

# Lattices over Dedekind domains

Markus Kirschmer

TRR 195 - Summer School 2023



# Dedekind domains

## Definition

Let  $S \subseteq T$  be domains. The **integral closure** of  $S$  in  $T$  is the subring

$$\text{Int}_S(T) = \{t \in T \mid f(t) = 0 \text{ for some monic } f \in S[X]\} \subseteq T.$$

## Definition

An integral domain  $R$  with field of fractions  $K$  is called a **Dedekind domain**, if

- $R$  is noetherian.
- every nonzero prime ideal of  $R$  is maximal.
- $R = \text{Int}_R(K)$ .

## Example

- $R = \mathbb{Z}$  is a Dedekind domain.
- Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field (i.e. a finite field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Then  $\mathbb{Z}_K := \text{Int}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K)$  is a Dedekind domain.
- Localizations/Completions of Dedekind domains are Dedekind domains.

# Fractional ideals

Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions  $K$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  denote the set of maximal ideals of  $R$ .

## Theorem

- 1 The set of *fractional ideals*

$$\mathcal{I}(R) = \{aI \mid a \in K^*, \{0\} \neq I \trianglelefteq R\}$$

forms a free abelian group under multiplication with basis  $\mathbb{P}(R)$ .

- 2 The neutral element of  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  is  $R$  and the *inverse* of  $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}(R)$  is

$$\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid x\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R\}.$$

- 3 Two fractional ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are isomorphic (as  $R$ -modules) if and only if  $\mathfrak{b} = a\mathfrak{a}$  for some  $a \in K^*$ . Hence the *class group*

$$\text{Cl}(R) := \mathcal{I}(R) / \{aR \mid a \in K^*\}$$

describes the isomorphism classes of fractional ideals of  $R$ .

# Completions

## Definition

A **valuation** of  $K$  is a map  $|\cdot|: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that for all  $x, y \in K$

- 1  $|x| = 0 \iff x = 0$ .
- 2  $|xy| = |x| \cdot |y|$ .
- 3  $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$ .

If  $|\cdot|$  satisfies the stronger condition  $|x + y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$  it is called non-archimedean.

## Theorem

*There is a (unique) minimal field extension  $\hat{K}/K$  such  $|\cdot|$  extends to a valuation on  $\hat{K}$  and  $(\hat{K}, |\cdot|)$  is complete (i.e. every Cauchy sequence in  $\hat{K}$  converges). The field  $\hat{K}$  is called the completion of  $K$  with respect to  $|\cdot|$ .*

Proof: See the construction of  $\mathbb{R}$  from  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

# Completion - Examples

- 1 Every embedding  $\iota: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  yields an archimedean valuation

$$|\cdot|_\iota: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, x \mapsto |\iota(x)|.$$

- 2 Since  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{I}(R)$ , every  $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}(R)$  admits a unique factorization

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(R)} \mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a})}.$$

This gives rise to an archimedean valuation

$$|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, x \mapsto 2^{-v_{\mathfrak{p}}(xR)}.$$

We denote the corresponding completion of  $K$  by  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and set

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Int}_R(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \{x \in K_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid |x| \leq 1\}.$$

Then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a complete local Dedekind ring with field of fractions  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

## Theorem (Ostrowski)

*All completions of algebraic number fields arise in these ways (up to isomorphism).*

# Lattices

## Definition

An  $R$ -lattice is a finitely generated, torsion free  $R$ -module.

Equivalently:

An  $R$ -lattice is a finitely generated  $R$ -submodule of a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space  $V$ . It is said to be **full**, if it contains a  $K$ -basis of  $V$ .

## Example

The non-zero lattices of  $R$  in  $V := K$  are the **fractional ideals** of  $R$  and the class group  $\text{Cl}(R)$  describes the isomorphism classes of lattices in  $R$ .

# Pseudo bases

## Theorem (Steinitz)

Let  $L$  be a  $R$ -lattice in  $V$ . Then there exists a linearly independent system  $(v_1, \dots, v_r) \in V^r$  and fractional ideals  $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_r \in R$  such that

$$L = \mathfrak{a}_1 v_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_r v_r .$$

Moreover,  $L$  is free if and only if the *Steinitz invariant*  $\prod_i \mathfrak{a}_i$  is principal.

