

The Perrin-McClintock Resolvent, Solvable Quintics and Plethysms

Frank Grosshans

In his seminal paper of 1771, Lagrange found that certain polynomials of degree 6 called resolvents could be used to determine whether a quintic polynomial was solvable in radicals. Among the various resolvents later discovered, the Perrin-McClintock resolvent has some particularly noteworthy properties. We shall discuss these properties and their application to solvable quintics. The properties suggest that the Perrin-McClintock resolvent may be unique. We discuss this question and relate it to the representation theory of the general linear group, especially zero weight spaces and plethysms of a special form.

Properties of the Perrin-McClintock Resolvent

Property 1: a polynomial function

$d = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{d}{i} a_i x^{d-i} y^i, & a_i \in \mathbb{C} \\ &= a_0 x^d + \binom{d}{1} a_1 x^{d-1} y + \binom{d}{2} a_2 x^{d-2} y^2 + \dots + \binom{d}{d} a_d y^d \\ &\leftrightarrow (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d) \end{aligned}$$

V_d is vector space over \mathbb{C} spanned by all such $f(x, y)$

$$\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbb{C}^2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The Perrin-McClintock resolvent

a polynomial, $K : V_5 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$K(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5; x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^6 \kappa_j(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) x^{6-j} y^j$$

$$R_f(x) = K(f, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix})$$

Example 1: $f(x) = x^5 + 10a_2x^3 + 5a_4x + a_5$ with $a_4 = 4a_2^2$

$$K(f, v) = (3a_2^6 + a_2a_5^2)x^6 - 125a_2^4a_5x^5y + (4080a_2^7 - 15a_2^2a_5^2)x^4y^2 \\ + 1000a_2^5a_5x^3y^3 + (960a_2^8 + 70a_2^3a_5^2)x^2y^4 + (128a_2^6a_5 + a_2a_5^3)xy^5$$

Example 2: $f(x) = x^5 + 5x^4 + 9x^3 + 5x^2 - 4x - 5$

$$K(f, v) = \frac{1}{80000}(-498x^6 - 5900x^5y - 22662x^4y^2 - 41320x^3y^3 - 36254x^2y^4 \\ - 6860xy^5 + 8150y^6)$$

Example 3: $f(x) = x^5 - 8x^4 + 5x^3 - 6x^2 + 8x - 4$

$$K(f, v) = -5681513x^6 + 22679884x^5y - 42714844x^4y^2 + 6325088x^3y^3 \\ + 16299792x^2y^4 - 18575936xy^5 + 5294016y^6$$

Properties of the Perrin-McClintock Resolvent

Property 2: a covariant

$$SL(2, \mathbb{C}), g = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

action on V_d

$$g \cdot x = dx - by$$

$$g \cdot y = -cx + ay$$

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{d}{i} a_i x^{d-i} y^i \rightarrow g \cdot f = \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{d}{i} a_i (dx - by)^{d-i} (-cx + ay)^i$$

action on \mathbb{A}^2

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a\lambda + b\mu \\ c\lambda + d\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

The covariant property

- (1) $K(g \cdot f, g \cdot v) = K(f, v)$ for all $g \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $f \in V_d$, $v \in \mathbb{A}^2$
- (2) coefficients of x and y terms form irreducible representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$
- (3) *source* of covariant is $K(f, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})$

completely determines K

Properties of the Perrin-McClintock Resolvent

The Hessian cubic covariant

$$g \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}), g = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 17 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

action on V_3

$$g \cdot x = 7x - 2y$$

$$g \cdot y = -17x + 5y$$

$$\begin{aligned} f &= a_0x^3 + 3a_1x^2y + 3a_2xy^2 + a_3y^3 \rightarrow \\ g \cdot f &= a_0(7x - 2y)^3 + 3a_1(7x - 2y)^2(-17x + 5y) + 3a_2(7x - 2y)(-17x + 5y)^2 + a_3(-17x + 5y)^3 \end{aligned}$$

action on \mathbb{A}^2

$$\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 17 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5\lambda + 2\mu \\ 17\lambda + 7\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3; x, y) &= \frac{1}{36} \text{Det} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (a_0a_2 - a_1^2)x^2 + (a_0a_3 - a_1a_2)xy + (a_1a_3 - a_2^2)y^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 17 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$f = 4x^3 + 3 \times 5x^2y + 3 \times (-6)xy^2 + (-1)y^3$$

$$g \cdot f = -42624x^3 + 37128x^2y - 10779xy^2 + 1043y^3$$

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}; g \cdot v = \begin{pmatrix} 46 \\ 157 \end{pmatrix}$$

