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#### Abstract

We show that all elementary lattices that are free $\mathbb{Z}_{p} C_{p^{-}}$ modules admit an orthogonal decomposition into a sum of a free unimodular and a $p$-modular $\mathbb{Z}_{p} C_{p}$-lattice. MSC: 11H56; 11E08 KEYWORDS: quadratic forms over local rings; automorphism groups of lattices; free modules; Jordan decomposition; Smith normal form.


## 1. Introduction

Let $R:=\mathbb{Z}_{p} C_{p}$ denote the group ring of the cyclic group of order $p$ over the localisation of $\mathbb{Z}$ at the prime $p$. The present paper considers free $R$-lattices $L \cong R^{a}$. The main observation in this situation is Theorem 2.2: Given two free $R$-modules $M$ and $L$ with $p M \subseteq L \subseteq M$ then there is an $R$-basis $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{a}\right)$ of $M$ and $0 \leq t \leq a$ such that $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}, p g_{t+1}, \ldots, p g_{a}\right)$ is an $R$-basis of $L$. So these lattices do admit a compatible basis. Applying this observation to Hermitian $R$-lattices shows that free elementary Hermitian $R$-lattices admit an invariant splitting (see Theorem 4.1) as the orthogonal sum of a free unimodular lattice and a free $p$-modular lattice.

The results of this note have been used in the thesis [1] to study extremal lattices admitting an automorphism of order $p$ in the case that $p$ divides the level of the lattice.

## 2. Existence of compatible bases

For a prime $p$ we denote by

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{p}:=\left\{\left.\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \right\rvert\, p \text { does not divide } b\right\}
$$

the localisation of $\mathbb{Z}$ at the prime $p$. The following arguments also apply accordingly to the completion of this discrete valuation ring. Let $R:=\mathbb{Z}_{p} C_{p}$ denote the group ring of the cyclic group $C_{p}=\langle\sigma\rangle$ of order $p$. Then $e_{1}:=$ $\frac{1}{p}\left(1+\sigma+\ldots+\sigma^{p-1}\right) \in \mathbb{Q} C_{p}$ and $e_{\zeta}:=1-e_{1}$ are the primitive idempotents
in the group algebra $\mathbb{Q} C_{p}$ with $\mathbb{Q} C_{p}=\mathbb{Q} C_{p} e_{1} \oplus \mathbb{Q} C_{p} e_{\zeta} \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]$, where $\zeta_{p}$ is a primitive $p$-th root of unity. The ring $T:=\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]$ is a discrete valuation ring in the $p$-th cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]$ with prime element $\pi:=\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)$ and hence

$$
R e_{1} \oplus R e_{\zeta} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]=: S \oplus T
$$

is the unique maximal $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-order in $\mathbb{Q} C_{p}$.
Remark 2.1. With the notation above $T /(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p} /(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and via this natural ring epimorphism

$$
R=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right] \mid x+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}=y+\pi \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]\right\}
$$

$R$ is generated as $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-algebra by $1=(1,1)$ and $1-\sigma=(0, \pi)$. Moreover $R e_{1} \cap R=p R e_{1}=p S$ and $R e_{\zeta} \cap R=\pi R e_{\zeta}=\pi T$ and the radical $J(R):=$ $p S \oplus \pi T$ of $R$ is the unique maximal ideal of the local ring $R$.

By [6] the indecomposable $R$-lattices are the free $R$-module $R$, the trivial $R$-lattice $\mathbb{Z}_{p}=R e_{1}=S$ and the lattice $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\zeta_{p}\right]=R e_{\zeta}=T$ in the rational irreducible faithful representation of $C_{p}$. The theorem by Krull-Remak-SchmidtAzumaya [2, Chapter 1, Section 11] ensures that any finitely generated $R$ lattice $L$ is a direct sum of indecomposable $R$-lattices

$$
L \cong R^{a} \oplus T^{b} \oplus S^{c}
$$

In this note we focus on the case of free $R$-lattices. Though $R$ is not a principal ideal domain, for certain sublattices of free $R$-lattices there do exist compatible bases:

Theorem 2.2. Let $M \cong R^{a}$ be a free $R$-lattice of rank a. Assume that $L$ is a free $R$-lattice with $p M \subseteq L \subseteq M$. Then there is an $R$-basis $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{a}\right)$ of $M=R g_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus R g_{a}$ and $0 \leq t \leq a$ such that

$$
L=R g_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus R g_{t} \oplus p R g_{t+1} \oplus \ldots \oplus p R g_{a}
$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{S}:=M e_{1}$ and $\tilde{T}:=M e_{\zeta}$. Now $M \cong R^{a}$ is a free $R$-lattice, so, as in Remark 2.1, $M$ is a sublattice of $\tilde{S} \oplus \tilde{T}$ of index $p^{a}, \tilde{S} \cap M=p \tilde{S}$, and $\tilde{T} \cap M=\pi \tilde{T}$. The Jacobson radical is $J(M)=J(R) M=p \tilde{S} \oplus \pi \tilde{T}$ and of index $p^{a}$ in $M$. We proceed by induction on $a$.
If $a=1$, then $M=R, \tilde{S} \cong S, \tilde{T} \cong T$. As $M / p M \cong \mathbb{F}_{p} C_{p} \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /(x-1)^{p}$ is a chain ring, the $R$-sublattices of $M$ that contain $p M$ form a chain:

$$
M \supset p \tilde{S} \oplus \pi \tilde{T} \supset p \tilde{S} \oplus \pi^{2} \tilde{T} \supset \ldots \supset p \tilde{S} \oplus \pi^{p-2} \tilde{T} \supset p \tilde{S} \oplus p \tilde{T} \supset p M
$$

The only free $R$-lattices among these are $M$ and $p M$.
Now assume that $a>1$. If $L \nsubseteq J(M)$ then we may choose $g_{1} \in L \backslash J(M)$. As $g_{1} \notin J(M)$ the $R$-submodule $R g_{1}$ of $M$ is a free submodule of both modules $L$ and $M$, so $M=R g_{1} \oplus M^{\prime}, L=R g_{1} \oplus L^{\prime}$ where $M^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}=L \cap M^{\prime}$ are free $R$-lattices of rank $a-1$ satisfying the assumption of the theorem and the theorem follows by induction. So we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \subseteq J(M)=p \tilde{S} \oplus \pi \tilde{T} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The element $e_{1} \in \mathbb{Q} C_{p}$ is a central idempotent in $\operatorname{End}_{R}(J(M))$ projecting onto $p \tilde{S}=J(M) e_{1}$. The assumption that $p M \subseteq L \subseteq J(M)$ implies that

$$
p \tilde{S}=p M e_{1} \subseteq L e_{1} \subseteq J(M) e_{1}=p \tilde{S}
$$

So $L e_{1}=p M e_{1}=p \tilde{S}$.
To show that $L=p M$ we first show that $L e_{\zeta}=p M e_{\zeta}$.
As $p M \subseteq L$ we clearly have that $p M e_{\zeta} \subseteq L e_{\zeta}$.
To see the opposite inclusion put $K:=L \cap L e_{\zeta}$ to be the kernel of the projection $e_{1}: L \rightarrow L e_{1}$. As $L$ is free, we get, as in Remark 2.1, that $K=$ $\pi L e_{\zeta}$. Let $k$ be maximal such that $K \subseteq \pi^{k} \tilde{T}$. Then $k \geq 2$ because $L e_{\zeta} \subseteq \pi \tilde{T}$ (see equation (1)).
Assume that $k \leq p-1$. There is $\ell \in L$ such that $y=\ell e_{\zeta} \notin \pi^{k} \tilde{T}$. As $p M e_{1}=L e_{1}$, there is $m \in M$ such that $p m e_{1}=\ell e_{1}$. Now $p M \subseteq L$ so $p m \in L$ and $\ell-p m \in K=K e_{\zeta}$.
We compute $\ell-p m=(\ell-p m) e_{\zeta}=y-p m e_{\zeta}$.
As $p M e_{\zeta}=p \tilde{T}=\pi^{p-1} \tilde{T}$ and $y \notin \pi^{k} \tilde{T}$ the assumption that $k \leq p-1$ shows that $\ell-p m \notin \pi^{k} \tilde{T}$, which contradicts the definition of $k$.
Therefore $k \geq p$ and $L e_{\zeta} \subseteq p M e_{\zeta}$.
Now $p M$ and $L$ both have index $p^{a}$ in $p M e_{1} \oplus p M e_{\zeta}=L e_{1} \oplus L e_{\zeta} \quad$ (again by Remark 2.1 as $L$ and $M$ are free). So the assumption $p M \subseteq L$ implies that $p M=L$.

