

On the cokernel of the Witt decomposition map.

Gabriele Nebe *

Dedicated to Jacques Martinet.

1 Introduction

In [Dre 75], A. Dress defines Grothendieck-Witt groups $GW(R, G)$ for finite groups G and Dedekind domains R . If K is the field of fractions of R , then there is an exact sequence

$$(\star) \quad 0 \rightarrow GW(R, G) \rightarrow GW(K, G) \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigoplus_{\wp \leq R} GW(R/\wp, G)$$

where \wp runs through all maximal ideals of R . The map δ is called the *Witt decomposition map*. In the first section of this paper, the necessary terminology is introduced, to define these and more general Witt groups for orders with involution. In our terminology the groups $GW(R, G)$ are denoted by $W(RG, \circ)$, where \circ is the R -linear involution on the group ring RG defined by $g^\circ = g^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$.

Dress asked to calculate the cokernel of δ . This paper is intended to answer this question in some cases. Section 4 shows that δ is surjective for all finite groups G , if K is a finite extension of the p -adic numbers. This can be used to show that in the case of number fields K , the composition δ_\wp of δ with the projection onto $W((R/\wp)G, \circ)$ is surjective for all prime ideals \wp of R (Theorem 4.6). The example $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $G = C_4$ and $p = 5$ shows that this is not true for the classical decomposition map of Brauer.

J. Morales ([Mor 90]) investigates the sequence (\star) for p -groups G , where p is an odd prime, and number fields K . He shows that in this situation the cokernel of δ is isomorphic to the exponent-2-subgroup of the ideal class group of K as in the classical case $G = 1$. This theorem can be easily generalized to nilpotent groups G of odd order (Theorem 5.2). Using an induction theorem [Dre 75, Theorem 2] (cf. Theorem 4.1), one immediately gets that δ is surjective for groups of odd order, if the class number of K is odd (see Theorem 5.3), which is shown in [Miy 90] for $K = \mathbb{Q}$. But in general δ is not surjective for $K = \mathbb{Q}$ as one sees by looking at dihedral groups of order $2p$ (see Section 5.2). The methods to investigate the sequence (\star) in Section 5.1 heavily depend on Morita theory for hermitian forms. Therefore this theory is revisited in Section 3.

2 Hermitian and covariant forms

Throughout the paper let R be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions K . Let A be a K -algebra with K -linear involution \circ and $\Lambda = \Lambda^\circ$ an R -order in A that is invariant

*Lehrstuhl B für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen, Templergraben 64, 52062 Aachen, Germany, gabi@math.rwth-aachen.de

under the involution \circ . Let V be a right A -module and $L \subseteq V$ be a Λ -lattice in V , i.e. a finitely generated R -module that spans V as a vector space over K such that $L\Lambda = L$.

Definition 2.1 (h) An R -bilinear form $h : L \times L \rightarrow \Lambda$ is called **hermitian**, if $h(l_1, l_2) = h(l_2, l_1)^\circ$ and $h(l_1, l_2\lambda) = h(l_1, l_2)\lambda$ for all $l_1, l_2 \in L, \lambda \in \Lambda$.

(c) An R -bilinear form $b : L \times L \rightarrow R$ is called **covariant**, if $b(l_1, l_2) = b(l_2, l_1)$ and $b(l_1\lambda, l_2) = b(l_1, l_2\lambda^\circ)$ for all $l_1, l_2 \in L, \lambda \in \Lambda$.

Let $M^* := \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \Lambda)$. Then M^* is naturally a left Λ -module and becomes a right Λ -module by letting $(f \cdot \lambda)(m) := \lambda^\circ f(m)$ for all $m \in M, \lambda \in \Lambda$ and $f \in M^*$. The hermitian forms correspond bijectively to the symmetric Λ -homomorphisms $h \rightarrow \tilde{h} \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M^*)$ defined by $\tilde{h}(m)(m') := h(m, m')$. Similarly covariant forms correspond to symmetric elements of $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M^\#)$, where $M^\# := \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ is a right Λ -module by letting $(f \cdot \lambda)(m) := f(m\lambda^\circ)$, for all $m \in M, \lambda \in \Lambda$ and $f \in M^\#$.

In particular if there is a functorial isomorphism $M^\# \cong M^*$ for all Λ -lattices M , then the categories of hermitian and covariant forms are equivalent. One can show that this is true if $\Lambda \cong \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R)$ as a bimodule (see [ARS 97, Proposition IV.3.8]). Since the isomorphism $M^\# \cong M^*$ is functorial, this is also a necessary condition. Here, the most important case is that $\Lambda = RG$ is a group ring of some finite group G . Then the concepts of hermitian and covariant forms are equivalent and are used simultaneously, according to which notion is more convenient to work with.

In [Dre 75] a sequence of equivariant Witt groups is investigated. To introduce this sequence naturally, one also needs hermitian and covariant Λ -torsion modules. If M is a Λ -torsion module, then define $M^* := \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, A/\Lambda)$ and $M^\# := \text{Hom}_R(M, K/R)$. Also hermitian resp. covariant forms on a torsion module take values in A/Λ resp. K/R .