The covariant property

$$(1) H(g \cdot f, g \cdot v) = H(f, v) \text{ for all } g \in G, f \in V_d, v \in \mathbb{A}^2$$

$$H(f, v) = H(4, 5, -6, -1; 8, 3) = -2881$$

$$H(g \cdot f, g \cdot v) = H(-42624, 12376, -3593, 1043; 46, 157)$$

$$= -2881$$

(2) coefficients of x and y terms form irreducible representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$

$$(a_0a_2 - a_1^2), (a_0a_3 - a_1a_2), (a_1a_3 - a_2^2)$$

$$(3) \text{ source of covariant is } H\left(f, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = a_0a_2 - a_1^2$$

completely determines H

algebraic meaning: $H(f, v) = 0$ for all $v \in \mathbb{A}^2$ if and only if there is a linear form, say $g = ax + by$, such that $f = g^3$.

$$\text{For example, } f(x, y) = 64x^3 - 144x^2y + 108xy^2 - 27y^3.$$

$$H(f, v) \equiv 0, f(x, y) = (4x - 3y)^3$$

[Abdesselam and Chipalkatti]

Properties of the Perrin-McClintock Resolvent

Property 3: solvable quintics

Theorem. Let $f(x) = a_0x^5 + 5a_1x^4 + 10a_2x^3 + 10a_3x^2 + 5a_4x + a_5$ be an irreducible quintic polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then $f(x)$ is solvable in radicals if and only if $R_f(x)$ has a rational root or is of degree 5.

Example 2: $f(x) = x^5 + 5x^4 + 9x^3 + 5x^2 - 4x - 5$

$$K(f, v) = \frac{1}{80000}(-498x^6 - 5900x^5y - 22662x^4y^2 - 41320x^3y^3 - 36254x^2y^4 - 6860xy^5 + 8150y^6)$$

$$R_f(x) = \frac{1}{80000}(-498x^6 - 5900x^5 - 22662x^4 - 41320x^3 - 36254x^2 - 6860x + 8150)$$

has root $1/3$. Hence, $f(x)$ is solvable in radicals.

Example 3: $f(x) = x^5 - 8x^4 + 5x^3 - 6x^2 + 8x - 4$

$$K(f, v) = -5681513x^6 + 22679884x^5y - 42714844x^4y^2 + 6325088x^3y^3 + 16299792x^2y^4 - 18575936xy^5 + 5294016y^6$$

$$R_f(x) = -5681513x^6 + 22679884x^5 - 42714844x^4 + 6325088x^3 + 16299792x^2 - 18575936x + 5294016$$

does not have a rational root. Hence, $f(x)$ is *not* solvable in radicals.

Get elegant way to find solutions in radicals

Cayley to McClintock (McClintock, p.163): "McClintock completes in a very elegant manner the determination of the roots of the quintic equation"

Properties of the Perrin-McClintock Resolvent

Property 4: global information

Problem: use resolvents to obtain global information about solvable quintics

Example 1 (Perrin):

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= a_0x^5 + 10a_2x^3 + 5a_4x + a_5 \\ \text{with } a_4 &= 4a_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_f(x) &= (3a_2^6 + a_2a_5^2)x^6 - 125a_2^4a_5x^5 + (4080a_2^7 - 15a_2^2a_5^2)x^4 \\ &\quad + 1000a_2^5a_5x^3 + (960a_2^8 + 70a_2^3a_5^2)x^2 + (128a_2^6a_5 + a_2a_5^3)x \end{aligned}$$

has root 0. Hence, $f(x)$ is solvable in radicals.

Example 2: the McClintock parametrization

Have mapping φ , a rational function,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^4 \\ (p, r, w, t) &\rightarrow (\gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \zeta) \\ (\gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \zeta) \text{ identified with } f(x) &= x^5 + 10\gamma x^3 + 10\delta x^2 + 5\varepsilon x + \zeta \end{aligned}$$

The polynomial $f(x)$ is solvable (its resolvent $R_f(x)$ has t as a root).

inverse map exists, rational function
need $R_f(x)$ to have rational root t

difficulty: if quintic factors, t may be complex or irrational real
so don't quite parametrize all solvable quintics

Example 3: Brioschi quintics [Elia]

$$f(x) = x^5 - 10zx^3 + 45z^2x - z^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_f(x) = & (-z^5 + 128z^6)x^6 + 400z^6x^5 + (-15z^6 - 46080z^7)x^4 \\ & + 40000z^7x^3 + (-95z^7 - 51840z^8)x^2 \\ & + (z^7 + 1872z^8)x - 25z^8 \end{aligned}$$

If z is a non-zero integer, then $f(x)$ is solvable in radicals.