The sequence  $((\mathfrak{a}_1, v_1), \dots, (\mathfrak{a}_r, v_r))$  is called a **pseudo basis** of  $L$  and  $r = \dim_K(KL)$  is called the **rank** of  $L$ .

Using pseudo bases, CAS like Oscar/Hecke can store, compare, intersect and sum lattices, see Tommy's talk for details.

# Completions

Let  $L$  a full  $R$ -lattice in a  $K$ -space  $V$ . Then

$$L_{\mathfrak{p}} := R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R L$$

is a full  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  lattice in the  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -space  $V_{\mathfrak{p}} := K_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_K V$ .

## Remark

If  $M = \mathfrak{a}_1 v_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_r v_r$  and  $\pi \in K$  with  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi) = 1$ , then

$$(\pi^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}_1)} v_1, \dots, \pi^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}_r)} v_r)$$

is an  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis of  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

In particular:

## Corollary

*If  $M$  is a full lattice in  $V$ , then  $L_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  almost everywhere (i.e. at all but finitely many prime ideals).*



# Local-global principle for lattices

## Theorem

We get bijections

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \text{full } R\text{-lattices in } V \} &\leftrightarrow \left\{ (M^{(\mathfrak{p})})_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(R)} \mid \begin{array}{l} M^{(\mathfrak{p})} \text{ full } R_{\mathfrak{p}}\text{-lattice in } V_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ with} \\ M^{(\mathfrak{p})} = L_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ almost everywhere} \end{array} \right\} \\ M &\mapsto (M_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(R)} \\ \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(R)} M^{(\mathfrak{p})} &\leftrightarrow (M^{(\mathfrak{p})})_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(R)} \end{aligned}$$

This allows for “local” manipulations of  $R$ -lattices: For example, to compute maximal sublattices  $X_1, \dots, X_s$  of  $L := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{a}_i x_i$  that contain  $\mathfrak{p}L$  do:

- 1 Let  $M$  be the lattice with basis  $(\pi^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}_1)} v_1, \dots, \pi^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}_r)} v_r)$ .
- 2 Since  $M$  is free and  $M/\mathfrak{p}M \cong (\mathbb{Z}_K/\mathfrak{p})^r$ , one can write down the maximal sublattices  $Y_1, \dots, Y_s$  of  $M$  that contain  $\mathfrak{p}M$ .
- 3 Set  $X_i = (Y_i + \mathfrak{p}L) \cap L$ .

# Lattices in quadratic spaces

From now on:  $K$  is an algebraic number field. Then any bilinear form  $\Phi: V \times V \rightarrow K$  induces a quadratic form  $\Phi: V \rightarrow K, v \mapsto \Phi(v, v)$ .

## Definition

Let  $(V, \Phi)$  and  $(V', \Phi')$  be regular bilinear/quadratic spaces over  $K$ .

- 1 The  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -lattices  $L, L'$  in  $(V, \Phi)$  and  $(V', \Phi')$  are called **isometric**, if there exists an isometry  $\varphi: (V, \Phi) \rightarrow (V', \Phi')$  such that  $\varphi(L) = L'$ . We denote this by writing  $L \cong L'$ .

- 2 The automorphism group of  $L$  is

$$\text{Aut}(L) = \{\varphi \in \text{O}(V, \Phi) \mid \varphi(L) = L\}.$$

- 3 The **dual** of a full lattice  $L$  in  $V$  is

$$L^\# := \{v \in V \mid \Phi(v, L) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_K\}.$$

The lattice  $L$  is called **integral** if  $L \subseteq L^\#$  and **unimodular** if  $L = L^\#$ .

Similar definitions hold for the completions  $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}_K)$ .

# Local-global principle for quadratic spaces

Let  $v \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}_K)$  or  $v: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The map  $\Phi$  extends to the completion  $V_v = V \otimes_K K_v$ . This yields a bilinear/quadratic space  $(V_v, \Phi)$  over  $K_v$ .