Definition 2.2 Let M be either a Λ -lattice or a Λ -torsion module and let h resp. b be a hermitian resp. covariant form on M . Then h resp. b are called **regular**, if $\tilde{h} : M \rightarrow M^*$ resp. $\tilde{b} : M \rightarrow M^\#$ are isomorphisms. A regular hermitian or covariant module is called **metabolic**, if it contains a Λ -submodule U with $U = U^\perp$.

The set of isometry-classes of regular hermitian resp. covariant forms a semi group with respect to orthogonal sums. Introducing the relations $[M, h] = 0$ for all metabolic hermitian resp. covariant modules, one obtains a group, called the **equivariant Witt group of hermitian resp. covariant (torsion) modules**, denoted by $Wh(\Lambda, \circ)$ resp. $W(\Lambda, \circ)$ ($WTh(\Lambda, \circ)$ resp. $WT(\Lambda, \circ)$ for torsion modules).

Let (V, h) be a hermitian A -module. For any Λ -lattice L in V , the hermitian dual lattice $L_h^* := \{v \in V \mid h(v, l) \in \Lambda \text{ for all } l \in L\}$ is a Λ -module isomorphic to L^* . Note that (L, h) is regular, if and only if $L_h^* = L$ (i.e. L is a unimodular R -lattice). The lattice (L, h) is called **integral**, if $L \subseteq L_h^*$. For any integral Λ -lattice (L, h) , the hermitian form h induces a hermitian form \bar{h} on the Λ -torsion module L_h^*/L by

$$\bar{h}(v + L, w + L) := h(v, w) + \Lambda \in A/\Lambda \text{ for all } v, w \in L_h^*.$$

Analogous notations are used for covariant A -modules (V, b) . In particular $L_b^\# := \{v \in V \mid b(v, l) \in R \text{ for all } l \in L\}$ is the **dual lattice** of L with respect to b and the

covariant form \bar{b} induced on the Λ -torsion module $L_b^\# / L$ for any integral lattice L is $\bar{b}(v + L, w + L) := b(v, w) + R \in K/R$ for all $v, w \in L_b^\#$.

Lemma 2.3 (*[Tho 84], [Dre 75], [Mor 88]*) *Let (V, h) resp. (V, b) be a hermitian resp. covariant A -module and L an integral Λ -lattice in V . Then $[L_h^*/L, \bar{h}] = [M_h^*/M, \bar{h}] \in \text{Wh}(\Lambda, \circ)$ resp. $[L_b^\#/L, \bar{b}] = [M_b^\#/M, \bar{b}] \in \text{Wh}(\Lambda, \circ)$ for all integral Λ -lattice M in V .*

Since the mapping in the lemma maps metabolic modules to metabolic torsion modules, one obtains a well defined map

$$\delta : W(A, \circ) \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Lambda, \circ), [(V, b)] \mapsto [(L_b^\#/L, \bar{b})]$$

where L is any integral Λ -lattice in V .

Definition 2.4 δ is called the Witt decomposition map

Putting $\iota([L, b]) = [L \otimes K, b]$, for any regular Λ -lattice (L, b) , it is clear that $\delta \circ \iota = 0$. One gets an exact sequence

$$(\star) \quad 0 \rightarrow W(\Lambda, \circ) \xrightarrow{\iota} W(A, \circ) \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Wh}(\Lambda, \circ)$$

(cf. [Dre 75, Theorem 5]). Working with hermitian modules, one obtains an analogous exact sequence (\star_h) .

3 Morita theory for hermitian modules

Let $\Lambda = \Lambda^\circ$ be an R -order in the separable K -algebra A with involution \circ . Let (L, h) be a regular hermitian Λ -lattice with endomorphism ring $O := \text{End}_\Lambda(L)$. Then L is a left O -module and h induces an involution $\bar{}$ on O by

$$h(ov, w) = h(v, \bar{o}w) \text{ for all } v, w \in L, o \in O.$$

Lemma 3.1 (*cf. [Mor 90, Section 3], [Miy 90, Section 3], [Knu 91, Section I.9]*) *Let (V, h) be a regular hermitian A -module and $L \subseteq V$ be a projective Λ -lattice such that (L, h) is regular. Let $D := \text{End}_A(V)$ and $O := \text{End}_\Lambda(L)$ and assume that $L^* \otimes_O L \cong \Lambda$ and $L \otimes_\Lambda L^* \cong O$ as bimodules. Then there are isomorphisms ϕ, ϕ', ϕ'' such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Wh}(\Lambda, \circ) & \rightarrow & \text{Wh}(A, \circ) & \rightarrow & \text{WhT}(\Lambda, \circ) \\ & & \phi \downarrow & & \phi' \downarrow & & \phi'' \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Wh}(O, \bar{}) & \rightarrow & \text{Wh}(D, \bar{}) & \rightarrow & \text{WhT}(O, \bar{}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where $\bar{}$ is the involution on D (and on O) induced by h .

Proof. Let ϕ, ϕ', ϕ'' be the mappings defined on [Mor 90, p. 214,215]: For any hermitian Λ -lattice (M, ψ) let $\phi(M, \psi) := (\phi(M), \phi(\psi))$ where $\phi(M) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(L, M)$ is an O -right

module via $(f \cdot o)(l) := f(ol)$ for all $f \in \phi(M), l \in L, o \in O$. To define the hermitian form $\phi(\psi)$ let $(\phi(\psi))(f, g) \in \text{End}_\Lambda(L) = O$ for $f, g \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(L, M)$ be the composition

$$L \xrightarrow{g} M \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} M^* = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(L, \Lambda) = L^* \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}^{-1}} L$$

where $\tilde{\psi} : M \rightarrow M^*, m \mapsto \psi(m, \cdot)$ is the isomorphism induced by ψ . One easily checks that $\phi(\psi)$ is an O -hermitian form.