Example 4: subject to certain explicitly defined polynomials not vanishing, if f_0 is an irreducible quintic such that R_{f_0} has a root $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, then every Euclidean open neighborhood of f_0 contains a solvable quintic.

Dickson's Factorization

Action of S_5

S_5 : symmetric group on 5 letters

Action of S_5 on polynomials

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$$

$$\sigma \in S_5$$

$$\sigma \cdot f = f(x_{\sigma 1}, x_{\sigma 2}, x_{\sigma 3}, x_{\sigma 4}, x_{\sigma 5})$$

Example

$$f = x_1x_2 - x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 - x_1x_4 - x_2x_4 + x_3x_4 + x_1x_5 - x_2x_5 - x_3x_5 + x_4x_5$$

$$\sigma = (132)$$

$$\sigma \cdot f = x_3x_1 - x_3x_2 + x_1x_2 - x_3x_4 - x_1x_4 + x_2x_4 + x_3x_5 - x_1x_5 - x_2x_5 + x_4x_5$$

Dickson's Factorization

The group F_{20}

S_5 : symmetric group on 5 letters

F_{20} : subgroup of S_5 generated by (12345) and (2354)

$$S_5 = \bigcup_{i=1}^6 \tau_i F_{20}$$

$$\tau_1 = (1), \tau_2 = (12), \tau_3 = (13), \tau_4 = (23), \tau_5 = (123), \tau_6 = (132)$$

Theorem. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be an irreducible quintic. Then $f(x)$ is solvable in radicals if and only if its Galois group is conjugate to a subgroup of F_{20} .

Problem: extend resolvent program to polynomials of higher degree. (What replaces F_{20} ?)

Dickson's Factorization

Malfatti's resolvent

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) &= x_1x_2 - x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 - x_1x_4 - x_2x_4 + x_3x_4 + x_1x_5 - x_2x_5 - x_3x_5 + x_4x_5 \\
 &= (x_1 - x_5)(x_2 - x_5) + (x_2 - x_5)(x_3 - x_5) + (x_3 - x_5)(x_4 - x_5) \\
 &\quad - (x_2 - x_5)(x_4 - x_5) - (x_4 - x_5)(x_1 - x_5) - (x_1 - x_5)(x_3 - x_5)
 \end{aligned}$$

Properties:

- (1) homogeneous of degree 2 in x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5
- (2) for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Phi(x_1+\beta, x_2+\beta, x_3+\beta, x_4+\beta, x_5+\beta) = \Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$
- (3) highest power to which any x_i appears is 1
- (4) $(12345)\Phi = \Phi$
 $(2354)\Phi = -\Phi$

Note: Malfatti resolvent, put $\Phi^2 = \Theta$

$$R(x) = (x - \Theta)(x - \tau_2\Theta)(x - \tau_3\Theta)(x - \tau_4\Theta)(x - \tau_5\Theta)(x - \tau_6\Theta)$$

polynomial in a'_i 's

rational root if and only if $f(x)$ solvable in radicals

for resolvents of this form, lowest possible degree in Θ

rediscovered by Jacobi (1835), Cayley (1861), Dummit (1991)

Dickson's Factorization

Roots of the resolvent

$$S_5 = \bigcup_{i=1}^6 \tau_i F_{20}$$

$$\tau_1 = (1), \tau_2 = (12), \tau_3 = (13), \tau_4 = (23), \tau_5 = (123), \tau_6 = (132)$$

The Malfatti resolvent

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) &= x_1x_2 - x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 - x_1x_4 - x_2x_4 + x_3x_4 + x_1x_5 - x_2x_5 - x_3x_5 + x_4x_5 \\ &= (x_1 - x_5)(x_2 - x_5) + (x_2 - x_5)(x_3 - x_5) + (x_3 - x_5)(x_4 - x_5) \\ &\quad - (x_2 - x_5)(x_4 - x_5) - (x_4 - x_5)(x_1 - x_5) - (x_1 - x_5)(x_3 - x_5) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5)\Phi(1/x_1, 1/x_2, 1/x_3, 1/x_4, 1/x_5)$$

where does Ψ come from?

