

IMPRIMITIVE IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS

Gerhard Hiss

Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik
RWTH Aachen University

The Third International Symposium on Groups, Algebras,
and Related Topics

Celebrating the 50th Anniversary of the “Journal of Algebra”
Beijing, China, June 10 – 16, 2013

CONTENTS

- 1 The project and its motivation
- 2 Some results
- 3 Reductions
- 4 Harish-Chandra induction

THE PROJECT

This is a joint project with William J. Husen and Kay Magaard.

PROJECT

Classify the pairs $(G, G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V))$ such that

- 1 G is a finite *quasisimple* group,
- 2 V a finite dimensional vector space over some field K ,
- 3 $G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V)$ is absolutely irreducible and *imprimitive*.

EXPLANATIONS

- 1 G is quasisimple, if $G = G'$ and $G/Z(G)$ is simple.
- 2 $G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V)$ is imprimitive, if $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$, $m > 1$, and the action of G permutes the V_i transitively.

We call $H := \mathrm{Stab}_G(V_1)$ a *block stabilizer*.

We have $V \cong \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(V_1) := KG \otimes_{KH} V_1$ as KG -modules.

PRIMITIVITY AND TENSOR PRODUCTS

THEOREM (ASCHBACHER, 2000)

Let K be an algebraically closed field, let G_i be finite groups, and let V_i be finite-dimensional KG_i -modules for $i = 1, 2$.

Then the $K[G_1 \times G_2]$ -module $V_1 \otimes_K V_2$ is primitive, if and only if V_i is a primitive KG_i -module for $i = 1, 2$.

The proof is trickier than one would expect.

EXAMPLE (I FORGOT, WHO TOLD ME THIS)

Let $G = J_2$ and $K = \mathbb{C}$ (and we replace modules by characters).

$\chi := \chi_2 = 14$ and $\psi := \chi_{18} = 225$ are primitive, but

$$\chi \cdot \psi = \text{Ind}_H^G(6)$$

is imprimitive, where $H = 2^{2+4} : (3 \times S_3)$.

MOTIVATION I: MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS

Let K be a finite field and V a f.d. K -vector space.

Let $X \leq \mathrm{SL}(V)$ be a classical group, e.g., $X = \mathrm{Sp}(V), \mathrm{SO}(V)$.

Let $G \leq X$ be finite, quasisimple, such that

- ① $\varphi : G \rightarrow X \leq \mathrm{SL}(V)$ is absolutely irreducible, and
- ② not realizable over a smaller field.

$[\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V)$ is **realizable over a smaller field**, if φ factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathrm{SL}(V) \\
 & \searrow \varphi_0 & \uparrow \nu \\
 & & \mathrm{SL}(V_0)
 \end{array}$$

for some proper subfield $K_0 \leq K$, a K_0 -vector space V_0 with $V = K \otimes_{K_0} V_0$, and a representation $\varphi_0 : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V_0)$.]

Is $N_X(G)$ a maximal subgroup of X ?

SOME OBSTRUCTIONS

The following obstructions (for the maximality of $N_X(G)$), and many more, arise from Aschbacher's subgroup classification (1984) [cf. Eamonn O'Brien's plenary talk].

\mathcal{C}_2 -obstruction: $\varphi : N_X(G) \rightarrow X \leq \mathrm{SL}(V)$ is **imprimitive**.

Then $N_X(G) \not\leq \mathrm{Stab}_X(\{V_1, \dots, V_m\}) \not\leq X$.

\mathcal{C}_4 -obstruction: $\varphi : N_X(G) \rightarrow X \leq \mathrm{SL}(V)$ is **tensor decomposable**,

i.e., $V = U \otimes_K W$ and φ is equivalent to $\varphi_U \otimes \varphi_W$.

Then $N_X(G) \not\leq X \cap (\mathrm{SL}(U) \otimes_K \mathrm{SL}(W)) \not\leq X$.

\mathcal{S} -obstruction: There is a quasisimple group H such that $N_X(G) \not\leq H \not\leq X$. (Thus $\mathrm{Res}_G^H(V)$ is absolutely irreducible.)

AN EXAMPLE: THE MATHIEU GROUP M_{11}

Let X be a finite classical group.

Let $\varphi : M_{11} \rightarrow X$ be absolutely irreducible, faithful, and not realizable over a smaller field. (All such (φ, X) are known.)

