Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm

Involution centralisers Done?

Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding normal subgroups of even order

Max Neunhöffer



University of St Andrews

Bath, 7.8.2009

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding norma subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centraliser

Done? Recognising a prope

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

The problem

Problem

Let $1 < N \triangleleft G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ be a finite group and N be a normal subgroup.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

The problem

Problem

Let $1 < N \triangleleft G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ be a finite group and N be a normal subgroup. Produce a non-trivial element of N as a word in the g_i

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

normal subgroup

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

The problem

Problem

Let $1 < N \triangleleft G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ be a finite group and N be a normal subgroup. Produce a non-trivial element of N as a word in the g_i

• Assume no more knowledge about G or N.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

Finding norma

subgroups i action

What can go wrong?

The problem

Problem

Let $1 < N \triangleleft G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ be a finite group and N be a normal subgroup. Produce a non-trivial element of N as a word in the g_i

- Assume no more knowledge about G or N.
- We are looking for a randomised algorithm.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

Finding norma subgroups in

What can go wrong?

The problem

Problem

Let $1 < N \triangleleft G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ be a finite group and N be a normal subgroup. Produce a non-trivial element of N as a word in the g_i

- Assume no more knowledge about G or N.
- We are looking for a randomised algorithm.
- Assume we can generate uniformly distributed random elements in *G*.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

The problem

Problem

Let $1 < N \triangleleft G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ be a finite group and N be a normal subgroup. Produce a non-trivial element of N as a word in the g_i with "high probability".

- Assume no more knowledge about G or N.
- We are looking for a randomised algorithm.
- Assume we can generate uniformly distributed random elements in *G*.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

The problem

Problem

Let $1 < N \triangleleft G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ be a finite group and N be a normal subgroup. Produce a non-trivial element of N as a word in the g_i with "high probability".

- Assume no more knowledge about G or N.
- We are looking for a randomised algorithm.
- Assume we can generate uniformly distributed random elements in *G*.
- "High probability" means for the moment "higher than 1/[G:N]".

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

The problem

Problem

Let $1 < N \triangleleft G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ be a finite group and N be a normal subgroup. Produce a non-trivial element of N as a word in the g_i with "high probability".

- Assume no more knowledge about G or N.
- We are looking for a randomised algorithm.
- Assume we can generate uniformly distributed random elements in *G*.
- "High probability" means for the moment "higher than 1/[G:N]".
- Assume that we can compute in the group and can compute element orders.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding norma subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding even order normal subgroups

Theorem

Let $1 < N \leq G$ with $2 \mid |N|$.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding norma subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding even order normal subgroups

Theorem

Let
$$1 < N \leq G$$
 with $2 | |N|$.
Let $1 \neq x \in G \setminus Z(G)$ with $x^2 = 1$.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding norma subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding even order normal subgroups

Theorem

Let
$$1 < N \leq G$$
 with $2 | |N|$.
Let $1 \neq x \in G \setminus Z(G)$ with $x^2 = 1$.
Then, for $C := C_G(x)$, we have:

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding norma subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding even order normal subgroups

Theorem

```
Let 1 < N \trianglelefteq G with 2 | |N|.
Let 1 \neq x \in G \setminus Z(G) with x^2 = 1.
Then, for C := C_G(x), we have:
1 < C \cap N \trianglelefteq C and
2 | |C \cap N|.
```

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding even order normal subgroups

Theorem

```
Let 1 < N \trianglelefteq G with 2 | |N|.
Let 1 \neq x \in G \setminus Z(G) with x^2 = 1.
Then, for C := C_G(x), we have:
1 < C \cap N \trianglelefteq C and
2 | |C \cap N|.
```

Proof: We have xNx = N and |N| is even.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding even order normal subgroups

Theorem

```
Let 1 < N \trianglelefteq G with 2 | |N|.
Let 1 \neq x \in G \setminus Z(G) with x^2 = 1.
Then, for C := C_G(x), we have:
1 < C \cap N \trianglelefteq C and
2 | |C \cap N|.
```

Proof: We have xNx = N and |N| is even. The orbits of $\langle x \rangle$ on *N* have lengths 1 and 2, so there must be an even number of orbits of length 1.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding even order normal subgroups

Theorem

```
Let 1 < N \trianglelefteq G with 2 | |N|.
Let 1 \neq x \in G \setminus Z(G) with x^2 = 1.
Then, for C := C_G(x), we have:
1 < C \cap N \trianglelefteq C and
2 | |C \cap N|.
```

Proof: We have xNx = N and |N| is even. The orbits of $\langle x \rangle$ on *N* have lengths 1 and 2, so there must be an even number of orbits of length 1.