need highest power to which a root appears in Φ is ≤ 1

homogeneous of degree 3 in x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5

Perrin-McClintock resolvent: for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, put $\Phi_i = \tau_i\Phi$, $\Psi_i = \tau_i\Psi$

$$\begin{aligned} K(f; v) &= a_0^6 \prod_{i=1}^6 ((\tau_i\Phi)x - (\tau_i\Psi)y) \\ &= a_0^6 \left(\prod_{i=1}^6 (\tau_i\Phi) \right) \prod_{i=1}^6 (x - ((\tau_i\Psi)/(\tau_i\Phi))y) \end{aligned}$$

Constructing resolvents

Setting I: covariants

Find covariants of the form

$$K(f; v) = a_0^m \prod_{i=1}^6 ((\tau_i \Phi)x - (\tau_i \Psi)y)$$

with

- (1) $\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ homogeneous of degree $w \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ in x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5
- (2) highest power to which a root appears in Φ is $\leq d = \frac{2w+1}{5}$
- (3) for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Phi(x_1+\beta, x_2+\beta, x_3+\beta, x_4+\beta, x_5+\beta) = \Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$
- (4) $(12345)\Phi = \Phi$
 $(2354)\Phi = -\Phi$

$$\Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5)^d \Phi(-1/x_1, -1/x_2, -1/x_3, -1/x_4, -1/x_5)$$

Recall: source determines covariant.

$$\text{source is } a_0^m \prod_{i=1}^6 ((\tau_i \Phi))$$

Constructing resolvents

Setting II: polynomials in roots

For $w \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$, put $d = \frac{2w+1}{5}$. Find $\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$

(1) homogeneous of degree w in x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5

(2) the highest power to which any x_i appears in Φ is $\leq d$

(3) for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Phi(x_1+\beta, x_2+\beta, x_3+\beta, x_4+\beta, x_5+\beta) = \Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$

(4) $(12345)\Phi = \Phi$
 $(2354)\Phi = -\Phi$

For covariant, need $w \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$

Malfatti is only such polynomial of degree 2

Problems.

1. no $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ action

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot x_i = (dx_i - b)/(-cx_i + a)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot x_i = -1/x_i$$

2. the highest power to which any x_i appears in Φ is $\leq d$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p], f = \sum \lambda_{(a)} x_1^{a_1} \dots x_p^{a_p}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(f) = \max\{a_i : 1 \leq i \leq p, \lambda_{(a)} \neq 0\}$$

If $f(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ is symmetric in x_1, \dots, x_p , then $f(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \sum \tau_{(b)} \sigma_1^{b_1} \dots \sigma_p^{b_p}$
 $\sigma_i = \text{ith elementary symmetric function.}$

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = \max\{b_1 + \dots + b_p : \tau_{(b)} \neq 0\}$$

Theorem. If $f(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ is symmetric in x_1, \dots, x_p , then $\mathcal{R}(f) = \mathcal{D}(f)$.

Constructing resolvents

Setting III: matrix variables

Translation:

For $d \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, find matrix polynomials $\tilde{F} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 & \tilde{x}_2 & \tilde{x}_3 & \tilde{x}_4 & \tilde{x}_5 \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \tilde{y}_2 & \tilde{y}_3 & \tilde{y}_4 & \tilde{y}_5 \end{pmatrix} \right)$,

(1) \tilde{F} is homogenous of degree d in each column, i.e.,

$$\tilde{F} = \sum c_{(e)} \tilde{x}_1^{e_1} \tilde{y}_1^{d-e_1} \dots \tilde{x}_5^{e_5} \tilde{y}_5^{d-e_5}$$

(2) \tilde{F} is left U -invariant, i.e.,

$$\tilde{F} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 & \tilde{x}_2 & \tilde{x}_3 & \tilde{x}_4 & \tilde{x}_5 \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \tilde{y}_2 & \tilde{y}_3 & \tilde{y}_4 & \tilde{y}_5 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \tilde{F} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 & \tilde{x}_2 & \tilde{x}_3 & \tilde{x}_4 & \tilde{x}_5 \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \tilde{y}_2 & \tilde{y}_3 & \tilde{y}_4 & \tilde{y}_5 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

or

$$\sum c_{(e)} (\tilde{x}_1 + \beta \tilde{y}_1)^{e_1} \tilde{y}_1^{d-e_1} \dots (\tilde{x}_5 + \beta \tilde{y}_5)^{e_5} \tilde{y}_5^{d-e_5} = \sum c_{(e)} \tilde{x}_1^{e_1} \tilde{y}_1^{d-e_1} \dots \tilde{x}_5^{e_5} \tilde{y}_5^{d-e_5}$$