The inverse of ϕ is defined by $\phi^{-1}(N, \gamma) = (\phi^{-1}(N), \phi^{-1}(\gamma))$, where

$$\phi^{-1}(N) := N \otimes_O L \text{ and } \phi^{-1}(\gamma)(f_1 \otimes l_1, f_2 \otimes l_2) := h(l_1, \gamma(f_1, f_2)l_2).$$

If (M, ψ) is a hermitian Λ -lattice then by [CuR 81, Proposition (2.29)]

$$\phi^{-1}(\phi(M)) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(L, M) \otimes_O L \cong M \otimes_\Lambda L^* \otimes_O L \cong M,$$

because $L^* \otimes_O L \cong \Lambda$ by assumption. If $f_i \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(L, M), l_i \in L (i = 1, 2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1}(\phi(\psi))(f_1 \otimes l_1, f_2 \otimes l_2) &= h(l_1, \phi(\psi)(f_1, f_2)l_2) = h(l_1, \tilde{h}^{-1}[(f_1^* \circ \tilde{\psi} \circ f_2)(l_2)]) = \\ &= (f_1^* \circ \tilde{\psi} \circ f_2)(l_2)(l_1)^\circ = \psi(f_2(l_2), f_1(l_1))^\circ = \psi(f_1(l_1), f_2(l_2)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one shows that $\phi \circ \phi^{-1} = id$.

The mapping ϕ' is defined analogously and also ϕ'' is similarly defined by: $\phi''(T, \beta) := (\phi''(T), \phi''(\beta))$, with $\phi''(T) := \text{Hom}_\Lambda(L, T)$ and $(\phi''(\psi))(f, g) \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(L, V/L) \cong D/O$ for $f, g \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(L, T)$ is the composition

$$L \xrightarrow{g} T \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}} \hat{T} := \text{Hom}_\Lambda(T, A/\Lambda) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(L, A/\Lambda) = V^*/L^* \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}^{-1}} V/L.$$

One easily sees that these maps map metabolic modules to metabolic ones and that the diagram is commutative. \square

The next (trivial) rule says that the sequence (\star) (or (\star_h)) is a direkt sum, if the R -order Λ decomposes as a direct sum of two involution invariant orders:

Lemma 3.2 *Let $\epsilon = \epsilon^\circ \in \Lambda$ be a central idempotent. Then any (hermitian or covariant) Λ -module (L, h) decomposes as the orthogonal sum $(L\epsilon, h) \perp (L(1-\epsilon), h)$ yielding a direct sum decomposition*

$$W(\Lambda, \circ) \cong W(\Lambda\epsilon, \circ) \oplus W(\Lambda(1-\epsilon), \circ)$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & W(\Lambda, \circ) & \rightarrow & W(A, \circ) & \rightarrow & WT(\Lambda, \circ) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & W(\Lambda\epsilon, \circ) & & W(A\epsilon, \circ) & & WT(\Lambda\epsilon, \circ) \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \oplus & \rightarrow & \oplus & \rightarrow & \oplus \\ & & W(\Lambda(1-\epsilon), \circ) & & W(A(1-\epsilon), \circ) & & WT(\Lambda(1-\epsilon), \circ) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Recall that a regular hermitian or covariant Λ -module M is called **anisotropic**, if the only Λ -submodule $U \leq M$ with $U \subseteq U^\perp$ is $U = \{0\}$. If $U \leq U^\perp \leq M$ is a submodule of M , then one easily sees that M is equivalent to U^\perp/U (with the induced regular hermitian or covariant form) in the corresponding Witt group (see e.g. [Scha 85, Lemma 5.1.3]). Therefore each element of the Witt group has an anisotropic representative. Since the different primary components of hermitian or covariant Λ -torsion modules are orthogonal to each other and any \wp -primary component of an anisotropic Λ -torsion module is annihilated by the prime ideal $\wp \trianglelefteq R$, the anisotropic Λ -torsion modules are orthogonal sums of $R/\wp \otimes_R \Lambda$ -modules with a hermitian or bilinear form that takes values in $\wp^{-1}\Lambda/\Lambda$ respectively \wp^{-1}/R . Identifying \wp^{-1}/R with R/\wp this reduces the study of the Witt group of torsion Λ -modules to the one of covariant or hermitian modules over Artinian algebras.

Remark 3.3 *There is a (non canonical) isomorphism*

$$WT(\Lambda, \circ) \cong \bigoplus_{\wp} W(R/\wp \otimes_R \Lambda, \circ)$$

$$WhT(\Lambda, \circ) \cong \bigoplus_{\wp} Wh(R/\wp \otimes_R \Lambda, \circ)$$

where \wp runs through the maximal ideals of the Dedekind domain R .

