

DESIGNS IN GRASSMANNIAN SPACES AND LATTICES

CHRISTINE BACHOC, RENAUD COULANGEON, AND GABRIELE NEBE

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of a t -design on the Grassmann manifold $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ of the m -subspaces of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . It generalizes the notion of antipodal spherical design which was introduced by P. Delsarte, J.-M. Goethals and J.-J. Seidel. We characterize the finite subgroups of the orthogonal group which have the property that all its orbits are t -designs. Generalizing a result due to B. Venkov, we prove that, if the minimal m -sections of a lattice L form a 4-design, then L is a local maximum for the Rankin function $\gamma_{n,m}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of *strongly perfect lattice* is due to Boris Venkov. It is a subclass of the extreme lattices, i.e. of the lattices on which the Hermite function is a local maximum, distinguished by a combinatorial property of its minimal vectors. Namely, its minimal vectors form a set which is a *4-spherical design* in the sense of [4].

In this paper, we introduce an analogous notion of t -design on the Grassmann manifold $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ of the m -subspaces of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . To this aim we use the decomposition of the $O(n)$ -module $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$ of square integrable functions on $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ into a sum of irreducibles and the calculation of unique elements in each of the irreducible subspaces as zonal functions which is performed in [8] (see Section 3). The next section gives various criteria for t -designs on $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$; in particular we characterize the finite subgroups of $O(n)$ whose orbits on the grassmannians are always t -designs. If the minimal m -sections of a lattice L form a 4-design, we call the lattice L *strongly m -perfect*. Using the description of m -extreme lattices repeated in Section 5, we show that the strongly m -perfect lattices are m -extreme. The final section gives lower bounds for the minima of strongly m -perfect lattices.

2. THE GRASSMANN MANIFOLD

The Grassmann manifold $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ is the manifold of m -dimensional subspaces of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . It is a homogeneous space for the action of the orthogonal group $O(n) := O(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The stabilizer of a given m -subspace

Date: November 6, 2001.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11H06,

Key words and phrases. lattice, Grassmann manifold, orthogonal group, zonal function.

p is isomorphic to $O(m) \times O(n - m)$ (since an orthogonal transformation which preserves p also preserves p^\perp). The manifold $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ is compact, and therefore endowed with its Haar measure μ . The space of square-integrable real valued-functions $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$ is endowed with the usual scalar product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{m,n}} f_1(x) f_2(x) d\mu(x).$$

In order to parametrise the orbits of pairs of grassmannians under $O(n)$, we have to introduce principal angles between subspaces. We collect some rather well-known facts about this notion (see [2], or [6] p. 584). Let $m \leq q \leq n/2$ and $(p, p') \in \mathcal{G}_{m,n} \times \mathcal{G}_{q,n}$. Denote by pr_p (resp. $\text{pr}_{p'}$) the orthogonal projection on p (resp. p'). As v varies over p' , the function $v \mapsto \frac{\|\text{pr}_p(v)\|}{\|v\|}$ admits m critical values $0 \leq t_m = \cos \theta_m \leq \dots \leq t_1 = \cos \theta_1 \leq 1$. Moreover, one can construct orthonormal bases $\{u_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ of p and p' such that $u_i \cdot v_i = t_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $u_i \cdot v_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Completing $\{u_i\}$ to an orthonormal basis B of \mathbb{R}^n , and writing down the $n \times q$ generating matrix M of p' in this basis, we get:

$$(1) \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \cos \theta_2 & \dots & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \cos \theta_m & * & \dots & * \\ \sin \theta_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \sin \theta_2 & \dots & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sin \theta_m & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}$$

In particular, the m -tuple $(\cos \theta_1, \dots, \cos \theta_m)$ characterizes the $O(n)$ -orbit of the pair (p, p') . Since the base change $u_i \mapsto -u_i$ of the basis of p changes t_i to $-t_i$ but leaves p invariant, only the squares $t_i^2 = \cos^2(\theta_i) =: y_i$ are $O(n)$ -invariant functions on the pairs (p, p') . Note that the diagonal matrices $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ ($\lambda_i = \pm 1$) with respect to the basis B act trivially on the y_i .

The $y_i = \cos^2 \theta_i$ may be calculated as the first m eigenvalues, in decreasing order, of the endomorphism $\text{pr}_p \circ \text{pr}_{p'}'$ (or $\text{pr}_{p'}' \circ \text{pr}_p$). Alternatively, if $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ and $\{f_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ are any bases of p and p' , the y_i are just the eigenvalues of the $m \times m$ matrix:

$$(2) \quad (e_i \cdot e_j)^{-1} (e_i \cdot f_j) (f_i \cdot f_j)^{-1} (f_i \cdot e_j)$$

3. DECOMPOSITION OF $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$ AND INTERTWINING FUNCTIONS

In this section we decompose the $O(n)$ -module $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$ into irreducible submodules. Replacing any subspace $p \in \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ by its orthogonal complement, we may assume that $m \leq \frac{n}{2}$. We shall make use of several classical results of representation theory, for which we refer to the book of Goodman and Wallach [7] and Fulton and Harris [5]. Following [7], F_n^μ denotes the irreducible module of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ of highest weight $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i L_i$, where μ is a partition $\mu = \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \mu_n \geq 0$ in at most n -parts (cf. [5, Prop. 15.15]).

Definition 3.1. *The degree of a partition μ is $\deg(\mu) := \sum_i \mu_i$, and the depth of μ is $\mathrm{depth}(\mu) := \max\{i \mid \mu_i \neq 0\}$.*

If $\mathrm{depth}(\mu) \leq \frac{n}{2}$, then the restriction of F_n^μ to the orthogonal group $O(n)$ contains a unique irreducible submodule V_n^μ , which does not occur in the spaces F_n^κ , with $\deg(\kappa) < \deg(\mu)$. The representation V_n^μ is associated to the partition μ as described in [5, Section 19.5].