(3) \tilde{F} has left T -weight 1, i.e., $5d - 2(e_1 + e_2 + e_4 + e_4 + e_5) = 1$

or

$$\tilde{F} \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\lambda \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{x}_1 & \tilde{x}_2 & \tilde{x}_3 & \tilde{x}_4 & \tilde{x}_5 \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \tilde{y}_2 & \tilde{y}_3 & \tilde{y}_4 & \tilde{y}_5 \end{array} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{F} \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{x}_1 & \tilde{x}_2 & \tilde{x}_3 & \tilde{x}_4 & \tilde{x}_5 \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \tilde{y}_2 & \tilde{y}_3 & \tilde{y}_4 & \tilde{y}_5 \end{array} \right)$$

or

$$\sum c_{(e)} (\lambda \tilde{x}_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{y}_1)^{e_1} \tilde{y}_1^{d-e_1} \dots (\lambda \tilde{x}_5 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{y}_5)^{e_5} \tilde{y}_5^{d-e_5} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum c_{(e)} \tilde{x}_1^{e_1} \tilde{y}_1^{d-e_1} \dots \tilde{x}_5^{e_5} \tilde{y}_5^{d-e_5}$$

(4) S_5 acts on vector variables by permuting columns

$$\begin{aligned} (12345)\tilde{F} &= \tilde{F} \\ (2354)\tilde{F} &= -\tilde{F} \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{F} \left(\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{x}_2 & \tilde{x}_3 & \tilde{x}_4 & \tilde{x}_5 & \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{y}_2 & \tilde{y}_3 & \tilde{y}_4 & \tilde{y}_5 & \tilde{y}_1 \end{array} \right) \right) = \tilde{F} \left(\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{x}_1 & \tilde{x}_2 & \tilde{x}_3 & \tilde{x}_4 & \tilde{x}_5 \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \tilde{y}_2 & \tilde{y}_3 & \tilde{y}_4 & \tilde{y}_5 \end{array} \right) \right)$$

$$\tilde{F} \left(\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{x}_1 & \tilde{x}_3 & \tilde{x}_5 & \tilde{x}_2 & \tilde{x}_4 \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \tilde{y}_3 & \tilde{y}_5 & \tilde{y}_2 & \tilde{y}_4 \end{array} \right) \right) = -\tilde{F} \left(\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{x}_1 & \tilde{x}_2 & \tilde{x}_3 & \tilde{x}_4 & \tilde{x}_5 \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \tilde{y}_2 & \tilde{y}_3 & \tilde{y}_4 & \tilde{y}_5 \end{array} \right) \right)$$

Constructing resolvents

equivalences

Setting I: find covariants of the form $K(f; v) = a_0^m \prod_{i=1}^6 ((\tau_i \Phi)x - (\tau_i \Psi)y)$

Setting II: find $\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$

Setting III: find matrix polynomials \tilde{F}

Definition. For $i = 1, 2$, let $K_i : V_5 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be covariants as in Setting I. Say $K_1 \sim K_2$ if and only if there are $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\rho} \in \mathbb{C}[V_5]^{SL_2(\mathbb{C})}$ with $\tilde{\mu}K_1(x, y) = \tilde{\rho}K_2(x, y)$.

Let Φ and Φ' be as in Setting II. Say $\Phi \sim \Phi'$ if and only if $\frac{\Psi}{\Phi} = \frac{\Psi'}{\Phi'}$.

Setting I and Setting II:

$$K = a_0^m \prod_{i=1}^6 ((\tau_i \Phi)x - (\tau_i \Psi)y), K' = a_0^{m'} \prod_{i=1}^6 ((\tau_i \Phi')x - (\tau_i \Psi')y)$$