For algebras (A or $R/\wp \otimes_R \Lambda$) over fields, the anisotropic modules are semisimple, because for every submodule U of an anisotropic module V one has $U \cap U^\perp = 0$ and hence $V = U \oplus U^\perp$. This shows the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4 *(see e.g. [Dre 75, Lemma 4.2]) Let $\wp \trianglelefteq R$ be a maximal ideal. Then any anisotropic $R/\wp \otimes_R \Lambda$ -module is an orthogonal sum of simple regular hermitian or covariant $R/\wp \otimes_R \Lambda$ -modules.*

4 The surjectivity of δ for local fields.

A. Dress proves in [Dre 75] an analogon to a theorem of Brauer on induced characters: Let G be a finite group and let

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}(G) := \{U \leq G \mid U = U_1 \times U_2, U_1 \text{ cyclic}, U_2 \text{ } p\text{-group}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_2 := \mathcal{H}_2 := \{U \leq G \mid U \text{ has a cyclic normal subgroup of 2-power index}\}.$$

Theorem 4.1 *([Dre 75, Theorem 2]) For any Dedekind domain R the induction yields a surjective mapping*

$$\bigoplus_{U \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{H}_2} W(RU, \circ) \rightarrow W(RG, \circ).$$

The theorem of Brauer can be used to show that for a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p with valuation ring R and residue class field $k := R/\wp$, where $\wp = \pi R$ is the maximal ideal of R , the decomposition map from the ring of generalized characters of G over K to that over k is surjective (see [Ser 77, Chapter 17]).

The same method, using Theorem 4.1 also shows that the sequence

$$(\star) \quad 0 \rightarrow W(RG, \circ) \rightarrow W(KG, \circ) \xrightarrow{\delta_\pi} W(kG, \circ) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Most of the exactness is already shown in [Dre 75, Theorem 5]. The only missing ingredient is the surjectivity of the composition $\delta_\pi : W(KG, \circ) \rightarrow W(kG, \circ)$ of δ with the isomorphism $WT(RG, \circ) \cong W(kG, \circ)$ (Remark 3.3) given by multiplication with π , i.e. $\delta_\pi[(V, B)] = [(L^\#_B/L, \tilde{B})]$ for any maximal integral Λ -lattice L in V , where

$$\tilde{B} : L^\#_B/L \times L^\#_B/L \rightarrow R/\wp; \tilde{B}(v + L, w + L) := \pi B(v, w) + \pi R \in R/\pi R = k.$$

If the group order is invertible in R , then this surjectivity follows by the general Morita theory in the last section. But it is easy to establish a slightly stronger result:

Lemma 4.2 *Assume that $|G|$ is invertible in R . Let M be a simple kG -module and (b_1, \dots, b_n) a k -basis of the space of covariant forms on M . Then there is a simple KG -module V , a lattice $L \subset V$, and an R -basis (B_1, \dots, B_n) of the space of integral covariant forms on L , such that $(\pi^{-1}L/L, \pi \tilde{B}_i) \cong (M, b_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. [Ser 77, 15.5] shows that there is a KG -module V such that $M \cong L/\pi L$ for any RG -lattice L in V . Let B'_i be any symmetric bilinear form on L such that $B'_i \equiv b_i \pmod{\pi}$ and define $B_i := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g B'_i g^{tr}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Since $g B'_i g^{tr} \equiv b_i \pmod{\pi}$ for all $g \in G$, the forms B_i are G -invariant forms lifting b_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). They form an R -basis of the lattice of all integral covariant forms on L since their reductions modulo π form such a k -basis for $L/\pi L$. Moreover $L^\#_{B_i} = L$ for all the forms B_i . Therefore $L^\#_{\pi B_i} = \pi^{-1}L$ and $(L^\#_{\pi B_i}/L, \pi \tilde{B}_i) \cong (M, b_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. \square

For elementary subgroups the surjectivity of δ in (\star) can be seen by number theoretical arguments:

Theorem 4.3 ([Neb 99, Satz 4.3.6]) *$G := C : P$ be the semidirect product of a cyclic group C of order not divisible by the prime l and an l -group P . Then δ_π is surjective.*

More precisely, for every simple regular kG -module (M, b) there is a regular RG -lattice (L, B) such that $(\pi^{-1}L/L, \pi \tilde{B}) \cong (M, b)$.

Proof. The first part of the proof follows closely the one of [Ser 77, Theorem 41]. Let $p := \text{char}(k)$.

By Remark 3.4 it suffices to show that all simple kG -modules M that have a regular G -invariant symmetric bilinear form b are in the image of δ . So let (M, b) be such a simple orthogonal kG -module.

• Assume first that $l \neq p$. Then the Sylow- p -subgroup S of G is normal in G and therefore acts trivially on M , so M can be viewed as a kG/S -module. Since $l \nmid |G/S|$ the theorem follows from Lemma 4.2.