From [7, Section 12.3.2] (pp 544-547) (where they show the analogous assertion for $SO(n)$) one gets the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $m \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Then the $O(n)$ -space $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$ is isomorphic to*

$$(3) \quad L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n}) \simeq \bigoplus V_n^\mu$$

where the sum is over the μ of depth at most equal to m , with all the $\mu_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Definition 3.3. *Denote the unique submodule of $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$ isomorphic to V_n^μ by $H_{m,n}^\mu$.*

Let p_0 be the m -subspace generated by the first m elements of the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n . Then the stabilizer of p_0 in $O(n)$ is $\mathrm{Stab}(p_0) \cong O(m) \times O(n-m)$ and $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$ is the induced module from the trivial $O(m) \times O(n-m)$ -module. Hence by Frobenius reciprocity [7, 12.1.8] each of the irreducible $O(n)$ -subspaces $H_{m,n}^\mu$ contains a (up to scalar multiples) unique zonal function P_μ , i.e. a function that is invariant under $O(m) \times O(n-m)$.

In the following we give a more precise description of $H_{m,n}^\mu$ and explain a strategy used in [8] to calculate P_μ .

We fix the following notations which we will keep for the rest of the paper: for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M_{n,m}$ denote the vector space of $n \times m$ matrices with real coefficients, and SM_m the vector space of the real symmetric $m \times m$ matrices.

We consider the spaces of homogeneous polynomials of degree k in the coefficients of these matrices, denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}_k(M_{n,m})$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_k(SM_m)$. The group $\mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ acts on $\mathrm{Hom}_k(SM_m)$ by $(g.f)(S) = f(g^t S g)$, and the product $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ acts on $\mathrm{Hom}_k(M_{n,m})$ by $((g, h).f)(M) = f(g^t M h)$.

The decomposition of the $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -module $\mathrm{Hom}_k(SM_n)$ is given by ([7, Th. 5.2.9]):

$$(4) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_k(SM_n) \simeq \bigoplus F_n^\mu$$

where the sum is over the μ of depth $\leq n$ such that μ_i is even for all i and $\deg(\mu) = 2k$. It is worth noticing here that the space of polynomials $\oplus_k \text{Hom}_k(SM_n)$ is isomorphic to the space of polynomial functions on the real symmetric positive definite matrices S_n^+ . This set is homogeneous for the action of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, and the stabilizer of the identity matrix is the orthogonal group. So the polynomial functions are the induced module from $O(n)$ to $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ of the trivial one.

The decomposition as a $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ -module of $\text{Hom}_k(M_{n,m})$ is ([7, Th. 5.2.7]):

$$(5) \quad \text{Hom}_k(M_{n,m}) \simeq \bigoplus F_n^\mu \otimes F_m^\mu$$

where the sum is over the μ of depth at most equal to $\min(m, n)$, and of degree equal to k .

From (4) and Frobenius reciprocity, the space of $O(n)$ -invariant elements in F_n^μ is one-dimensional if and only if μ_i is even for all i , so, as a $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -module:

$$(6) \quad \text{Hom}_k(M_{n,m})^{O(m)} \simeq \bigoplus F_n^\mu$$

where the sum is over the μ of depth at most equal to $\min(m, n)$ with all the $\mu_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\deg(\mu) = k$ (and hence k is even otherwise this space is reduced to 0).

Let $p \in \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ be a m -subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . We associate to p a $n \times m$ matrix X_p of an orthonormal basis of p . Changing the basis amounts to multiplying X_p on the right by an element of $O(m)$, so we can define a mapping:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi : \text{Hom}_{2k}(M_{n,m})^{O(m)} &\longrightarrow L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n}) \\ f &\longmapsto \pi f : \pi f(p) = f(X_p) \end{aligned}$$

which commutes with the action of $O(n)$.

If X is a $n \times m$ matrix, we denote by X_1 the $m \times m$ matrix $X_1 = (X_{i,j})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}}$. The map: $\tau : M_{n,m} \rightarrow SM_m$ defined by $\tau(X) = X_1 X_1^t$ induces a map:

$$(8) \quad \tau^* : \text{Hom}_k(SM_m) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{2k}(M_{n,m})^{O(m)}$$

Note that τ^* sends the degree k polynomials on SM_m to degree $2k$ polynomials on $M_{n,m}$; one checks easily that its image is contained in the $\{1\} \times O(m)$ -invariant polynomials.

Frobenius reciprocity theorem [7, 12.1.8] asserts that, since the multiplicity of F_m^μ is one in $\text{Hom}_k(SM_m)$, there is up to a multiplicative scalar one zonal function in F_m^μ , which we denote by C_μ . We have $C_\mu(S) = c_\mu(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ where c_μ is a symmetric polynomial homogeneous of degree k (because we can take S diagonal) and $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ are the eigenvalues of

S . We normalize c_μ by $c_\mu(1, 1, \dots, 1) = 1$. The explicit computation of c_μ is given in [8].

Definition 3.4. Let $C_\mu \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$ denote the image of the zonal function C_μ above under the mapping $\pi\tau^*$.

The following lemma describes the space of $\text{Stab}(p_0)$ -invariant functions in $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$.

Lemma 3.5. The mapping $\pi\tau^*$ yields an isomorphism between the $O(m)$ -invariant elements of $\text{Hom}_k(SM_m)$ and the $\text{Stab}(p_0)$ -invariant elements in the image of π .

Proof. It is easy to see that the $\text{Stab}(p_0)$ -invariant polynomial functions in the image of π are the symmetric polynomials in the squares y_1, \dots, y_m of the cosines of the principal angles of p and p_0 . From (2), the y_i are the eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix $X_{p,1}X_{p,1}^t \in SM_m$, so such a function is the image by $\pi\tau^*$ of an element of $\text{Hom}_k(SM_m)$, which is a polynomial in the eigenvalues of the matrix.