$K \sim K'$ if and only if $\Phi \sim \Phi'$

Setting II and Setting III: there is vector space isomorphism between

Φ homogeneous of degree w

\tilde{F} homogeneous of degree $2w + 1$

also, have algebra homomorphism

$$\text{have mapping } \Omega : \mathbb{C} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 & \tilde{x}_2 & \tilde{x}_3 & \tilde{x}_4 & \tilde{x}_5 \\ \tilde{y}_1 & \tilde{y}_2 & \tilde{y}_3 & \tilde{y}_4 & \tilde{y}_5 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_4, x_4, x_5]$$

$$\tilde{x}_i \rightarrow x_i, \tilde{y}_i \rightarrow 1$$

Constructing resolvents

finitely generated modules

$$R_w \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$$

For $w \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, $w \geq 0$, R_w is vector space spanned by all linear combinations Φ of products $(x_{i_1} - x_{j_1}) \dots (x_{i_w} - x_{j_w})$ such that

- (1) each x_i appears $\frac{2w}{5}$ times in every product
- (2) $(12345)\Phi = \Phi$, $(2354)\Phi = \Phi$

$$R = \bigoplus R_w$$

$$M_w \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$$

For $w \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$, $w \geq 0$, M_w is vector space spanned by $\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$

- (1) homogeneous of degree w in x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5
- (2) the highest power to which any x_i appears in Φ is $\leq \frac{2w+1}{5}$
- (3) for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Phi(x_1+\beta, x_2+\beta, x_3+\beta, x_4+\beta, x_5+\beta) = \Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$
- (4) $(12345)\Phi = \Phi$, $(2354)\Phi = -\Phi$

$$M = \bigoplus M_w$$

Theorem. (a) R is finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra.

(b) $\Delta = \Phi_2\Psi_7 - \Phi_7\Psi_2 \neq 0$ and is in R .

(c) $\Phi \in M, \Phi = \frac{r_1}{\Delta}\Phi_2 + \frac{r_2}{\Delta}\Phi_7$

(d) M is finitely generated R -module

(e) $\dim_{Q(R)} M \otimes_R Q(R) = 2$

Poincaré series

Hilbert - Serre theorem

Recall $R = \bigoplus R_w$, is finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra

$M = \bigoplus M_w$, M_w polynomials as in Setting II
is finitely generated R -module

Poincaré series: $P(M, t) = \sum_{w \equiv 2 \pmod{5}}^{\infty} \dim M_w$

Theorem (Hilbert, Serre, applied here). Let γ be the number of generators of R . Then

$$P(M, t) = \frac{f(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{\gamma} (1 - t^{d_i})}$$

for suitable positive integers d_i and $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$.

Problem: determine $P(M, t)$.

Determine $\dim M_w$.

Poincaré series

$GL_m - GL_n$ duality

to understand:

(2) \tilde{F} is left U -invariant

(3) \tilde{F} has left T -weight 1

$T_r \subset GL_r$; subgroup consisting of diagonal matrices

$U_r \subset GL_r$; subgroup consisting of upper triangular matrices, 1's on diagonal

A highest weight of an irreducible polynomial representation of GL_r with respect to the Borel subgroup $T_r U_r$ is a character of the form $\chi = e_1 \chi_1 + \cdots + e_r \chi_r$ where $e_1 \geq \dots \geq e_r \geq 0$. If e_ℓ is the last non-zero e_i , we say that the highest weight χ has *depth* ℓ .

Theorem ($GL_m - GL_n$ duality) [Howe, Section 2.1.2]. Let U and V be finite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{C} . The symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}(U \otimes V)$ is multiplicity-free as a $GL(U) \times GL(V)$ module. Precisely, we have a decomposition

$$\mathcal{S}(U \otimes V) = \sum_D \rho_U^D \otimes \rho_V^D$$

of $GL(U) \times GL(V)$ -modules. Here D varies over all highest weights of depth at most $\min\{\dim U, \dim V\}$.

Translation

$M_{2,5}$: the algebra consisting of all 2×5 matrices with entries in \mathbb{C} .

GL_2 acts on $M_{2,5}$ by left multiplication: $g \cdot m = gm$ for all $g \in GL_2$ and $m \in M_{2,5}$.

GL_5 acts on $M_{2,5}$ by right multiplication: $g \cdot m = mg^{-1}$ for all $g \in GL_5$ and $m \in M_{2,5}$.