Remark 2.3. Let $M \cong T^{b} \oplus S^{c}$ and let $L$ be a sublattice of $M$ again isomorphic to $T^{b} \oplus S^{c}$. Then $M=M e_{\zeta} \oplus M e_{1}$ and $L=L e_{\zeta} \oplus L e_{1}$. By the main theorem for modules over principal ideal domains there is a $T$-basis $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{b}\right)$ of $M e_{\zeta}$ and an $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-basis $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{c}\right)$ of $M e_{1}$, as well as $0 \leq n_{1} \leq \ldots \leq n_{b}$, $0 \leq m_{1} \leq \ldots \leq m_{c}$, such that $L=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{b} \pi^{n_{i}} T x_{i} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} p^{m_{i}} \mathbb{Z}_{p} y_{i}$.

Example 2.4. For general modules $M$, however, Theorem 2.2 has no appropriate analogue. To see this consider $M \cong R \oplus S$ and choose a pseudo-basis ( $x, y$ ) of $M$ such that $x$ generates a free direct summand and $y$ its complement isomorphic to $S$. Let $L$ be the $R$-sublattice generated by $p x e_{1}$ and $x(1-\sigma)+y$. As $x(1-\sigma)+y$ generates a free $R$-sublattice of $M$ and $R\left(p x e_{1}\right) \cong S$ we have $L \cong S \oplus R$. For $p>2$ we compute that $p M \subseteq L \subseteq M$. Then the fact that $|M / L|=p^{2}$ implies that these two modules do not admit a compatible pseudo-basis.

## 3. Lattices in rational quadratic spaces

From now on we consider $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-lattices $L$ in a non-degenerate rational quadratic space $(V, B)$. The dual lattice of $L$ is

$$
L^{\#}:=\left\{x \in V \mid B(x, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \text { for all } \ell \in L\right\} .
$$

The lattice $L$ is called integral, if $L \subseteq L^{\#}$ and elementary, if

$$
p L^{\#} \subseteq L \subseteq L^{\#}
$$

Following O'Meara [5, Section 82 G ] we call a lattice $L$ unimodular if $L=L^{\#}$ and $p^{j}$-modular if $p^{j} L^{\#}=L$.

We now assume that $\sigma$ is an automorphism of $L$ of order $p$, so $\sigma$ is an orthogonal mapping of $(V, B)$ with $L \sigma=L$. Then also the dual lattice $L^{\#}$ is a $\sigma$-invariant lattice in $V$. As the dual basis of a lattice basis of $L$ is a lattice basis of $L^{\#}$, the symmetric bilinear form $B$ yields an identification between $L^{\#}$ and the lattice $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(L, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-valued linear forms on $L$. The $\sigma$-invariance of $B$ shows that this is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\sigma]$-modules.
Remark 3.1. As a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\sigma]$-module we have $L^{\#} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(L, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$.
As all indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\sigma]$-lattices are isomorphic to their homomorphism lattices, we obtain
Proposition 3.2. (see [4, Lemma 5.6]) If $L \cong R^{a} \oplus T^{b} \oplus S^{c}$ as $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\sigma]$-lattice then also $L^{\#} \cong R^{a} \oplus T^{b} \oplus S^{c}$.

The group ring $R$ comes with a natural involution ${ }^{-}$, the unique $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$ linear map ${ }^{-}: R \rightarrow R$ with $\overline{\sigma^{i}}=\sigma^{-i}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. This involution is the restriction of the involution on the maximal order $S \oplus T$ that is trivial on $S$ and the complex conjugation on $T$.