Since the orbits of $O(m)$ on S_m^+ are characterized by the set of the eigenvalues of the matrix, the $O(m)$ -invariant functions in $\text{Hom}_k(SM_m)$ are the symmetric polynomials in the eigenvalues of the matrices (and the mapping $\mathbb{R}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]^{S_m} \rightarrow (\oplus_k \text{Hom}_k(SM_m))^{O(m)}$ is an isomorphism). The possible values for (y_1, \dots, y_m) are $[0, 1]^m$ so a polynomial which takes the value zero for all $p \in \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ is zero himself, and the mapping is injective. \square

We have the following commutative diagram of $O(n)$ -modules:

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{2k-2}(M_{n,m})^{O(m)} & & \\ \cdot \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i,j} X_{i,j}^2 \downarrow & \searrow \pi & \\ \text{Hom}_{2k}(M_{n,m})^{O(m)} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow is the multiplication by the degree 2 polynomial $\sum_{i,j} X_{i,j}^2$. It shows that $\pi(\text{Hom}_{2k-2}(M_{n,m})^{O(m)}) \subset \pi(\text{Hom}_{2k}(M_{n,m})^{O(m)})$. Let us denote

$$H_{2k} := \pi(\text{Hom}_{2k}(M_{n,m})^{O(m)}) \leq L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n}).$$

Then

$$(10) \quad H_{2k} = H_{2k-2} \perp W_{2k}$$

Proposition 3.6. For a partition μ of $2k$, let \tilde{P}_μ be the projection of $C_\mu \in H_{2k} = H_{2k-2} \oplus W_{2k}$ to W_{2k} . Then \tilde{P}_μ is a nonzero $\text{Stab}(p_0)$ -invariant function in $H_{m,n}^\mu$ and hence \tilde{P}_μ is (up to a scalar multiple) the zonal function P_μ above.

The subspace $H_{m,n}^\mu$ is the image by π of the (unique) subspace of $\text{Hom}_{2k}(M_{n,m})^{O(m)}$ isomorphic to V_n^μ .

Proof. It is clear that \tilde{P}_μ is $\text{Stab}(p_0)$ -invariant. Since \tilde{P}_μ is C_μ minus a linear combination of C_κ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i < 2k$, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that \tilde{P}_μ is non zero. Let X^μ be the projection of F_n^μ to W_{2k} . Then X^μ is a $O(n)$ -submodule of $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$ which contains \tilde{P}_μ . By construction and Lemma 3.5 P_μ is the unique $O(m) \times O(n-m)$ -invariant function in X^μ , which implies that X^μ is an irreducible $O(n)$ -module not isomorphic to a submodule of H_{2k-2} . By induction we may assume that $H_{2k-2} \simeq \oplus V_n^\mu$ where the sum is over the μ with μ_i even and $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \leq 2k-2$. With Theorem 3.2 one now sees that X^μ is isomorphic to V_n^μ and hence $X^\mu = H_{m,n}^\mu$. \square

It is sometimes more convenient to view the zonal functions as functions on $\mathcal{G}_{m,n} \times \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$. More generally, for $m \leq q \leq \frac{n}{2}$, one can consider the space

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}^{m,q} := \{ & Z : \mathcal{G}_{m,n} \times \mathcal{G}_{q,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid Z(g(p), g(p')) = Z(p, p') \forall g \in O(n), \\ \text{s.t. } & Z(p, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_{q,n}), Z(\cdot, p') \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n}) \forall p \in \mathcal{G}_{m,n}, p' \in \mathcal{G}_{q,n} \}. \end{aligned}$$

If $m = q$, then any function $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^{m,m}$ yields a zonal function $Z(p_0, \cdot)$ for the stabilizer of p_0 . In particular $\mathcal{Z}^{m,m}$ contains a unique function $P_\mu^{m,m}$ with $P_\mu(p) = P_\mu^{m,m}(p_0, p)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$.

We also need functions $P_\mu^{m,q}$ which relate the spaces $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$ and $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{q,n})$ for $m \leq q \leq \frac{n}{2}$. The fact that the spaces $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$ and $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{q,n})$ each contain the irreducible module V_n^μ (for the partitions μ in even parts and of depth at most m) with multiplicity one means for $\mathcal{Z}^{m,q}$ that there exists a unique (up to a multiplicative factor, which we choose in the case $m = q$ so that $P_\mu^{m,m}(p, p) = 1$) non zero element $P_\mu^{m,q}$ in $\mathcal{Z}^{m,q}$, such that for all $p \in \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$, $P_\mu^{m,q}(p, \cdot)$ belongs to $H_{q,n}^\mu$, and for all $p' \in \mathcal{G}_{q,n}$, $P_\mu^{m,q}(\cdot, p')$ belongs to $H_{m,n}^\mu$. This element is computed explicitly in [8, Theorem 15.1] and has the general form

$$(12) \quad P_\mu^{m,q} = \sum_{\kappa \leq \mu} \lambda_{\mu,\kappa}^{m,q} C_\kappa.$$

where $\kappa \leq \mu$ means that $\kappa_i \leq \mu_i$ for all i . The method used in [8] is to look for eigenvectors of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the space $\mathbb{R}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]^{S_m}$.

We shall keep the notation $P_\mu^{m,m} = P_\mu$. Since the $P_\mu^{m,q}$ are constant on the orbits of $O(n)$ on $\mathcal{G}_{m,n} \times \mathcal{G}_{q,n}$ and these orbits are characterized by the principal angles between the subspaces, there is a symmetric polynomial $p_\mu^{m,q} \in \mathbb{R}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]^{S_m}$ with $P_\mu^{m,q}(p, p') = p_\mu^{m,q}(y_1, \dots, y_m)$, where the y_i are the squares of the cosines of the principal angles of p and p' .