## Theorem (Hasse-Minkowski)

*Quadratic spaces over  $K$  are isometric if and only if their completions are isometric.*

This yields a classification of regular quadratic spaces over  $K$  by the following invariants:

- 1 The dimension  $m$  of  $V$ .
- 2 The discriminant  $\text{disc}(V, \Phi)$ .
- 3 The signatures of  $(V_\iota, \Phi)$  at the real embeddings  $\iota: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- 4 The finite set of prime ideals  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}_K)$  with Clifford invariant  $-1$ .

We say that  $(V, \Phi)$  is definite, if all embeddings  $\iota: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy  $\iota(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $(V_\iota, \Phi)$  is a definite space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

# Failure of the local-global principle

## Example

The local-global principle does *not* hold over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . E.g.

$$Q(x, y) = x^2 + xy + 8y^2 \quad \text{and} \quad Q'(x, y) = 2x^2 + xy + 4y^2$$

are isometric over  $\mathbb{R}$  and over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for all primes  $p$ , but not over  $\mathbb{Z}$  since  $Q(1, 0) = 1$  and  $Q'(x, y) \neq 1$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The failure of the local-global principle for lattices leads to the following definition:

## Definition

The **genus** and the **isometry class** of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L$  in  $(V, \Phi)$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gen}(L) &= \{L' \subset V \text{ a full } \mathbb{Z}_K\text{-lattice} \mid L_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong L'_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}_K)\} \\ \text{cls}(L) &= \{L' \subset V \text{ a full } \mathbb{Z}_K\text{-lattice} \mid L \cong L'\}. \end{aligned}$$

# Local isometry classes

Let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}_K)$  with  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi) = 1$ . A variation of the Gram-Schmidt process shows that  $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has a **Jordan decomposition**

$$L_{\mathfrak{p}} = L_1 \perp L_2 \perp \dots \perp L_r$$

where  $(L_i, \pi^{-s_i} \Phi)$  is unimodular and  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_r$ .

## Theorem

*If  $2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $(\text{rank}(L_i), \text{disc}(L_i, \pi^{-s_i} \Phi), s_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$  uniquely describe the isometry class of  $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .*

If  $2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ , the classification of the isometry classes is due to O'Meara and much more involved.

## Theorem (Kneser)

$$\text{gen}(L) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^h \text{cls}(L_i)$$

is a union of finitely many isometry classes and  $h(L) = h(\text{gen}(L)) = h$  is called the *class number* of  $L$  or  $\text{gen}(L)$ .

So  $h(L)$  measures by “how much” the local-global principle fails for  $L$ .

## Goal

Work out representatives  $L_1, \dots, L_h$ .

- If  $m = 1$ , then  $\text{gen}(L) = \text{cls}(L)$ .
- For  $m = 2$ , Gauß' famous composition of binary quadratic forms identifies the isometry classes in  $\text{gen}(L)$  with a (quotient) of a class group of a quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ .
- For  $m \geq 3$  we distinguish two cases:  $(V, \Phi)$  is indefinite or definite.

# Spinor norms

From now on, let  $m \geq 3$ .

## Definition

Let  $v \in V$  such that  $\Phi(v, v) \neq 0$ . Then the **reflection**

$$\sigma_v: V \rightarrow V, x \mapsto x - 2 \frac{\Phi(v, x)}{\Phi(v, v)} v$$

is an isometry on  $(V, \Phi)$ .

## Theorem

- 1 *The orthogonal group  $O(V, \Phi)$  is generated by reflections.*
- 2 *There exists a unique homomorphism  $\text{spn}: O(V, \Phi) \rightarrow K^*/K^{*,2}$  such that  $\text{spn}(\sigma_v) = \Phi(v, v)K^{*,2}$  called the **Spinor norm**.*

We set

$$S(V, \Phi) := \{ \varphi \in O(V, \Phi) \mid \det(\varphi) = 1 \text{ and } \text{spn}(\varphi) = 1 \}.$$

## Definition

The **spinor genus** of a full lattice  $L$  in  $V$  is

$$\text{sgen}(L) := \left\{ \sigma(M) \mid \begin{array}{l} \sigma \in O(V, \Phi) \text{ and } M \subseteq V \text{ a full lattice such that for all} \\ \mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}_K) \text{ exists some } \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}} \in S(V_{\mathfrak{p}}, \Phi) \text{ with } M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}(L_{\mathfrak{p}}) \end{array} \right\}$$

We clearly have

$$\text{cls}(L) \subseteq \text{sgen}(L) \subseteq \text{gen}(L).$$

So we are left with two problems:

- 1 Decompose the genus of  $L$  into spinor genera.
- 2 Decompose each spinor genus into isometry classes.