Put $G := \varphi(M_{11})$. Then $N_X(G) = Z(X) \times G$.

Is $Z(X) \times G$ maximal in X ?

NO, except for $\varphi : M_{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_5(3)$.

EXAMPLES

(1) $M_{11} \rightarrow A_{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{10}^+(3)'$ (S -obstruction).

(2) $M_{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{55}(\ell)$ is imprimitive, $\ell \geq 5$ (C_2 -obstruction).

(3) Also: $M_{11} \rightarrow M_{12} \rightarrow A_{12} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{11}(\ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{55}(\ell)$, $\ell \geq 5$.

(4) $M_{11} \rightarrow 2.M_{12} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{10}(3)$ (S -obstruction).

(5) $M_{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_5(3) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{24}^-(3)'$ (S -obstruction).

What about $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{196882}(2)$? (M : Monster)

MOTIVATION II: MATRIX GROUPS COMPUTATION

The following algorithmic problem arises in the "matrix groups computation" project [cf. Eamonn O'Brien's plenary talk].

Let K be a finite field, $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, $G := \langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle$.

Through preliminary computations one knows

- 1 G acts absolutely irreducibly on $V = K^n$,
- 2 G is "nearly" simple,
- 3 the isomorphism type of the non-abelian simple composition factor of G .

Decide whether G acts primitively on V .

A table look-up in our lists might help to answer this question.

SPORADIC SIMPLE GROUPS

Complete list of examples for sporadic simple groups:

G	$\dim(V)$	$N_G(V_1)$	V_1	$\text{char}(K)$
M_{11}	11 55	$A_6.2_3$ $3^2: Q_8.2$	1_2 1_3	$\neq 2, 3$
M_{12}	66 120	$A_6.2^2$ M_{11}	1_3 $10_2, 10_3$	$\neq 2, 3$ $\neq 2, 3, 11$
M_{22}	231	$2^4: A_6$	$3_1, 3_2$	3
M_{24}	1 771	$2^6: 3.S_6$	1_2	$\neq 2, 3$
McL	9 625	$U_4(3)$	$35_1, 35_2$	$\neq 2, 3$
Co_2	1 288 000 2 095 875	$U_6(2): 2$ $2^{10}: M_{22}: 2$	$560_1, 560_2$ $45_2, 45_4$	$\neq 2, 3, 11$ $\neq 2, 7, 11$

There are a few more examples for covering groups of these.

THE ALTERNATING GROUPS; $K = \mathbb{C}$

We replace modules by characters, $\text{Irr}(G)$ denotes the set of irreducible \mathbb{C} -characters of G .

THEOREM (DRAGOMIR DJOKOVIĆ, JERRY MALZAN, 1976)

Suppose that $G = A_n$, $n \geq 10$, and let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ be imprimitive. Then one of the following holds.

① $n = m^2 + 1$ and $\chi = \text{Res}_G^{S_n}(\zeta^\lambda)$ with $\lambda = (m + 1, m^{m-1})$.

Also, $\chi = \text{Ind}_{A_{n-1}}^G(\chi_1)$ with χ_1 a constituent of $\text{Res}_{A_{n-1}}^{S_{n-1}}(\zeta^\mu)$ with $\mu = (m^m)$.

② $n = 2m$ and $\chi = \text{Res}_G^{S_n}(\zeta^\lambda)$ with $\lambda = (m + 1, 1^{m-1})$.

Also, $\chi = \text{Ind}_{N_G(S_m \times S_m)}^G(\chi_1)$ with $\chi_1(1) = 1$.

The classification for A_n is complete in all characteristics.

THE COVERING GROUPS OF THE ALTERNATING GROUPS; $K = \mathbb{C}$

THEOREM (DANIEL NETT, FELIX NOESKE, 2009)

Suppose that $G = 2.A_n$, $n \geq 10$, is the covering group of A_n , and let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ be imprimitive.

Then $n = 1 + m(m + 1)/2$, and $\psi = \text{Res}_G^{2.S_n}(\sigma^\lambda)$ with

$$\lambda = (m + 1, m - 1, m - 2, \dots, 1).$$

Also, $\psi = \text{Ind}_{2.A_{n-1}}^G(\psi_1)$ with ψ_1 a constituent of $\text{Res}_{2.A_{n-1}}^{2.S_{n-1}}(\sigma^\mu)$ with

$$\mu = (m, m - 1, \dots, 1).$$

THE COVERING GROUPS OF THE ALTERNATING GROUPS; $\text{char}(K) > 0$

Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 0$.