In view of our applications, we give the explicit expressions of a few of the polynomials $P_\mu^{m,q}(p, p') = p_\mu^{m,q}(y_1, \dots, y_m)$, normalized by $p_\mu^{m,m}(1, \dots, 1) =$

1, respectively the polynomials c_μ . The indices of p and c are the (at most m) non zero parts of the corresponding partitions μ . Note that $m \leq q \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

(13)

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \\ p_2^{m,q} &= \frac{q}{q-n} - \frac{n}{q-n} c_2 \\ c_4 &= \frac{3}{m(m+2)} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} y_i^2 + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} y_i y_j \right) \\ p_4^{m,q} &= p'_4/p'_4(1 \dots 1), \text{ where } p'_4 = 1 - \frac{2(n+2)}{q} c_2 + \frac{(n+2)(n+4)}{q(q+2)} c_4 \\ c_{22} &= \frac{2}{m(m-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} y_i y_j \\ p_{22}^{m,q} &= p'_{22}/p'_{22}(1 \dots 1), \text{ where } p'_{22} = 1 - \frac{2(n-1)}{q} c_2 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{q(q-1)} c_{22} \end{aligned}$$

It is a classical fact that the $P_\mu^{m,q}$ can also be constructed in the following way: Let μ be a fixed partition, with $\text{depth}(\mu) \leq m$, and let d be the dimension of V_n^μ . Let (e_1, \dots, e_d) be an orthonormal basis (for the $O(n)$ -invariant scalar product $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\mathcal{G}_{m,n}} f(x)g(x)d\mu(x)$, where μ is the Haar measure for $O(n)$) of $H_{m,n}^\mu$ and let $\sigma : H_{m,n}^\mu \rightarrow H_{q,n}^\mu$ be an isomorphism of $O(n)$ -modules. Define

$$(14) \quad Z_\mu^{m,q}(p, p') := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d e_i(p)\sigma(e_i)(p').$$

This definition is independent of the choice of the basis because another orthonormal basis differs from (e_1, \dots, e_d) by an orthogonal matrix of size d ; another isomorphism between the subspaces $H_{m,n}^\mu$ and $H_{q,n}^\mu$ differs from σ by a multiplicative scalar. By construction, $Z_\mu^{m,q}(p, \cdot) \in H_{q,n}^\mu$ and $Z_\mu^{m,q}(\cdot, p') \in H_{m,n}^\mu$. If $g \in O(n)$, $(g.e_1, \dots, g.e_d)$ is again an orthonormal basis of $H_{m,n}^\mu$, so from the last remark we have $Z_\mu^{m,q}(g(p), g(p')) = Z_\mu^{m,q}(p, p')$. Moreover, in the case $m = q$, the value $Z_\mu(p, p)$ is independent of p because $O(n)$ is transitive on $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$, and from (14), $Z_\mu(p, p) = \langle Z_\mu(p, p), \mathbf{1} \rangle = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d \langle e_i, e_i \rangle = 1$, so $Z_\mu = P_\mu$.

The expression (14) shows that the operator $f \rightarrow \int Z_\mu^{m,q}(p, p')f(p')d\mu(p')$ maps $f \in H_{q,n}^\mu$ to $f \in H_{m,n}^\mu$, and commutes with the action of $O(n)$.

4. t -DESIGNS AND EXAMPLES

In this section, we define the designs in the Grassmannian spaces. Our definition generalizes the notion of spherical designs given in [4].

Definition 4.1. Let \mathcal{D} be a finite subset of $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$, and let t be an even number. We say that \mathcal{D} is a t -design if $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} f(p) = 0$ for all $f \in H_{m,n}^\mu$ and for all μ with $2 \leq \deg(\mu) \leq t$.

Proposition 4.2. The following assertions are equivalent, where t is an even integer, and $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$.

- (1) \mathcal{D} is a t -design
- (2) $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} f(p) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{m,n}} f(x) d\mu(x)$ for all $f \in H_t$, where H_t is the subspace of $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$ spanned by all $H_{m,n}^\mu$ with $\deg(\mu) \leq t$.
- (3) For all μ such that $2 \leq \deg(\mu) \leq t$ and $1 \leq \text{depth}(\mu) \leq m$, there exists m' , $\text{depth}(\mu) \leq m' \leq m$ such that $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} P_\mu^{m',m}(p', p) = 0$ for all $p' \in \mathcal{G}_{m',n}$.
- (4) For all μ such that $2 \leq \deg(\mu) \leq t$ and $1 \leq \text{depth}(\mu) \leq m$, there exists m' , $\text{depth}(\mu) \leq m' \leq m$ such that $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} C_\mu(p', p) = \alpha_\mu$ for all $p' \in \mathcal{G}_{m',n}$, where α_μ is independent of the choice of $p' \in \mathcal{G}_{m',n}$.
- (5) $\sum_{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{D}} P_\mu(p_1, p_2) = 0$, for all μ with $2 \leq \deg(\mu) \leq t$.

Proof. 1 \Rightarrow 2. Let $f \in H_t$. We can write $f = f_1 + f_0$, where $f_1 \in \oplus_{2 \leq \deg(\mu) \leq t} H_{m,n}^\mu$ and $f_0 \in H_{m,n}^{0 \dots 0} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ (where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the constant function taking the value one on all the elements of $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$). So $f = f_1 + \lambda \mathbf{1}$ and $\int_{\mathcal{G}_{m,n}} f(x) d\mu(x) = \langle f, \mathbf{1} \rangle = \lambda \langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = \lambda$. Since $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} f_1(p) = 0$, we obtain 2.

2 \Rightarrow 3. We can take $f = P_\mu^{m',m}(p', \cdot)$ and use $P_\mu^{m',m}(p', \cdot) \in H_{m,n}^\mu$ which is orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$.

3 \Rightarrow 4. Clearly, from (12) we have $C_\mu(p', p) = \sum_{\kappa \leq \mu} \beta_{\kappa, \mu} P_\kappa^{m',m}(p', p)$ for some $\beta_{\kappa, \mu} \in \mathbb{R}$ (also depending on m', m). Since $P_0^{m',m}(p', p) = 1$, we have $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} C_\mu(p', p) = \beta_{0, \mu} |\mathcal{D}|$.

4 \Rightarrow 1. From (12), the same property holds for $P_\mu^{m',m}(p', p)$; but $P_\mu^{m',m}(\cdot, p) \in H_{m',n}^\mu$ which is orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$ if $\deg(\mu) \neq 0$. So we have $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} P_\mu^{m',m}(p', p) = 0$, for all $p' \in \mathcal{G}_{m',n}$ and for all μ with $2 \leq \deg(\mu) \leq t$. On the other hand, $P_\mu^{m',m}(p', \cdot) \in H_{m,n}^\mu$ which is $O(n)$ -irreducible, so $H_{m,n}^\mu$ is spanned by the images by $O(n)$ of this element. Clearly, $g.P_\mu^{m',m}(p', \cdot) = P_\mu^{m',m}(g(p'), \cdot)$ for all $g \in O(n)$, and we have proved that \mathcal{D} is a t -design.