# Neighbors

## Definition

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}_K)$  such that  $(V_{\mathfrak{p}}, \Phi)$  is isotropic (automatically holds for  $m \geq 5$ ) and  $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is unimodular. A  $\mathfrak{p}$ -neighbor of  $L$  is a full lattice  $M$  in  $V$  such that

$$L/L \cap M \cong \mathbb{Z}_K/\mathfrak{p} \cong M/L \cap M.$$

## Facts

- 1 The  $\mathfrak{p}$ -neighbors of  $L$  can be written down explicitly.
- 2 The  $\mathfrak{p}$ -neighbors of  $L$  lie in the genus of  $L$ .
- 3 The number of spinor genera in  $\text{gen}(L)$  is  $2^r$  for some  $r \geq 0$ .
- 4 There exists a computable quotient  $Q \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$  of a ray class group of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  such that the image of  $[\mathfrak{p}] \in Q$  decides in which spinor genus the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -neighbors of  $L$  fall. In particular, any spinor genus in  $\text{gen}(L)$  can be reached by some suitable neighbor (Kneser, O'Meara, Beli, Chan, Lorch, K).

# Strong approximation

## Theorem (Strong approximation, Kneser)

Assume  $m = \dim(V) \geq 3$ . Let  $T \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}_K)$  with  $T$  finite. Let  $K_v$  be a completion with  $v \notin S$  and  $(V_v, \Phi)$  isotropic. Let  $L$  be a full lattice in  $V$  and for  $\mathfrak{p} \in T$  fix some  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}} \in S(V_{\mathfrak{p}}, \Phi)$ . Then for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists some  $\sigma \in S(V, \Phi)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma - \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}})(L_{\mathfrak{p}}) &\subseteq \mathfrak{p}^k L_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{p} \in T \\ \sigma(L)_{\mathfrak{p}} &= L_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus T\end{aligned}$$

## Corollary

If  $m \geq 3$  and  $(V, \Phi)$  is indefinite, then  $\text{sgen}(L) = \text{cls}(L)$ .

**Note:** In the indefinite case, this settles the problem of finding representatives of the isometry classes in  $\text{sgen}(L)$  *without* testing for isometries!

# The definite case

Pick  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}_K)$  s.t.  $(V_{\mathfrak{p}}, \Phi)$  is isotropic and the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -neighbors of  $L$  lie in  $\text{sgen}(L)$ .

## Theorem (Kneser)

*By strong approximation, any isometry class in  $\text{sgen}(L)$  has a representative  $M$  such that  $M_{\mathfrak{q}} = L_{\mathfrak{q}}$  for all  $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}$  and there exists a sequence*

$$L = L_0, L_1, \dots, L_r = M$$

*of lattices such that  $L_i$  is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -neighbor of  $L_{i-1}$ .*

Hence the directed graph  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of isometry classes in  $\text{sgen}(L)$  defined by

$$\text{cls}(M) \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \text{cls}(M') \iff M' \text{ is a } \mathfrak{p}\text{-neighbour of } M$$

is connected.

## Essence

To split  $\text{sgen}(L)$  into isometry classes, we need to find a spanning tree of  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Note:** This requires that we can test for isometries!

# Computing isometries of definite lattices I

Suppose first  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  and let  $L$  be a lattice in a definite space  $(V, \Phi)$ .  
Let  $(b_1, \dots, b_m)$  be a basis of  $L$  and  $B > 0$ .