THEOREM (DANIEL NETT, FELIX NOESKE, 2009)

*Suppose that $G = 2.A_n$, $n \geq 10$, is the covering group of A_n .
Let $H \leq G$ be a maximal subgroup such that $\text{Ind}_H^G(V_1)$ is irreducible, for some KH -module V_1 .*

*Then $H/Z(G) \leq A_n$ either is an **intransitive** subgroup of A_n ,
or $n = 2m$ is even and $H/Z(G) = (S_m \wr S_2) \cap A_n$.*

The classification for $2.A_n$ in these cases is still open.

FINITE REDUCTIVE GROUPS

Let \mathbf{G} denote a reductive algebraic group over \mathbf{F} , an algebraically closed field, $\text{char}(\mathbf{F}) = p > 0$.

Let F denote a Frobenius morphism of \mathbf{G} with respect to some \mathbb{F}_q -structure of \mathbf{G} .

Then $G := \mathbf{G}^F$ is a **finite reductive group of characteristic p** .

An F -stable Levi subgroup \mathbf{L} of \mathbf{G} is **split**, if \mathbf{L} is a Levi complement in an F -stable parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{G} .

Such a pair (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{P}) gives rise to a parabolic subgroup $P = \mathbf{P}^F$ of G with Levi complement $L = \mathbf{L}^F$.

REDUCTIVE GROUPS IN DEFINING CHARACTERISTICS

The following result of Seitz contains the classification in defining characteristic.

THEOREM (GARY SEITZ, 1988)

Let G be a finite reductive, quasisimple group of characteristic p .

Suppose that V is an irreducible, imprimitive $\mathbf{F}G$ -module.

Then G is one of

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(5), \mathrm{SL}_2(7), \mathrm{SL}_3(2), \mathrm{Sp}_4(3),$$

and V is the Steinberg module.

THE MAIN REDUCTION THEOREM

Let G be a finite reductive group of characteristic p .

Suppose that G

- 1 is quasisimple,
- 2 does not have an exceptional Schur multiplier,
- 3 is not isomorphic to a finite reductive group of a different characteristic.

Let K be an algebraically closed field with $\text{char}(K) \neq p$.

THEOREM (HUSEN-H.-MAGAARD, 2013)

Let G and K be as above. Let $H \leq G$ be a maximal subgroup. Suppose that $\text{Ind}_H^G(V_1)$ is irreducible for some KH -module V_1 .

Then $H = P$ is a parabolic subgroup of G .

SOME EASY CHARACTERISTIC-FREE CRITERIA

Let G be a finite group, $H \leq G$, and K a field.

Let V_1 be a KH -module such that $V := \text{Ind}_H^G(V_1)$ is irreducible.
Then

- ❶ $[G : H]$ divides $\dim(V)$.
- ❷ $|H|^2 \geq |G|$.
- ❸ For all $t \in G \setminus H$, the group ${}^tH \cap H$ is **not** centralized by t .
In particular ${}^tH \cap H \neq \{1\}$ for all $t \in G$.
- ❹ Suppose that $H = C_G(a)$ for some $a \in G$. Then $t \notin \langle {}^t a, a \rangle$ for all $t \in G \setminus H$.

Proof of 1: Clear, since $\dim(V) = [G : H]\dim(V_1)$.

Proof of 2: $[G : H]^2 \leq \dim(V)^2 \leq |G|$.

Proof of 3: This is a consequence of Mackey's theorem.

Proof of 4: For $t \in G$, ${}^tH \cap H = C_G({}^t a, a)$. Hence $t \notin \langle {}^t a, a \rangle$ for $t \in G \setminus H$, since such a t does not centralize ${}^tH \cap H$ by 3.

NON-PARABOLIC BLOCK STABILIZERS

Large subgroups of finite reductive groups are **in general** parabolic subgroups.

There are, however, many exceptions, causing a lot of trouble.

EXAMPLE

Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ with m even and $q > 3$ odd, and let

$H = \langle H_0, s \rangle$ with $H_0 = \mathrm{Sp}_m(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_m(q)$ and $s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ I_m & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Then $H_0 = C_G(a)$ with $a = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha I_m & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} I_m \end{bmatrix}$, where $\langle \alpha \rangle = \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

Put $t := \begin{bmatrix} I_m & N \\ N & I_m \end{bmatrix}$ with $N := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Then $t \in \langle {}^t a, a \rangle$, hence t centralizes ${}^t H_0 \cap H_0$.