Remark 3.3. The $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-lattice $R$ is unimodular with respect to the symmetric bilinear form

$$
R \times R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p},(x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}_{r e g}(x \bar{y})
$$

where $\operatorname{Tr}_{r e g}: \mathbb{Q} C_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ denotes the regular trace of the $p$-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$ algebra $\mathbb{Q} C_{p}$. We thus obtain a bijection between the set of $\sigma$-invariant $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$ valued symmetric bilinear forms on the $R$-lattice $L$ and the $R$-valued Hermitian forms on $L$ : If $h: L \times L \rightarrow R$ is such a Hermitian form, then $B=\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Tr}_{r e g} \circ h$ is a symmetric bilinear $\sigma$-invariant form on $L$. As $R=R^{\#}$ these forms yield the same notion of duality. In particular the dual lattice $L^{\#}$ of a free lattice $L=\oplus_{i=1}^{a} R g_{i}$ is again free $L^{\#}=\oplus_{i=1}^{a} R g_{i}^{*}$ with the Hermitian dual basis $\left(g_{1}^{*}, \ldots, g_{a}^{*}\right)$ as a lattice basis, giving a constructive argument for Proposition 3.2 for free lattices.

## 4. Free elementary lattices

In this section we assume that $L$ is an elementary lattice and $\sigma$ an automorphism of $L$ of prime order $p$. Recall that $R$ is the commutative ring $R:=\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\sigma]$, so $L$ is an $R$-module.

Theorem 4.1. Let $p$ be a prime and let $L$ be an elementary lattice with an automorphism $\sigma$ such that $L \cong R^{a}$ is a free $R$-module. Then also $L^{\#} \cong$ $R^{a}$ and there is an $R$-basis $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{a}\right)$ of $L^{\#}$ and $0 \leq t \leq a$ such that $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}, p g_{t+1}, \ldots, p g_{a}\right)$ is an $R$-basis of $L$. In particular $L$ is the orthogonal sum of the unimodular free $R$-lattice $L_{0}:=R g_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus R g_{t}$ and a p-modular free $R$-lattice $L_{1}:=L_{0}^{\perp}$.

Proof. Under the assumption both lattices $L$ and $M:=L^{\#}$ are free $R$ modules satisfying $p M \subseteq L \subseteq M$. So by Theorem 2.2 there is a basis $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{a}\right)$ of $M$ such that $\left(g_{1}, \ldots g_{t}, p g_{t+1}, \ldots, p g_{a}\right)$ is a basis of $L$. Clearly $L$ is an integral lattice and $L_{0}:=R g_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus R g_{t}$ is a unimodular sublattice of $L$. By [3, Satz 1.6] unimodular free sublattices split as orthogonal summands, so $L=L_{0} \perp L_{1}$ with $L_{1}^{\#}=\frac{1}{p} L_{1}$, i.e. $L_{1}$ is $p$-modular.

Note that the assumption that the lattice is elementary is necessary, as the following example shows.

Example 4.2. Let $L=R g_{1} \oplus R g_{2}$ be a free lattice of rank 2 with $R$-valued Hermitian form defined by the Gram matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(p, 0) & (0, \pi) \\
(0, \bar{\pi}) & (p, 0)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here we identify $R$ as a subring of $S \oplus T$, so $(p, 0)=p e_{1}=1+\sigma+\ldots+\sigma^{p-1}$ and $(0, \pi)=\left(0,\left(1-\zeta_{p}\right)\right)=1-\sigma \in R$. Then $L$ is orthogonally indecomposable, because $L e_{\zeta}$ is an orthogonally indecomposable $T$-lattice, but $L$ is not modular. Note that the base change matrix between $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ and the dual basis, an $R$-basis of $L^{\#}$, is the inverse of the Gram matrix above, so

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(p^{-1}, 0\right) & \left(0,-\bar{\pi}^{-1}\right) \\
\left(0,-\pi^{-1}\right) & \left(p^{-1}, 0\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

As $(1,0)=e_{1} \notin R$ this shows that $p L^{\#} \nsubseteq L$, so $L$ is not an elementary lattice.
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