• We now assume that $l = p$. By induction we assume that M is a faithful kG -module. Since the centralizer of C in P is a normal p -subgroup of G and hence acts trivially on M , we assume that $C_P(C) = 1$ so P acts faithfully on C . Now $\text{char}(k) \nmid |C|$ implies that M is a semisimple kC -module. Let $M = \bigoplus_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}$ be a decomposition of M into kC -isotypic components. Since M is an irreducible kG -module, G permutes the M_{α} transitively. Let $G_{\alpha} = C : P_{\alpha}$ be the stabilizer of M_{α} . Then $M = \text{Ind}_{G_{\alpha}}^G(M_{\alpha})$ and M_{α} is an irreducible G_{α} -module. Since M_{α} is a homogeneous kC -module, the image of the representation $kC \rightarrow \text{End}(M_{\alpha})$ is a field $\tilde{k} \cong k[\zeta]$, where ζ is a primitive $|C|$ -th root of unity. Since $\text{char}(k) = p$ and P_{α} is a p -group, there is $0 \neq v \in M_{\alpha}$ such that $vg = v$ for all $g \in P_{\alpha}$. Then $\tilde{k}v \leq M_{\alpha}$ is a G_{α} -invariant subspace of M_{α} , because C is normal in G_{α} , and therefore $M_{\alpha} = \tilde{k}v$. Identifying v with $1 \in \tilde{k}$, we identify M_{α} with \tilde{k} . Then P_{α} acts as Galois automorphisms on M_{α} . Let $\tilde{K} = K[\zeta]$ be the unramified extension of K with residue class field $\tilde{k} \cong \tilde{R}/\tilde{\wp}$ where $\tilde{R} = R[\zeta]$ is the ring of integers in \tilde{K} and $\tilde{\wp} = \tilde{R}\wp$ the maximal ideal of \tilde{R} . The homomorphism $C \rightarrow \tilde{k}^*$ lifts uniquely to a homomorphism $C \rightarrow \tilde{R}^*$, which makes \tilde{R} into a RC -module. Since the Galois groups $\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K)$ and $\text{Gal}(\tilde{k}/k)$ are canonically isomorphic, the group P_{α} acts naturally on \tilde{R} as Galois automorphisms. This makes \tilde{R} into an RG_{α} -lattice, with $\tilde{R}/\tilde{\wp} \cong M_{\alpha}$.

We now consider the invariant form b on M . To this purpose let $M_{\alpha}^{\#} = \text{Hom}_k(M_{\alpha}, k)$ be the dual kC -module. Distinguish two cases:

- a) $M_{\alpha} \cong M_{\alpha}^{\#}$ as kC -modules.
- b) $M_{\alpha} \not\cong M_{\alpha}^{\#}$ as kC -modules.

If one also identifies $M_{\alpha}^{\#}$ with \tilde{k} , then $\bar{\cdot} : \tilde{k} \rightarrow \tilde{k}$, $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^{-1}$ is a k -linear Galois automorphism of \tilde{k} in case a) but not in case b).

In case a) the module M_{α} has a kG_{α} -invariant regular symmetric bilinear form $b' : M_{\alpha} \times M_{\alpha} \rightarrow k$, $b'(x, y) := \text{trace}_{\tilde{k}/k}(x\bar{y})$. Since the different isotypic components are orthogonal in this case, the module (M, b) is induced from $(M_{\alpha}, b|_{M_{\alpha}})$. By induction on $|G|$ we may assume that $M = M_{\alpha}$ and $G = G_{\alpha}$. Let $\tilde{k}^+ := \text{Fix}(\bar{\cdot})$ be the fixed field of $\bar{\cdot}$ in \tilde{k} . Then the symmetric C -invariant bilinear forms are the forms $b_z : (x, y) \mapsto \text{trace}_{\tilde{k}/k}(xz\bar{y})$ with $z \in \tilde{k}^+$. Clearly b_z is P -invariant, if and only if $z \in k^+$ lies in the fixed field k^+ of P in \tilde{k}^+ . In particular the form $b = b_{z'}$ for some $z' \in k^+$.

Since $\bar{\cdot}$ is a Galois automorphism of \tilde{k} fixing k , the map $\bar{\cdot} : \tilde{K} \rightarrow \tilde{K}$, $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^{-1}$ defines a Galois automorphism of \tilde{K}/K . Let $K^+ \leq \tilde{K}$ be the fixed field of $\langle P, \bar{\cdot} \rangle \leq \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K)$ with ring of integers R^+ and maximal ideal $\wp R^+ =: \wp^+$. Then the G -invariant symmetric bilinear forms on \tilde{K} are the forms $B_z : (x, y) \mapsto \text{trace}_{\tilde{K}/K}(xz\bar{y})$ with $z \in K^+$. Let $Z' \in R^+$ be a preimage of $z' \in R^+/\wp^+ = k^+$. Then $Z' \in (R^+)^*$ is a unit and $(\tilde{R}, B_{Z'})$ is a regular covariant RG -module with $(\pi^{-1}\tilde{R}/\tilde{R}, \tilde{B}_{Z'}) \cong (M, b)$.

Now consider the case b), that $M_{\alpha} \not\cong M_{\alpha}^{\#}$. Since M is self dual, the module $M_{\alpha}^{\#}$ is isomorphic to some other isotypic component $M_{\alpha'}$ of M . As above we may assume by induction that $M = M_{\alpha} + M_{\alpha'}$. Then $M \cong \tilde{k} + \tilde{k}$ where the action of a generator g of C is $(x + y)g := x\zeta + y\zeta^{-1}$. Now the C -invariant symmetric bilinear forms on M are of the form $b_z : (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2) \mapsto \text{trace}(x_1z y_2 + y_1z x_2)$ with $z \in \tilde{k}$. One also checks that b_z is G -invariant, if and only if $z \in k^+ := \text{Fix}(P_{\alpha})$. Similarly as in the case a), these invariant forms can be lifted to invariant forms on $\tilde{R} + \tilde{R}$ and one finds a preimage of (M, b) . \square

With Theorem 4.1 this allows to conclude the surjectivity of δ for arbitrary groups G .