Finally, $t \in C_G(s) \setminus H$ and ${}^t H_0 \cap s H_0 = \emptyset$, thus $t \in C_G({}^t H \cap H)$.

PARABOLIC BLOCK STABILIZERS

Let G be a finite reductive, quasisimple group of characteristic p , and let K be an algebraically closed field with $\text{char}(K) \neq p$.

According to our main reduction theorem, we may restrict our investigation to parabolic subgroups.

PROPOSITION (HUSEN-H.-MAGAARD, 2013)

Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with unipotent radical U .

Let V_1 be a KP -module such that $\text{Ind}_P^G(V_1)$ is irreducible.

Then U is in the kernel of V_1 .

*In other words, $\text{Ind}_P^G(V_1)$ is *Harish-Chandra induced*.*

This allows to apply Harish-Chandra theory to our classification problem, reducing certain aspects to Weyl groups.

SKETCH PROOF OF PROPOSITION

PROPOSITION

Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with unipotent radical U .
Let V_1 be a KP -module such that $\text{Ind}_P^G(V_1)$ is irreducible.
Then U is in the kernel of V_1 .

Proof: (Sketch) Let L be a Levi complement of U in P .

Chose a head composition factor V_2 of $\text{Res}_L^P(V_1)$.

Let Q be the opposite parabolic subgroup of P , so $P \cap Q = L$.

Mackey's theorem yields a non-trivial homomorphism

$\text{Ind}_P^G(V_1) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_Q^G(\tilde{V}_2)$, where $\tilde{V}_2 = \text{Infl}_L^Q(V_2)$.

As $\text{Ind}_P^G(V_1)$ is simple, and $\dim(\text{Ind}_Q^G(\tilde{V}_2)) \leq \dim(\text{Ind}_P^G(V_1))$, this implies that

$$\text{Ind}_P^G(V_1) \cong \text{Ind}_Q^G(\tilde{V}_2).$$

It follows that $\dim(V_1) = \dim(V_2)$.

A CONSEQUENCE FOR MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS

Let X be a finite classical group on the vector space V .

Let $G \leq X$ be a quasisimple reductive group such that

- 1 $\varphi : G \rightarrow X \leq \mathrm{SL}(V)$ is absolutely irreducible,
- 2 $V = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G(V_1)$ for some parabolic subgroup P of G ,
- 3 the G -conjugacy class of P is invariant under $N_X(G)$.

Then $N_X(G)$ is **not** a maximal subgroup of X .

Indeed, putting $H := N_X(G)$, we get $H = GN_H(P)$ by 3.

We have $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$, the V_i being permuted by G .

By the proposition, $V_1 = C_V(U)$, where U is the unipotent radical of P .

Now $N_H(P)$ stabilizes U , hence fixes V_1 .

Thus $H = GN_H(P)$ permutes the V_i .

HARISH-CHANDRA INDUCTION AND IMPRIMITIVITY

Let G be a finite reductive, quasisimple group of characteristic p , and let K be an algebraically closed field with $\text{char}(K) \neq p$. By Harish-Chandra theory, a large proportion of irreducible KG -modules are imprimitive.

REMARK

*Let L be a Levi complement of the parabolic subgroup P of G , and let V_1 be an irreducible KL -module which is **rigid**. This means, roughly, that the stabilizer of V_1 in $N_G(L)$ equals L . Then $\text{Ind}_P^G(\text{Infl}_L^P(V_1))$ is irreducible.*

EXAMPLE

$G = \text{GL}_n(q)$, $L = \text{GL}_m(q) \times \text{GL}_{n-m}(q)$ with $m \neq n - m$.
Then every irreducible KL -module is rigid.

ASYMPTOTICS

Assume from now on that $K = \mathbb{C}$ (our results are best in this case).

Let $G_m(q) = \mathrm{SL}_m(q)$ or $G_m(q) = \mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q)$. Put

$$f(m, q) := \frac{|\mathrm{Irr}_i(G_m(q))|}{|\mathrm{Irr}(G_m(q))|},$$

where $\mathrm{Irr}_i(G_m(q)) = \{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_m(q)) \mid \chi \text{ is imprimitive}\}$.