3 \Rightarrow 5 is obvious, taking $m' = m$ (note that we have proved that 3. is equivalent to 1., which does not depend on m' , so we can freely choose $m' = m$).

5 \Rightarrow 3. We show that 3. holds for $m' = m$, which is enough. Let us define $P := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} P_\mu(p, \cdot)$. In order to prove that $P = 0$, we show that $\langle P, P \rangle$ is equal to a constant times $\sum_{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{D}} P_\mu(p_1, p_2)$. It follows from the lemma:

Lemma 4.3. For all μ , there exists a constant r_μ such that, for all $f \in H_{m,n}^\mu$, $\langle f, r_\mu P_\mu(p, \cdot) \rangle = f(p)$.

Proof. The operator $f \rightarrow \int P_\mu^{m,q}(p, p')f(p')d\mu(p')$ maps $H_{m,n}^\mu$ into $H_{q,n}^\mu$, and commutes with the action of $O(n)$ (see the end of Section 3). Since $H_{m,n}^\mu$ is irreducible, it is homothetic, which is exactly a rephrasing of the lemma.

□
□

Corollary 4.4. *If \mathcal{D} is a t -design, then (3) of Proposition 4.2 holds for all $m' \leq m$ and all even partitions μ of degree $\leq t$ in at most m' parts.*

Some t -designs in $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ can arise as orbits under a finite subgroup of $O(n)$ whose representations have special properties.

Theorem 4.5. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $O(n)$. Let $m_0 \leq n/2$ be a fixed integer. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) *For all $m \leq m_0$, and for all $p \in \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$, the orbit $G \cdot p$ of p under the action of G is a $2k$ -design.*
- (2) *The representation of G provided by the subspace of $\text{Hom}_k(SM_n)$ isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\mu \text{ even, depth}(\mu) \leq m_0} F_n^\mu$ contains the trivial character as many times as $O(n)$ itself.*

Proof. We consider the mappings:

$$(15) \quad \text{Hom}_k(SM_n) \xrightarrow{\tau_1^*} \text{Hom}_{2k}(M_{n,m})^{O(m)} \xrightarrow{\pi} L^2(\mathcal{G}_{m,n})$$

where τ_1^* is the $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -morphism defined by: $\tau_1^*P(X) = P(XX^t)$. The surjectivity of τ_1^* is a classical result of invariant theory (see [7, Chapter 4]). From the decompositions (4) and (6), we see that its kernel is the sum of the F_n^μ with $\text{depth}(\mu) > m$.

Assume the condition 2. holds. Then, $H_{2k}^G = \mathbb{R}1$. Let us consider, for μ with $2 \leq \text{deg}(\mu) \leq 2k$, $S := \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot P_\mu(\cdot, p)$; S is G -invariant and belongs to $H_{m,n}^\mu$ so $S = 0$. So, for all $p' \in \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$, $\sum_{g \in G} P_\mu(g(p'), p) = 0$, which is equivalent to $\sum_{g \in G} P_\mu(p', g^{-1}(p)) = 0$, which from Proposition 4.2 (3). means that $G \cdot p$ is a $2k$ -design.

Conversely, we assume that the subspace of $\text{Hom}_k(SM_n)$ isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\mu, \text{depth}(\mu) \leq m_0} V_n^\mu$ contains a G -invariant element which is not $O(n)$ -invariant. We can assume that k is minimal and non zero for this property. Let μ of minimal depth m ($m \leq m_0$), such that the submodule of $\text{Hom}_k(SM_n)$ isomorphic to F_n^μ in (4) contains a non zero G -invariant element. Because k is minimal, this element belongs to the $O(n)$ -submodule of F_n^μ isomorphic to V_n^μ . Hence its image by $\pi\tau_1^*$ is a non zero G -invariant element in $H_{m,n}^\mu$ (see Proposition 3.6). Let us call it f ; there exists $p \in \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ such that $f(p) \neq 0$, which by definition prevents $G \cdot p$ from being a $2k$ -design.

□

- Remark 4.6.**
- When $m_0 = 1$, one recovers the usual criterion for the orbits of a group on the unit sphere modulo $\{\pm 1\}$ to be spherical designs, since $F_n^{(2k)} \simeq \text{Sym}^{2k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
 - The condition 2. in previous theorem is equivalent to the condition: $\dim((F_n^\mu)^G) = 1$ for all partition μ , which are even, of degree $2k$ and depth at most m_0
 - The multiplicity of the trivial character for $O(n)$ in $\oplus F_n^\mu$ where the sum is over the partitions μ which are even, of degree $2k$ and depth at most m_0 is clearly equal to the number of partitions of k into at most m_0 parts, since $\dim(F_n^\mu)^{O(n)} = 1$ from Frobenius theorem.

We point out two corollaries of Theorem 4.5. The first one is trivial but leads to several interesting examples, and the second one links to a condition on the representations of G which appeared in [9].

Corollary 4.7. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $O(n)$. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) *For all $m \leq n/2$, and for all $p \in \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$, the orbit $G \cdot p$ of p under the action of G is a $2k$ -design.*
- (2) *The representation of G provided by $\text{Hom}_k(SM_n)$ contains the trivial character as many times as $O(n)$ itself.*

Examples: The representation afforded by $\text{Hom}_k(SM_n)$ is isomorphic to the representation $\text{Sym}^k(\text{Sym}^2(V))$, where V is the natural representation of the group G ; standard formulas compute its character from the character of G , and hence the multiplicity of the trivial character in it. This computation shows that the condition 2. of Theorem 4.5 holds for $\text{Aut}(D_4)$ and $k \leq 2$, $\text{Aut}(E_6)$ and $k \leq 2$, $\text{Aut}(E_7)$ and $k \leq 2$, $\text{Aut}(E_8)$ and $k \leq 3$, $\text{Aut}(K_{12})$ and $k = 1$, $\text{Aut}(BW_{16})$ and $k \leq 3$, $\text{Aut}(\Lambda_{24})$ the Leech lattice and $k \leq 5$. In the cases D_4 , E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , BW_{16} and Λ_{24} , the lowest degree G -invariant polynomial appears for a partition μ of depth equal to 1, so the degree of the design afforded by the m -sections of the lattice is the same as the degree of the design on the minimal vectors (which is known from [12], [1]). In the case of the Coxeter-Todd lattice K_{12} , the minimal vectors form a 4-design, but a G -invariant polynomial appears for $\mu = (2, 2, 0, \dots, 0)$. One can check that the two orbits of G on the minimal planes of the lattice (of cardinality 5040 and 126) do not form 4-designs (nor their union).