First: Enumerate  $L_{\leq B} := \{x \in L \mid \Phi(x, x) \leq B\}$

The Finke-Pohst method is based on the Cholesky decomposition: There are  $q_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\Phi(x, x) = \sum_{i=1}^m q_{i,i} \left( x_i + \sum_{j=i+1}^m q_{ij} x_j \right)^2 \quad \text{for all } x = \sum_i x_i b_i \in L.$$

Then  $\Phi(x, x) \leq B$  implies  $x_m^2 q_{m,m} \leq B$ . Hence there are only finitely many possibilities for  $x_m$ .

Similarly,  $q_{m-1,m-1} (x_{m-1} + q_{m-1,m} x_m)^2 \leq B - q_{m,m} x_m^2$ . Thus for fixed  $x_m$  there are only finitely many possibilities for  $x_{m-1}$ , etc.

So  $L_{\leq B}$  is finite and can be enumerated by backtracking.

# Computing isometries of definite lattices II

The following algorithm computes an isometry  $\varphi: L \rightarrow L'$  between lattices  $L, L'$  in definite spaces  $(V, \Phi)$  and  $(V', \Phi')$ .

## Plesken & Souvignier

- 1 Let  $B > 0$  such that  $L_{\leq B} := \{x \in L \mid \Phi(x, x) \leq B\}$  generates  $L$ .
- 2 Suppose  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\} \subseteq L_{\leq B}$  generates  $V$ , so  $\varphi$  is uniquely determined by  $\varphi(b_i) \in L'_{\leq B}$ .
- 3 If  $\varphi(b_1), \dots, \varphi(b_{i-1})$  are already chosen, pick  $\varphi(b_i) \in L'_{\leq B}$  such that

$$\Phi(b_i, b_j) = \Phi'(\varphi(b_i), \varphi(b_j)) \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq i.$$

If no such image  $\varphi(b_i)$  exists, backtrack and choose a different image for  $b_{i-1}$ .

A modification can be used to compute generators of  $\text{Aut}(L)$ .

# There are several tricks that speed up this search

- 1 Every isometry  $\varphi$  must respect the fingerprint

$$\#\{y \in L_{=D} \mid \Phi(x, y) = c\}$$

for  $D \in \{\varphi(x, x) \mid x \in L_{\leq B}\}$  and  $c \in \{\varphi(x, y) \mid x, y \in L_{\leq B}\}$ .

- 2 R. Bacher associates to any  $v \in L$  with  $\ell := \Phi(v, v)$  a polynomial  $B_v(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$  as follows.

For  $w \in W_v := \{x \in L \mid \Phi(x, x) = \ell, \Phi(x, v) = \ell/2\}$ . Let

$$n_w = \#\{(x, y) \in W_v^2 \mid \Phi(x, w) = \Phi(y, v) = \Phi(x, y) = \ell/2\}.$$

Then  $B_v(T) := \sum_{w \in W_v} T^{n_w}$ . Since  $B_v$  is defined by scalar products, we have  $B_v = B_{\varphi(v)}$  for each isometry  $\varphi$ .

- 3 W. Unger uses J. Leon's ideas on partition refinement to speed up the backtrack search in recent versions of Magma.
- 4  $\varphi$  induces isometries between certain canonical sub/overlattices of  $L$  and  $L'$ .  
E.g. between  $\rho_p(L)$  and  $\rho_p(L')$  where  $\rho_p$  is Watson  $p$ -map (more later).

## Computing isometries of definite lattices III

Obvious changes to the above method only computes isometries  $L \rightarrow L$  which preserve some additional bilinear forms.

Suppose now  $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$  and let  $L$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -lattice in a definite bilinear space  $(V, \Phi)$ . For  $a \in K$ ,

$$\Phi_a: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, (x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a\Phi(x, y))$$

defines a bilinear form on the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Note that  $\Phi_1$  is positive definite. Further, for any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map  $\varphi: L \rightarrow L$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- $\varphi$  is an isometry in  $(V, \Phi)$ .
- $\varphi$  is an isometry in  $(V_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Phi_1)$  which preserves  $\Phi_a$  where  $K = \mathbb{Q}(a)$ .

The maps  $\varphi$  satisfying the latter property can be enumerated as seen before.