Corollary 4.4 *Let R be the valuation ring in a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p with maximal ideal πR and residue class field $k = R/\pi R$. Let G be a finite group. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$(\star) \quad 0 \rightarrow W(RG, \circ) \rightarrow W(KG, \circ) \rightarrow W(kG, \circ) \rightarrow 0$$

Proof. The proof is based on the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{U \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{H}_2} W(RU, \circ) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{U \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{H}_2} W(KU, \circ) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{U \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{H}_2} W(kU, \circ) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow W(RG, \circ) & \rightarrow & W(KG, \circ) & \rightarrow & W(kG, \circ) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are surjective by Theorem 4.1, so it is enough to show the claim for the elementary subgroups $U \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{H}_2$. In particular it suffices to prove the corollary for such groups G that contain a cyclic normal subgroup of l -power index for some prime l . But such a group is isomorphic to $C : P$ for an l -group P . Therefore the corollary follows from Theorem 4.3. \square

Here it is essential that Λ is a group ring. For arbitrary symmetric orders one easily constructs counterexamples to the surjectivity of δ_π :

Example 4.5 *Let $I := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $b := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $c := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in R^{2 \times 2}$, where $R := \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let Λ be the sublattice of $R^{2 \times 2} \oplus R^{2 \times 2}$ with R -basis*

$$I + I, c + c, 2I + 0, 0 + 2c, a + 0, 0 + a, b + 0, 0 + b.$$

Then Λ is symmetric with respect to $\frac{1}{4}(Tr_1 + Tr_2)$ where Tr_i is the reduced trace of the i -th component $R^{2 \times 2}$. Taking the transpose in each component defines an involution \circ on Λ . If (V, B) is a simple regular covariant $\mathbb{Q}_2 \otimes_R \Lambda$ -module, then $\delta_2(V, B) = 0$ or $\delta_2(V, B) = (S, 1) \perp (S, 1)$, where S is the simple Λ -module. Therefore δ_2 is not surjective.

The surjectivity of δ for p -adic fields has the important consequence, that for number fields K , the composition of δ with the projection on one component $W(KG, \circ) \rightarrow W(R/\varphi G, \circ)$ is surjective. This is in general not true for the classical decomposition map: Let $G \cong C_4$. Then G has only 3 irreducible representations over \mathbb{Q} , but 4 irreducible representations over \mathbb{F}_5 . Therefore the 5-modular decomposition map over the rationals is not surjective.

Theorem 4.6 *Let G be an arbitrary group and R the ring of integers in a number field K . Let $\varphi \triangleleft R$ be a prime ideal of R . Then the composition $\pi_\varphi \circ \delta =: \delta_\varphi : W(KG, \circ) \rightarrow W((R/\varphi)G, \circ)$ is surjective.*

Proof. As above it suffices to prove the theorem for the groups $G \cong C : P$ as in Theorem 4.3. Let $k := R/\wp$ and G be such a group and (M, b) a simple regular kG -module. Let R_\wp be the completion of R at \wp with maximal ideal $\pi R_\wp = R_\wp \otimes_R \wp$. Then Theorem 4.3 yields a regular $R_\wp G$ -lattice (L'_\wp, B'_\wp) , such that $(M, b) \cong ((L'_\wp)^\#_{\pi B'_\wp} / L'_\wp, \widetilde{\pi B'_\wp})$. Let V be the irreducible KG -module such that $W_\wp := K_\wp \otimes_{R_\wp} L'_\wp$ is a constituent of $V_\wp = K_\wp \otimes_K V$. Then there is a regular G -invariant form $B_\wp : V_\wp \times V_\wp \rightarrow K_\wp$ and an $R_\wp G$ -lattice $L_\wp \subseteq V_\wp$ such that $(M, b) \cong ((L_\wp)^\#_{B_\wp} / L_\wp, \tilde{B}_\wp)$. Let $L' := L_\wp \cap V$. Then L' is a lattice for the localization $R_{(\wp)}$ of R at \wp (cf. [Rei 75, Theorem (5.2)(ii)]). Let L be any RG -lattice in V such that $R_{(\wp)} \otimes_R L = L'$. Then $R_\wp \otimes_R L = L_\wp$. Let $|G| = p^a q$ with $p \nmid q$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $rq \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Choose any symmetric bilinear form $B' : L \times L \rightarrow R$, such that $B' \equiv B_\wp \pmod{p^a}$ and let $B(v, w) := rp^{-a} \sum_{g \in G} B'(vg, wg)$ for all $v, w \in V$. Then B is G -invariant and integral on L and $B \equiv B_\wp \pmod{\wp}$. Using the same \sim -construction for R with any element $\pi_0 \in R$ with $\pi_0 \equiv \pi \pmod{\wp^2}$, one finds $(k \otimes_R (L^\#_B / L), \tilde{B}) \cong (M, b)$. \square