Corollary 4.8. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $O(n)$. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) *For all $p \in \mathcal{G}_{1,n} \cup \mathcal{G}_{2,n}$, the orbit $G \cdot p$ of p under the action of G is a $2k$ -design.*
- (2) *The representation of G provided by $\text{Sym}^2(\text{Sym}^k(\mathbb{R}^n))$ contains the trivial character as many times as $O(n)$ itself.*

Proof. There is an isomorphism of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -modules between $\text{Hom}_{2k}(M_{n,2})^{O(2)}$ and $\text{Sym}^2(\text{Sym}^k(\mathbb{R}^n))$ (if $X \in M_{n,2}$, consider $S_j = X_{j,1} + iX_{j,2}$ and $T_j =$

$X_{j,1} - iX_{j,2}$; one easily sees that the $O(2)$ -invariant homogeneous of degree $2k$ polynomials in the $X_{i,j}$ are the polynomials which are of degree k in S_i and in T_j and invariant under the exchange (S_i, T_i) . \square

The condition 2 of Corollary 4.8 is the same as the condition (ii) of [9, Th 2.6]. In particular, the almost irreducible groups listed in [9] give rise to 4-designs in both the grassmannians $\mathcal{G}_{1,n}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2,n}$. When G is the automorphism group of a lattice L , the lattice is strongly 1- and 2-perfect (see next section).

5. THE RANKIN FUNCTION $\gamma_{n,m}$

Beside the classical Hermite function γ ($= \gamma_1$ in what follows), Rankin [10] defined a collection of functions γ_m , in the following way : let L be a lattice in a Euclidean space E , endowed with a scalar product denoted $x \cdot y$, and m an integer in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. One defines

$$(16) \quad \delta_m(L) = \inf_{p \in L(m)} \det p,$$

in which $L(m)$ stands for the set of m -dimensional sublattices of L , and

$$(17) \quad \gamma_m(L) = \delta_m(L) / (\det L)^{\frac{m}{n}}$$

Thus, for $m = 1$, $\gamma_1(L)$ is the classical Hermite invariant of L . It can be proved ([10]) that γ_m is bounded as a function on the set of n -dimensional positive definite lattices. The supremum, which actually is a maximum, is denoted by $\gamma_{m,n}$. In [3], a notion of m -perfection and m -eutaxy were proposed, which we recall below, and a characterization of the local maxima of γ_m was derived.

We define the set of minimal m -sections of L as

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{S}_m(L) = \{p \in L(m) \mid \det p = \delta_m(L)\}$$

which is a finite set. If p is a m -section, we denote by pr_p the orthogonal projection on p , seen as an element of the space $\text{End}^s(E)$ of the symmetric endomorphisms of the Euclidean space E . We recall the definitions given in [3]:

- Definition 5.1.**
- (1) A lattice L is called m -perfect if the endomorphisms pr_p generate $\text{End}^s(E)$
 - (2) A lattice L is m -eutactic if there exist positive coefficients λ_p , $p \in \mathcal{S}_m(L)$ such that $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_m(L)} \lambda_p \text{pr}_p = \text{Id}$.
 - (3) A lattice L is called m -extreme, if γ_m achieves a local maximum at L .

Recall ([3, Theorem 3.2.3]) that L is m -extreme if and only if L is both m -perfect and m -eutactic.

6. STRONGLY m -PERFECT LATTICES

In this section, we study the connection between the notion of design on grassmannians introduced in Section 4, and the Rankin invariant of lattices. Our goal is to generalise the result of Boris Venkov, which asserts that, if the minimal vectors of a lattice are a 4-spherical design, i.e. a 4-design in $\mathcal{G}_{1,n}$, then this lattice is a local maximum of the Hermite function ([11, Theorem 6.4]).

Definition 6.1. *Let L be a lattice of dimension n , and let $S_m(L) \subset \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ be the set of its minimal sections of dimension m . We say that L is strongly m -perfect if $S_m(L)$ is a 4-design in the sense of Definition 4.1.*

Examples: The lattices D_4 , E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , BW_{16} , Λ_{24} are strongly m -perfect for all $m \leq n/2$ from Section 4. The Coxeter-Todd lattice, which is strongly 1-perfect ([1]), is not strongly 2-perfect (see the examples in Section 4). Despite of that, the associated orthogonal projections span the space of symmetric endomorphisms, and the lattice is 2-extreme.

Theorem 6.2. *If L is strongly m -perfect, then it is m -extreme, i.e. it achieves a local maximum of the Rankin function γ_m .*

Proof. We use the characterization of m -extreme lattices recalled in Section 5. If p belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$, we denote, as in Section 5, pr_p the orthogonal projection on p . The space $\text{End}^s(E)$ is endowed with the usual scalar product $A \cdot B = \text{trace}(AB)$. The main ingredient for the proof is the following remark: for all p, p' in $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$, $mC_2(p, p') = \text{pr}_p \cdot \text{pr}_{p'}$, and it is obvious in view of Section 2 and from the expression of c_2 (13).

We first prove that, if $S_m(L)$ is a 2-design, then L is m -eutactic. To that end, we prove that $\sum_{p \in S_m(L)} \text{pr}_p = \lambda \text{Id}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. It is worth noticing that λ is forced to be positive because $\text{pr}_p \cdot \text{Id} = m$, and hence $\lambda = |S_m(L)|m/n$. Because $\text{End}^s(E)$ is generated by all the $\text{pr}_{p'}$ when p' runs over $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$, it is enough to prove that, for all $p' \in \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$, $\sum_{p \in S_m(L)} \text{pr}_p \cdot \text{pr}_{p'} = \lambda \text{Id} \cdot \text{pr}_{p'}$, which is equivalent to $\sum_{p \in S_m(L)} C_2(p, p') = \lambda$. But this last condition is exactly the condition 4. of Proposition 4.2.