These actions commute and give an action of $G = GL_2 \times GL_5$ on $M_{2,5}$ and $\mathbb{C}[M_{2,5}]$.

$$M_{2,5} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 \otimes (\mathbb{A}^5)^*$$

$$\mathbb{C}[M_{2,5}] \leftrightarrow S((\mathbb{A}^2)^* \otimes \mathbb{A}^5)$$

Suppose that $d \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $5d = 2w + 1$ and that

(2) \tilde{F} is left U -invariant

(3) \tilde{F} has left T -weight 1

then: the terms $\tilde{F} = \tilde{v} \otimes V_D$ appear when

\tilde{v} : highest weight vector of irreducible representation GL_2 , highest weight $(w+1)\chi_1 + w\chi_2$

ρ_V^D is irreducible representation of GL_5 , highest weight $(w+1)\chi_1 + w\chi_2$

Note. can explicitly construct the invariants \tilde{F} in terms of determinants using Young diagrams and straightening [Pommerening].

Poincaré series

Zero weight space

to understand:

(1) \tilde{F} is homogenous of degree d in each column

recall: $w \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$, $5d = 2w + 1$

$$T_5 = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_5 \end{array} \right) \right\}, U_5 : \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & a_{45} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$\rho : GL_5 \rightarrow GL(V)$$

$$V_0 = \{v \in V : \rho(t)v = (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5)^e v\} = 0 \text{ weight space of } V$$

translation: $\tilde{F} \in \mathbb{C}[M_{2,5}]$, $t \in T_5$, $m = (v_1, \dots, v_5) \in M_{2,5}$

$$\begin{aligned} (t \cdot \tilde{F})(v_1, \dots, v_5) &= \tilde{F}((v_1, \dots, v_5)t) \\ &= \tilde{F}(a_1 v_1, \dots, a_5 v_5) \\ &= (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5)^d \tilde{F}(v_1, \dots, v_5) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition. Let $w \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ and $d = \frac{2w+1}{5}$. Let $\rho : GL_5 \rightarrow GL(V)$ be the irreducible representation having highest weight $(w+1)\chi_1 + w\chi_2$. The vector space consisting of all $\tilde{F} \in \mathbb{C}[M_{2,5}]$ such that

- (1) \tilde{F} is homogenous of degree d in each column,
- (2) \tilde{F} is left U -invariant,
- (3) \tilde{F} has left T -weight 1

is isomorphic to the 0-weight space of V .

Poincaré series

S_5 action on zero weight space

to understand:

$$(4) (12345)\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}, (2354)\tilde{F} = -\tilde{F}$$

S_5 acts on 0-weight space, V_0

$$V_0 = \bigoplus m_\chi V_\chi$$

V_χ runs over all irreducible representations of S_5

m_χ is multiplicity with which V_χ appears in V_0

S_5 has 7 irreducible representations

$$[5], [41], [32], [31^2], [2^21], [21^3], [1^5]$$

$$\tilde{\rho} : F_{20} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}(12345) &= 1 \\ \tilde{\rho}(2354) &= -1.\end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{\rho}$ appears with multiplicity 1 in both $[3\ 2]$ and $[1^5]$. It does not appear in any of the other 5 irreducible representations.

Proposition. Let $w \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ and $d = \frac{2w+1}{5}$. Let $\rho : GL_5 \rightarrow GL(V)$ be the irreducible representation having highest weight $(w+1)\chi_1 + w\chi_2$. The vector space consisting of all $\tilde{F} \in \mathbb{C}[M_{2,5}]$ such that

- (1) \tilde{F} is homogenous of degree d in each column,
- (2) \tilde{F} is left U -invariant,
- (3) \tilde{F} has left T -weight 1,
- (4) $(12345)\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}$ and $(2354)\tilde{F} = -\tilde{F}$

is isomorphic to the vector space consisting of vectors v in the 0-weight space of V which satisfy $(12345)v = v$ and $(2354)v = -v$.

The dimension of this vector space is the sum of the multiplicities with which $[1^5]$ and $[3\ 2]$ appear in the representation of S_5 on the 0-weight space of V .

Poincaré series

plethysms

[Littlewood, p. 204: "induced matrix of an invariant matrix"]

$\rho : GL_n \rightarrow GL_m$ (irreducible representation)

$\sigma : GL_m \rightarrow GL_p$ (irreducible representation)

$(\sigma \circ \rho) : GL_n \rightarrow GL_p$ (reducible representation)

process to decompose into irreducibles, plethysm

[Gay, Gutkin] μ : representation of S_5 corresponding to $[1^5]$ or $[3\ 2]$.

Consider $H = S_d \times S_d \times S_d \times S_d \times S_d$. Then, $N_{S_{5d}}(H)/H \simeq S_5$.

