^{\theta} & -t^{2 \theta} & -t^{\theta} & 1 & . & \cdot \\
g_{2}(t, u, v) & g_{3}(t, u, v) & t^{2 \theta+1}+v^{\theta} & t^{\theta+1}-u^{\theta} & -t & 1 & \cdot \\
g_{4}(t, u, v) & g_{5}(t, u, v) & g_{6}(t, u, v) & (t u)^{\theta}+v^{\theta} & u^{\theta} & -t^{\theta} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta & =3^{m} \\
g_{1}(t, u, v) & =t^{3 \theta+1}+t^{2 \theta} u^{\theta}-(t v)^{\theta}-u \\
g_{2}(t, u, v) & =t^{3 \theta+2}+t^{\theta+1} v^{\theta}-u^{\theta} t^{2 \theta+1}-(u v)^{\theta}+t u-v \\
g_{3}(t, u, v) & =-t^{\theta+1} u^{\theta}-u^{2 \theta}+t v^{\theta} \\
g_{4}(t, u, v) & =t^{4 \theta+2}+u^{\theta} t^{3 \theta+1}+(t u v)^{\theta}-v^{2 \theta}-u^{\theta+1}+t^{\theta} v \\
g_{5}(t, u, v) & =t^{3 \theta+2}+t^{\theta} u^{2 \theta}-(u v)^{\theta}+v \\
g_{6}(t, u, v) & =-t^{3 \theta+1}-(t v)^{\theta}+u
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the group law is as follows:

$$
x_{S}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}, u_{1}, v_{1}\right) x_{S}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}, u_{2}, v_{2}\right)=x_{S}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}, u_{1}+u_{2}+t_{1} t_{2}^{3 \theta}, v_{1}+v_{2}-t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{3 \theta}+t_{2} u_{1}+t_{1} t_{2}^{3 \theta+1}\right)
$$

We note that the form of the group law in $U^{F}$ differs from that of $U^{-F}$ by two minus signs. We also remark here that if we let our matrices $X_{r}(t)$ act on the right, rather than on the left, then the form of the group law for $U^{F}$ changes to that of $U^{-F}$ and vice versa.

Following [C] Lemma 12.1.1 we define $n_{r}(t)$ as $X_{r}(t) X_{-r}\left(-t^{-1}\right) X_{r}(t)$ and $h_{r}(t)=$ $n_{r}(t) n_{r}(-1)$. Now every element of $T$ is of the form $h_{a}\left(t_{1}\right) h_{b}\left(t_{2}\right)$. Then by Lemma 13.7.1 we have $F\left(h_{a}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) F\left(h_{b}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)=h_{b}\left(t_{1}^{3 \theta}\right) h_{a}\left(t_{2}^{\theta}\right)$. So by Theorem 13.7.4 an element of $T$ is $F$ invariant iff $t_{1}=t_{2}^{\theta}$ and $t_{2}=t_{1}^{3 \theta}$; i.e. all the $h_{a}(t) h_{b}\left(t^{3 \theta}\right)$, where $t \in F_{q}$, are invariant. Let $t=t_{1}$, then $T^{F}=\left\{h(t) \mid t \in F_{q}^{*}\right\}$, where

$$
h(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
t^{\theta} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & t^{1-\theta} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & t^{2 \theta-1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & t^{1-2 \theta} & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & t^{\theta-1} & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & t^{-\theta}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Finally we note that $N^{F}$ is generated by $T^{F}$ and the matrix

$$
n:=n_{a+b}(1) n_{3 a+b}(1)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & . & . & . & -1 \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & . & . & -1 & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & . & -1 & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
. & \cdot & -1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & -1 & \cdot & . & . & \cdot & \cdot \\
-1 & \cdot & \cdot & . & . & \cdot & \cdot
\end{array}\right)
$$
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