Then $f(m) := \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} f(m, q)$ exists and we have:

- 1 $f(m) = 1 - 1/m$ if $G_m(q) = \mathrm{SL}_m(q)$,
- 2 $f(m) = 1 - \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2m-1)}{2^m m!}$, if $G_m(q) = \mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ [Lübeck].

In each case, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f(m) = 1$.

Analogous results hold for the other classical groups.

EXAMPLE: $SL_2(q)$, q EVEN

	C_1	C_2	$C_3(a)$	$C_4(b)$
χ_1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	q	0	1	-1
$\chi_3(m)$	$q+1$	1	$\zeta^{am} + \zeta^{-am}$	0
$\chi_4(n)$	$q-1$	-1	0	$-\xi^{bn} - \xi^{-bn}$

$$a, m = 1, \dots, (q-2)/2, \quad b, n = 1, \dots, q/2,$$

The characters $\chi_3(m)$ are imprimitive, the others are primitive.

Number of irreducible characters: $q+1$.

Number of imprimitive irreducible characters: $q/2 - 1$.

LUSZTIG SERIES

Let $G = \mathbf{G}^F$ be a finite reductive group.

Let $G^* = \mathbf{G}^{*F}$ denote a dual reductive group.

We have

$$\text{Irr}(G) = \bigcup_{[s]} \mathcal{E}(G, [s]),$$

a disjoint union into **rational Lusztig series** ($[s]$ runs through the G^* -conjugacy classes of semisimple elements of G^*).

THEOREM (HUSEN-H.-MAGAARD, 2013)

If $C_{G^}(s)$ is contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G}^* , every element of $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ is Harish-Chandra induced.*

Suppose that $C_{G^}(s)$ is connected and **not** contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G}^* .*

Then every element of $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ is Harish-Chandra primitive.

In particular, the elements of $\mathcal{E}(G, [1])$ are HC-primitive.

THE CLASSIFICATION FOR $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(q)$. Then $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}^*$.

Let $s \in \mathbf{G}^* = G$ be semisimple. Then $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$ is connected.

THEOREM (HUSEN-H.-MAGAARD, 2013)

If the minimal polynomial of s is irreducible, then every element of $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ is Harish-Chandra primitive.

Otherwise, every element of $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ is Harish-Chandra induced.

Notice that the minimal polynomial of s is irreducible if and only if $C_G(s) \cong \mathrm{GL}_m(q^d)$ for integers m, d with $md = n$.

EXAMPLE FOR THE DESCENT FROM $GL_n(q)$ TO $SL_n(q)$

The descent from $GL_n(q)$ to $SL_n(q)$ is not so easy to describe.

EXAMPLE (CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ)

Suppose that q is odd, let $G = GL_4(q)$ and P a parabolic subgroup with Levi complement $L = GL_2(q) \times GL_2(q)$.

Let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the trivial character and $\mathbf{1}^-$ the unique linear character of $GL_2(q)$ of order 2.

Then $\chi := \text{Ind}_P^G(\text{Infl}_L^P(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}^-))$ is irreducible, hence imprimitive.

*However, $\text{Res}_{SL_4(q)}^G(\chi) = \psi_1 + \psi_2$, with irreducible, **primitive** characters ψ_1, ψ_2 .*

THEOREM (HUSEN, H., MAGAARD, 2013)

Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(GL_n(q))$ be Harish-Chandra primitive.

Then $\text{Res}_{SL_n(q)}^{GL_n(q)}(\chi)$ is irreducible and Harish-Chandra primitive.

DESCENT FROM $GL_n(q)$ TO $SL_n(q)$

Let $G = SL_n(q)$, $s \in G^* = PGL_n(q)$ semisimple.

There is a bijection

$$\text{Irr}(W(s)^F) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G, [s]), \quad \eta \mapsto \chi_\eta,$$

where $W(s)$ is the “Weyl group” of $C_{G^*}(s)$ (Bonnafé).

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ contains Harish-Chandra primitive **and** imprimitive characters.

Then $W(s)^F = S: \langle \gamma \rangle$, with $S = S_m \times \cdots \times S_m$, and γ permuting the e factors S_m of S transitively, and $em \mid n$.

THEOREM (H.-MAGAARD)

$\chi_\eta \in \mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ is primitive, if and only if $\text{Res}_S^{S: \langle \gamma \rangle}(\eta)$ is irreducible.

Thank you for listening!