5 The cokernel of δ for number fields.

In this section let K be a number field and R its ring of integers. If G is a finite group then one has a forgetful map: $W(RG, \circ) \rightarrow W(R)$, mapping an orthogonal RG -lattice (L, b) onto the underlying R -lattice (L, b) . Let $W_0(RG, \circ)$ be the kernel of this map. Analogously one defines $W_0(KG, \circ)$ and $WT_0(RG, \circ)$. Then one has an exact diagramm

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & W_0(RG, \circ) & \rightarrow & W_0(KG, \circ) & \xrightarrow{\delta_0} & WT_0(RG, \circ) & \rightarrow & C_0 & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 (**) & 0 & \rightarrow & W(RG, \circ) & \rightarrow & W(KG, \circ) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & WT(RG, \circ) & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & W(R) & \rightarrow & W(K) & \rightarrow & WT(R) & \rightarrow & C(K)/C(K)^2 & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

where C_0 and C are the respective cokernels, $W(R)$, $W(K)$, and $WT(R)$ are the classical Witt groups of regular symmetric bilinear forms. The exactness of the last row is shown in [Scha 85, Theorem 6.6.11] (cf. also [MiH 73, Example IV.3.4]).

Remark 5.1 *For all finite groups G and number fields K , the cokernel of δ has an epimorphic image $C(K)/C(K)^2$.*

5.1 Groups of odd order.

This section mainly intends to give a survey on known results, though most of them are stated more generally as the ones in the literature.

Theorem 5.2 (cf. [Mor 90, Corollary 3.10] for p -groups G) *Let G be a nilpotent group of odd order and let K be a number field with ring of integers R . Then the cokernel of δ is isomorphic to the exponent-2-factor group $C(K)/C(K)^2$ of the class group $C(K)$ of K .*

Proof. Let $G = P_1 \times \dots \times P_m$ where P_i is the largest normal p_i -subgroup of G and p_1, \dots, p_m are distinct primes. We proceed by induction on m to show that the restriction δ_0 of δ to $W_0(KG, \circ)$ in diagram (**) is surjective.

If K is not totally real, then $W_0(KG, \circ) = 0$ (cf. [Mor 90, Proposition 3.3]) and we are done. So assume that K is a totally real number field.

If $m = 0$ then $G = 1$ and the statement is trivial. For $m = 1$, the theorem is [Mor 90, Theorem 3.9].

Assume that $m > 0$. Let $S := \{\wp \trianglelefteq R \mid p_1 \dots p_m \in \wp, \wp \text{ prime ideal}\}$. First we show that $\bigoplus_{\wp \in S} W_0((R/\wp)G, \circ)$ is in the image of δ_0 . To this purpose let $\wp \in S$. Then $p_i \in \wp$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since P_i is normal in G , it acts trivially on all simple $(R/\wp)G$ -modules. So the simple orthogonal $R/\wp G$ -modules are modules for G/P_i . By the induction hypotheses these modules are in the image of δ_0 . Hence the cokernel of δ_0 is an epimorphic image of the factor group

$$WT_0(RG, \circ) / \bigoplus_{\wp \in S} W_0((R/\wp)G, \circ) \cong \bigoplus_{\wp \notin S} W_0((R/\wp)G, \circ) = WT_0(R[\frac{1}{|G|}]G, \circ).$$

Therefore the cokernel of δ_0 is isomorphic to the corresponding cokernel C_S in the localized situation defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W_0(R[\frac{1}{|G|}]G, \circ) \rightarrow W_0(KG, \circ) \rightarrow WT_0(R[\frac{1}{|G|}]G, \circ) \rightarrow C_S \rightarrow 0.$$

The $R[\frac{1}{|G|}]$ -order $R[\frac{1}{|G|}]G \cong R[\frac{1}{|G|}] \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \Lambda_i$ is a maximal order in KG where $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m$ are maximal orders in the simple constituent of KG , that do not correspond to the trivial representation of KG . Moreover $\Lambda_i^\circ = \Lambda_i$ since K is totally real. By Lemma 3.2 $W_0(R[\frac{1}{|G|}]G, \circ) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^m W(\Lambda_i, \circ)$. As in the proof of [Mor 90, Theorem 3.4] one finds for every simple self dual KG -module V an G -covariant form on V and an $R[\frac{1}{|G|}]G$ -lattice that is self dual with respect to this form. The endomorphism ring of this lattice is the maximal order in the totally complex CM-field $\text{End}_{KG}(V)$. Applying the Morita theory of Section 3, one proves $C_S = 0$ as in [Mor 90, Theorem 3.9]. \square

To deduce the surjectivity of δ_0 for arbitrary groups of odd order, one has to show the surjectivity of the induction map (Theorem 4.1) also for W_0 and WT_0 , which I could not establish. So we have to restrict to the case that the class number of K is odd to show:

Theorem 5.3 (cf. [Miy 90, Theorem C] for $K = \mathbb{Q}$) *Let G be a group of odd order and assume that $|C(K)|$ is odd. Then δ is surjective.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the surjectivity of δ for the elementary subgroups of G shown in Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 4.1. \square

5.2 A counterexample to the surjectivity of δ for $K = \mathbb{Q}$: Dihedral groups

Proposition 5.4 *Let $p > 2$ be a prime, $G := \langle x, y \mid x^p = y^2 = (xy)^2 = 1 \rangle \cong D_{2p}$ the dihedral group of order $2p$ and $K := \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$ the maximal real subfield of the p -th cyclotomic field. Then*

$$(\star) \quad 0 \rightarrow W(\mathbb{Z}G, \circ) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{Q}G, \circ) \xrightarrow{\delta} WT(\mathbb{Z}G, \circ) \rightarrow C(K)/C(K)^2 \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. It remains to show that $\text{coker}(\delta) \cong C(K)/C(K)^2$.