Let $C := (C_2(p, p'))_{p, p' \in S_m(L)}$. Since the Gram matrix of the projections pr_p equals mC , the m -perfection is equivalent to the property that C has rank equal to $\dim \text{End}^s(E) = n(n+1)/2$. Let J denote the matrix with all coefficients equal to 1. The property that $S_m(L)$ is a 4-design actually leads to a linear relation between C , C^2 and J :

Lemma 6.3. *Assume $S_m(L)$ is a 4-design and let $C := (C_2(p, p'))_{p, p' \in S_m(L)}$. Let $d := \dim(H_{m,n}^2) = n(n+1)/2 - 1$ and let $s_m := |S_m(L)|$. Then*

$$(19) \quad C^2 = s_m \left(\frac{n-m}{nd} C + \frac{m^2 d + m^2 - nm}{n^2 d} J \right).$$

Proof. We introduce the matrix $P := (P_2(p, p'))_{p, p' \in S_m(L)}$ where $P_2 = Z_2^{m, m}$ is defined in (14) and we compute P^2 . For all p, p' in $S_m(L)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(20) \quad P^2(p, p') &= \sum_{p'' \in S_m(L)} P_2(p, p'') P_2(p'', p') \\
&= \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{p'' \in S_m(L)} \sum_{i, j} e_i(p) e_i(p'') e_j(p'') e_j(p') \\
&= \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{i, j} e_i(p) e_j(p') \sum_{p'' \in S_m(L)} e_i(p'') e_j(p'').
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the function $p \rightarrow e_i(p) e_j(p)$ belongs to H_4 . According to the decomposition $H_4 = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} \perp H_{m, n}^2 \perp H_{m, n}^{22} \perp H_{m, n}^4$, $e_i e_j = \lambda_0 \mathbf{1} + f_2 + f_{22} + f_4$. Because $S_m(L)$ is assumed to be a 4-design, the sums $\sum_{p'' \in S_m(L)} f_2(p'')$, $\sum_{p'' \in S_m(L)} f_{22}(p'')$, $\sum_{p'' \in S_m(L)} f_4(p'')$ are equal to zero. Since $\delta_{i, j} = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \langle e_i e_j, \mathbf{1} \rangle = \lambda_0 \langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = \lambda_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(21) \quad P^2(p, p') &= \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_i e_i(p) e_i(p') s_m \\
&= \frac{s_m}{d} P(p, p')
\end{aligned}$$

and we have proved the matrix relation $P^2 = \frac{s_m}{d} P$. In order to prove the lemma, we need to compute C in terms of P and J . From (13) we have

$$(22) \quad C = \frac{m}{n} J + \frac{n-m}{n} P.$$

Finally, the property that $JP = PJ = 0$, which holds because $S_m(L)$ is a 2-design, together with (21) and (22) ends the proof of the lemma. \square

The matrices C , C^2 , J are real symmetric matrices which pairwise commute, so they are simultaneously diagonalizable. The eigenvalues of J are s_m with multiplicity one, and 0. Let us denote $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ the eigenvalues of C , where λ_1 corresponds to the eigenvector $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. From the identity $CJ = m/n J^2 = s_m m/n J$, we derive $\lambda_1 = s_m m/n$. From the identity (19), for $i \geq 2$, $\lambda_i^2 = s_m \frac{n-m}{nd} \lambda_i$, so $\lambda_i = 0$ or $\lambda_i = s_m \frac{n-m}{nd}$. Let us denote k the multiplicity of $s_m \frac{n-m}{nd}$. We have $\text{trace}(C) = s_m = s_m \frac{m}{n} + k s_m \frac{n-m}{nd}$, from which $k = d$. Finally, the rank of the matrix C is equal to $1 + d = n(n+1)/2 = \dim(\text{End}^s(E))$, which ends the proof of the theorem. \square

7. BOUNDS FOR THE MINIMA

In this section we obtain analogous bounds as the ones given in [11, Théorème 10.4]. There it is shown that for a strongly 1-perfect lattice L , the product of the minima of the lattice L and its dual lattice is $\min(L) \min(L^*) \geq \frac{n+2}{3}$.

Using analogous methods we obtain

Theorem 7.1. *Let L be a strongly 2-perfect lattice of dimension n . Let m be its minimum, let d be the minimal determinant of a 2-dimensional sublattice of L and m' be the minimum of the dual lattice L^* . Then*

$$dm' \geq m \frac{n+2}{4}.$$

Proof. Let α be a minimal vector of L^* and \mathcal{D} denote the set of minimal 2-sections of L . We consider $p' := \langle \alpha \rangle \in \mathcal{G}_{1,n}$ and $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{G}_{2,n}$. The polynomials $p_2^{1,2}$ and $p_4^{1,2}$ are polynomials in one variable y_1 which can be calculated with equation (2): Let $p := \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{D}$ be a minimal 2-section of determinant d in L . Then the principal angle between p and p' is

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha, \alpha)^{-1} d^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha, e_1) & (\alpha, e_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (e_2, e_2) & -(e_1, e_2) \\ -(e_1, e_2) & (e_1, e_1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha, e_1) \\ (\alpha, e_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\alpha, \alpha)^{-1} d^{-1} \|(\alpha, e_1)e_2 - (\alpha, e_2)e_1\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

To shorten the notation we define $N(p) := \|(\alpha, e_1)e_2 - (\alpha, e_2)e_1\|^2$. Then $N(p)$ is the squared length of a vector in L and hence it is either 0 or $\geq \min(L) = m$. Using Corollary 4.4 we calculate with the equations (13)

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} N(p) = \frac{2}{n} (\alpha, \alpha) d |\mathcal{D}|$$

and

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} N(p)^2 = \frac{8}{n(n+2)} (\alpha, \alpha)^2 d^2 |\mathcal{D}|.$$