μ representation of S_5 , is representation of $N_{S_{5d}}(H)$

the multiplicity with which $\mu = [1^5]$ or $[3\ 2]$ appears in the representation of S_5 on V_0 is the multiplicity with which $[(w+1)\ w]$ appears in the representation $\widehat{\mu}^{S_{5d}}$ of S_{5d} induced from μ

This is a plethysm [Macdonald, pp.135/6] denoted by $[1^5] \circ [d]$ (resp. $[3\ 2] \circ [d]$).

There are special features of this plethysm which greatly simplify the usual calculations. For example, we obtain the following results:

w	multiplicity of $[1^5]$	multiplicity of $[3\ 2]$
2	0	1
7	0	1
12	0	2
17	0	4
22	1	6
27	1	8
32	1	11
507	425	2176
10842	195843	980298

From the standpoint of solving equations, the representation $[1^5]$ is not interesting; the corresponding resolvent is $a(x - by)^6$.

Theorem. Let $w \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ and $d = \frac{2w+1}{5}$. Let $\rho : GL_5 \rightarrow GL(V)$ be the irreducible representation having highest weight $(w+1)\chi_1 + w\chi_2$. The vector space consisting of all $\tilde{F} \in \mathbb{C}[M_{2,5}]$ such that

- (1) \tilde{F} is homogenous of degree d in each column,
- (2) \tilde{F} is left U -invariant,
- (3) \tilde{F} has left T -weight 1,
- (4) $(12345)\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}$ and $(2354)\tilde{F} = -\tilde{F}$

is isomorphic to the vector space consisting of vectors v in the 0-weight space of V which satisfy $(12345)v = v$ and $(2354)v = -v$.

The dimension of this vector space is the sum of the multiplicities with which $[1^5]$ and $[3\ 2]$ appear in the representation of S_5 on the 0-weight space of V . The dimension can be found by calculating the plethysms $[1^5] \circ [d]$ and $[3\ 2] \circ [d]$.

Using the Theorems and plethysm considerations, can show there are infinitely many non-equivalent covariants of Perrin-McClintock type (Setting I).

It also seems likely that there are infinitely many non-equivalent covariants of Perrin-McClintock type for which Ψ/Φ is fixed by F_{20} and not by S_5 so we get resolvents for deciding solvability.

References

- Abdesselam, Abdelmalek; Chipalkatti, Jaydeep On Hilbert covariants. *Canad. J. Math.* 66 (2014), no. 1, 3–30.
- Dickson, L. E. Resolvent sextics of quintic equations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 31 (1925), no. 9-10, 515–523.
- Dummit, D. S. Solving solvable quintics. *Math. Comp.* 57 (1991), no. 195, 387–401
- Elia, Michele Solvable Brioschi quintics over \mathbb{Q} . *JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl.* 6 (2006), no. 1, 117–127.
- Gay, David A. Characters of the Weyl group of $SU(n)$ on zero weight spaces and centralizers of permutation representations. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 6 (1976), no. 3, 449–455.
- Gutkin, Eugene. Schur-Weyl duality and representations of permutation groups. (English summary) *The orbit method in geometry and physics (Marseille, 2000)*, 147–164, *Progr. Math.*, 213, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- Howe, Roger (GL_n, GL_m)-duality and symmetric plethysm. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* 97 (1987), no. 1-3, 85–109 (1988).
- Howe, Roger Perspectives on invariant theory: Schur duality, multiplicity-free actions and beyond. *The Schur lectures (1992) (Tel Aviv)*, 1–182, *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, 8, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1995.
- Littlewood, Dudley E. The theory of group characters and matrix representations of groups. Reprint of the second (1950) edition. *AMS Chelsea Publishing*, Providence, RI, 2006.
- Macdonald, I. G. Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Second edition. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky. *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- Malfatti, G. De AEquationibus Quadrato-cubicis Disquisitio Analytica, Siena transactions 1771
- McClintock, E. Analysis of quintic equations, *American J Math*, Vol. 8, No. 1, Sep., 1885, 45 - 84

McClintock, E. Further researches in the theory of quintic equations, American Journal of Math, vol. 20, No. 2, Apr., 1898 , pp. 157 - 192

Perrin, Sur les cas de resolubilité par radicaux de l'équation du cinquième degré Bulletin de la SMF Tome 11 1883 pp. 61 - 65

Pommerening, Klaus Ordered sets with the standardizing property and straightening laws for algebras of invariants. Adv. in Math. 63 (1987), no. 3, 271–290.