Using the argumentation in [Scha 85, p 176/177] that shows the surjectivity of the Witt-decomposition map for $G = 1$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}$, one sees that $GW(\mathbb{F}_p, G) \subset \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{P}} GW(\mathbb{F}_r, G)$ is in the image of δ (this follows also from the surjectivity of δ for C_2 [Mor 90, Theorem 2.3]). Let $S := \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Then (\star) is exact if and only if

$$(\star)_p \quad 0 \rightarrow W(SG, \circ) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{Q}G, \circ) \xrightarrow{\delta} \bigoplus_{p \neq r \in \mathbb{P}} W(\mathbb{F}_r G, \circ) \rightarrow C(K)/C(K)^2 \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. The group ring SG is isomorphic to $SC_2 \oplus R[\frac{1}{p}]^{2 \times 2}$, where $R := \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$ is the ring of integers in K . Hence by Lemma 3.2, the sequence $(\star)_p$ is a direct sum of two sequences. One easily sees that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W(SC_2, \circ) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{Q}C_2, \circ) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p \neq r \in \mathbb{P}} GW(\mathbb{F}_r C_2, \circ) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. So we only have to deal with the other direct summand of SG , which is Morita equivalent to $R[\frac{1}{p}]$. To apply Lemma 3.1 one has to construct a unimodular hermitian SG -lattice in the irreducible $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module V of dimension $p - 1$. But V can be identified with $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_p]$, where x acts as multiplication by the primitive p -th root of unity ζ_p and y as the Galois automorphism $\zeta_p \mapsto \zeta_p^{-1}$. Then $h : V \times V \rightarrow G$ defined by $h(\zeta_p^i, \zeta_p^j) := x^{i-j} \in G$ is an G -hermitian form on V . Let $L := S[\zeta_p]$. Then (L, h) is a regular SG -lattice. By Lemma 3.1 one can replace $R[\frac{1}{p}]^{2 \times 2}$ by $R[\frac{1}{p}] = \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]G}(L)$ if one considers Witt groups of hermitian forms. But the involuton on $R[\frac{1}{p}]$ is trivial, so by a classical result (see e.g. [Scha 85, Theorem 6.6.11], [MiH 73, Example IV.3.4]), the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow Wh(R[\frac{1}{p}], -) \rightarrow Wh(K, -) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma} WTh(R[\frac{1}{p}]/\gamma, -) \rightarrow C(R[\frac{1}{p}])/C(R[\frac{1}{p}])^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

where γ runs through the prime ideals of the Dedekind domain $R[\frac{1}{p}]$. The prime ideal of R over p is generated by $(\zeta_p - \zeta_p^{-1})^2$ and hence principal. Therefore the class group $C(K)$ of fractional R -ideals in K is isomorphic to the class group $C(R[\frac{1}{p}])$ of fractional $R[\frac{1}{p}]$ -ideals and the cokernel of δ is $C(R[\frac{1}{p}])/C(R[\frac{1}{p}])^2 \cong C(K)/C(K)^2$. \square

References

- [ARS 97] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S.O. Smaløe, *Representation theory of Artin algebras*. Cambridge studies in advanced math. 36, Camb. Univers. Press (1997)
- [CuR 81] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory, with applications to finite groups and orders. Vol. I*. John Wiley & Sons. (1981).
- [Dre 75] A. W. M. Dress, *Induction and structure theorems for orthogonal representations of finite groups*. Annals of Math. **102** (1975) 291-325.
- [MiH 73] J. Milnor, D. Husemoller, *Symmetric bilinear forms*. Springer Ergebnisse **73** (1973)
- [Knu 91] M.-A. Knus, *Quadratic and Hermitian Forms over Rings*. Springer Grundlehren **294** (1991)
- [Miy 90] M. Miyamoto, *Equivariant Witt Groups of Finite Groups of Odd Order*. J. Algebra **133** (1990) 197-210.
- [Mor 88] J. F. Morales, *Maximal Hermitian forms over $\mathbb{Z}G$* . Comment. Math. Helv. 63, No.2, 209-225 (1988).
- [Mor 90] J. F. Morales, *Equivariant Witt groups*. Canad. Math. Bull. Vol 33 (2) (1990) 207-218.
- [Neb 99] G. Nebe, *Orthogonale Darstellungen endlicher Gruppen und Gruppenringe*, Habilitationsschrift, RWTH Aachen, Aachener Beiträge zur Mathematik **26**, Verlag Mainz Aachen (1999)
- [Rei 75] I. Reiner, *Maximal orders*. Academic Press (1975)
- [Scha 85] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian Forms*. Springer Grundlehren **270** (1985)
- [Ser 77] J.P. Serre, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*. Springer GTM **42** (1977).
- [Tho 84] J. G. Thompson, *Bilinear Forms in Characteristic p and the Frobenius-Schur Indicator*. Springer LNM **1185** (1984) 221-230.
- [Was 82] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*. Springer GTM **83** (1982).