From this we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} N(p)^2 - mN(p) &= \frac{8}{n(n+2)} (\alpha, \alpha)^2 d^2 |\mathcal{D}| - m \frac{2}{n} (\alpha, \alpha) d |\mathcal{D}| \\ &= \frac{2m}{n} (\alpha, \alpha) d |\mathcal{D}| \left(\frac{4}{m(n+2)} (\alpha, \alpha) d - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the left hand side is a sum over nonnegative real numbers, also the right hand side is a nonnegative number. Hence $\frac{4}{m(n+2)} (\alpha, \alpha) d \geq 1$ or equivalently $(\alpha, \alpha) d \geq \frac{m(n+2)}{4}$. \square

Examples For $L = D_4$ one has $m = 2$ hence $dm' \geq \frac{2(4+2)}{4} = 3$, which is sharp since $d = 3$ and $m' = 1$. For $L = E_8$ the formula yields the bound 5, whereas $dm' = 6$, for K_{12} one finds $16 \geq 14$ and for BW_{16} one has $24 \geq 18$. Theorem 7.1 also allows to conclude that certain lattices are not

strongly 2-perfect. For instance for $L = A_4$ one finds $dm' = 3\frac{4}{5}$ which is not $\geq \frac{2(4+2)}{4} = 3$.

In the case L is integral, one can take a minimal vector $\alpha \in L$ instead of $\alpha \in L^*$ in the proof of Theorem 7.1, and get the following lower bound:

Corollary 7.2. *Let L be an integral strongly 2-perfect lattice of dimension n and put $d := \delta_2(L)$. Then*

$$d \geq \frac{n+2}{4}.$$

Theorem 7.3. *Let L be an integral strongly 2-perfect lattice of dimension n and put $d := \delta_2(L)$ and $d' := \delta_2(L^*)$. Then*

$$dd' \geq \frac{(n+2)(n-1)}{2(3n-2)\delta}$$

where $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ is the least common multiple of the denominator of the Gram matrices of the minimal 2-sections on L^* .

Proof. We denote by \mathcal{D} the set of minimal 2-sections of L . Let $p' := \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \subset L^*$ be a minimal plane of L^* of determinant d' and let $p := \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{D}$.

Denote by y_1, y_2 the squares of the cosines of the principal angles between p and p' . Then

$$y_1 y_2 = \frac{1}{dd'} \det \left(\begin{pmatrix} (e_1, f_1) & (e_1, f_2) \\ (e_2, f_1) & (e_2, f_2) \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{dd'} D(p, p')$$

with $D(p, p') \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$y_1 + y_2 = \frac{1}{dd'} N(p, p')$$

where $N(p, p')$ can be calculated from (2) and is in $\frac{1}{\delta}\mathbb{Z}$ where δ is the denominator of the Gram matrix of p' .

From equations (13) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} N(p, p') &= dd' |\mathcal{D}| \frac{4}{n} \\ \sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} D(p, p') &= dd' |\mathcal{D}| \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \\ \sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} (N(p, p'))^2 &= (dd')^2 |\mathcal{D}| \frac{8(3n-2)}{n(n+2)(n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

From this one gets that

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}} (N(p, p'))^2 - \frac{1}{\delta} N(p, p') = dd' |\mathcal{D}| \frac{4}{\delta n} \left(dd' \frac{2(3n-2)\delta}{(n+2)(n-1)} - 1 \right) \geq 0$$

and hence $dd' \geq \frac{(n+2)(n-1)}{2(3n-2)\delta}$. □

8. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The exchange leading to this work has been enabled by a PROCOPE project. We thank the European community for this financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bachoc and B. Venkov, “Modular forms, lattices and spherical designs”, in “Réseaux euclidiens, “designs” sphériques et groupes, J. Martinet, éd., *L’Enseignement Mathématique*, Monographie n° 37”, Genève (2001), to appear.
- [2] J. H. Conway, R. H. Hardin and N. J. A. Sloane, “Packing Lines, Planes, etc., Packings in Grassmannian Spaces”, *Experimental Mathematics* **5** (1996), 139-159.
- [3] R. Coulangeon. “Réseaux k -extrêmes”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **73** (1996), no. 3, 555-574.
- [4] P. Delsarte, J. M. Goethals and J. J. Seidel, “Spherical codes and designs”, *Geom. Dedicata* **6** (1977), 363-388.
- [5] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory, a first course*, GTM 129 Springer 1991
- [6] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan *Matrix computations*, John Hopkins Univ. Press, 2nd ed., 1989.
- [7] R. Goodman and N. R. Wallach, *Representations and invariants of the classical groups*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 68, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [8] A.T. James and A.G. Constantine, “Generalized Jacobi polynomials as spherical functions of the Grassmann manifold”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **29** (1974), 174-192.
- [9] W. Lempken, B. Schröder and P.H. Tiep, “Symmetric squares, spherical designs and lattice minima”, *J. Algebra* **240** (2001), 185-208.
- [10] R.A. Rankin, “On positive definite quadratic forms”, *J. London Math. Soc.* **28** (1953), 309-314.
- [11] B. Venkov, “Réseaux et designs sphériques”, in “Réseaux euclidiens, “designs” sphériques et groupes, J. Martinet, éd., *L’Enseignement Mathématique*, Monographie n° 37”, Genève (2001), to appear.
- [12] B. Venkov, “Even unimodular extremal lattices”, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **165** (1984), 47-52.

C. BACHOC, LABORATOIRE A2X, UNIVERSITÉ BORDEAUX I, 351, COURS DE LA LIBÉRATION, 33405 TALENCE FRANCE

E-mail address: bachoc@math.u-bordeaux.fr

R. COULANGEON, LABORATOIRE A2X, UNIVERSITÉ BORDEAUX I, 351, COURS DE LA LIBÉRATION, 33405 TALENCE FRANCE

E-mail address: coulange@math.u-bordeaux.fr

G. NEBE, ABTEILUNG REINE MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT ULM, 89069 ULM, GERMANY

E-mail address: nebe@mathematik.uni-ulm.de