# Siegel's Mass formula

## Definition

If  $\text{gen}(L) = \biguplus_{i=1}^h \text{cls}(L_i)$ , then  $\text{Mass}(L) := \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{1}{\#\text{Aut}(L_i)}$  is the **mass** of  $L$ .

## Theorem (Siegel)

If  $m \geq 3$  is odd, then

$$\text{Mass}(L) = c(m)^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} \cdot d_K^{m(m-1)/4} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{(m-1)/2} \zeta_K(2i) \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}_K)} \lambda(L_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

where

- 1  $c(m)$  is a constant depending on  $m$ .
- 2  $d_K$  is the absolute value of the discriminant of  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ .
- 3  $\zeta_K$  is the Dedekind zeta function of  $K$ .
- 4  $\lambda(L_{\mathfrak{p}})$  are the local densities (fudge factors).

A similar formula holds for  $m \geq 4$  even.



# Siegel's Mass formula

## Note

The mass formula yields an oracle to decide if all vertices in the graph  $\Gamma_p$  have already been found.

We now turn to the enumeration of all definite lattices with class number one.

- The main tool is again the mass formula.
- By Gauß' composition of binary quadratic forms, the enumeration of all one-class genera in the case  $m = 2$  yields relative class number problems in quadratic extensions of  $K$ . There is currently no unconditional solution.
- So for the remainder of the talk let  $(V, \Phi)$  be a definite quadratic space over  $K$  of dimension  $m \geq 3$ .

# Watson's transformations

For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}_K)$  define

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) := L + (\mathfrak{p}^{-1}L \cap \mathfrak{p}L^{\#})$$

Let  $\pi \in K$  with  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi) = 1$  and let

$$L_{\mathfrak{p}} = L_0 \perp \dots \perp L_s$$

be a Jordan decomposition such that  $(L_i, \pi^{-i}\Phi)$  is unimodular. Then

- $h(L) \geq h(\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}(L))$ .
- $\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}(L_{\mathfrak{p}}) = (L_0 \perp \mathfrak{p}^{-1}L_2) \perp (L_1 \perp \mathfrak{p}^{-1}L_3) \perp \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(L_4 \perp \dots \perp L_s)$
- $\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) = L \iff L_{\mathfrak{p}} = L_0 \perp L_1$  if this is the case, then  $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is called square-free.

Idea:

It suffices to enumerate the definite, square-free lattices with class number 1.

# Enumeration of one-class genera

Suppose  $L$  is a definite square-free lattice of rank  $m \geq 3$  with class number 1. Then there exists an explicit constant  $b(m)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \geq \text{Mass}(L) &= c(m)^n \cdot d_K^{m(m-1)/4} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(L) \\ &\geq c(m)^n \cdot d_K^{m(m-1)/4} \cdot b(m)^n \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $n := [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ . Thus

$$d_K^{1/n} \leq (b(m)c(m))^{\frac{-4}{m(m-1)}}. \quad (2)$$

- 1 The rhs of (2) is  $\leq 10 \rightsquigarrow$  finitely many  $K$  (enumerated by J. Voight).
- 2 For  $K$  fixed, the rhs of (2) tends to  $\infty$  if  $m \rightarrow \infty \rightsquigarrow$  finitely many  $m$ .
- 3 For  $K$  and  $m$  fixed, there are only finitely many square-free  $L$  satisfying (1).  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  Construct them and check if class number is 1.
- 4 Investigate  $\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}$  to get the non-square-free lattices with class number 1.

## Theorem (Watson, Lorch, K.)

- 1 There are 30 (totally real) number fields  $K$  that admit definite lattices of rank  $\geq 3$  and class number one.
- 2 Over  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  there are up to similarity (isometry + rescaling the quadratic form) 1884 definite lattices of rank  $\geq 3$  with class number one:

rank	3	4	5	7	6	8	9	10	> 10	total
lattices	794	481	295	186	86	36	4	2	0	1884

- 3 Over the 29 fields  $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$  there are (up to similarity) 5903 definite lattices of rank  $\geq 3$  with class number one and they all have rank  $\leq 6$ .