In particular, $C \cap N$ contains an involution.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding even order normal subgroups

Theorem

```
Let 1 < N \leq G with 2 | |N|.
Let 1 \neq x \in G \setminus Z(G) with x^2 = 1.
Then, for C := C_G(x), we have:
1 < C \cap N \leq C and
2 | |C \cap N|.
```

Proof: We have xNx = N and |N| is even. The orbits of $\langle x \rangle$ on *N* have lengths 1 and 2, so there must be an even number of orbits of length 1.

In particular, $C \cap N$ contains an involution.

That is, we can replace (N, G) with $(C \cap N, C)$ and use the statement again, provided we find another non-central involution.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm

Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding $N \triangleleft G$

We want to find an *N* with $1 < N \leq G$ and 2 | |N|, or conclude that there is none.

We can proceed as follows: Initialise H := G. Then

• Find a non-central involution $x \in H$. If none found, goto 4.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm

Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding $N \triangleleft G$

We want to find an *N* with $1 < N \leq G$ and 2 | |N|, or conclude that there is none.

- Find a non-central involution $x \in H$. If none found, goto 4.
- **2** Compute its involution centraliser $C := C_H(x)$.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm

Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

normal subgroup

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding $N \triangleleft G$

We want to find an *N* with $1 < N \leq G$ and 2 | |N|, or conclude that there is none.

We can proceed as follows: Initialise H := G. Then

- Find a non-central involution $x \in H$. If none found, goto 4.
- **2** Compute its involution centraliser $C := C_H(x)$.

3 Replace *H* with *C* and goto 1.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm

Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding $N \triangleleft G$

We want to find an *N* with $1 < N \leq G$ and 2 | |N|, or conclude that there is none.

- Find a non-central involution $x \in H$. If none found, goto 4.
- **2** Compute its involution centraliser $C := C_H(x)$.
- Replace H with C and goto 1.
- Let D be the group generated by all central involutions we found.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm

Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

Finding norma subgroups in

What can go wrong?

Finding $N \triangleleft G$

We want to find an *N* with $1 < N \leq G$ and 2 | |N|, or conclude that there is none.

- Find a non-central involution $x \in H$. If none found, goto 4.
- **2** Compute its involution centraliser $C := C_H(x)$.
- Replace H with C and goto 1.
- Let D be the group generated by all central involutions we found.
- So For all $1 \neq x \in D$: Test if $\langle x^G \rangle \neq G$.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm

Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding $N \triangleleft G$

We want to find an *N* with $1 < N \leq G$ and 2 | |N|, or conclude that there is none.

- Find a non-central involution $x \in H$. If none found, goto 4.
- **2** Compute its involution centraliser $C := C_H(x)$.
- Replace H with C and goto 1.
- Let D be the group generated by all central involutions we found.
- For all $1 \neq x \in D$: Test if $\langle x^G \rangle \neq G$.
- If no normal closure is properly contained, conclude that G does not contain such an |N| as assumed.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups

A helper theorem

The algorithm

Involution centralisers Done? Recognising a proper

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Finding $N \triangleleft G$

We want to find an *N* with $1 < N \leq G$ and 2 | |N|, or conclude that there is none.

We can proceed as follows: Initialise H := G. Then

- Find a non-central involution $x \in H$. If none found, goto 4.
- **2** Compute its involution centraliser $C := C_H(x)$.
- Replace H with C and goto 1.
- Let D be the group generated by all central involutions we found.
- For all $1 \neq x \in D$: Test if $\langle x^G \rangle \neq G$.
- If no normal closure is properly contained, conclude that G does not contain such an |N| as assumed.

We find involutions by powering up random elements.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers

Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Involution centralisers

How can we compute the centraliser of an involution?

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers

Recognising a prope normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Involution centralisers

How can we compute the centraliser of an involution?

The following method by John Bray does the job:

Algorithm: INVOLUTIONCENTRALISER

Input: $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ and an involution $x \in G$. initialise *gens* := [x] repeat

> y := RANDOMELEMENT(G) $c := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and o := ORDER(c)

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

Recognising a prope normal subgroup

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Involution centralisers

How can we compute the centraliser of an involution?

The following method by John Bray does the job:

Algorithm: INVOLUTIONCENTRALISER

Input: $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ and an involution $x \in G$. initialise *gens* := [x] repeat y := RANDOMELEMENT(G)

$$c := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$$
 and $o := ORDER(c)$

append $c^{o/2}$ and $(x^{-1}yxy^{-1})^{o/2}$ to gens

else

append $z := y \cdot c^{(o-1)/2}$ to gens

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

Recognising a prop normal subgroup

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Involution centralisers

How can we compute the centraliser of an involution?

The following method by John Bray does the job:

Algorithm: INVOLUTIONCENTRALISER

Input: $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ and an involution $x \in G$. initialise gens := [x] repeat y := RANDOMELEMENT(G) $c := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and o := ORDER(c)if o is even then append $c^{o/2}$ and $(x^{-1}yxy^{-1})^{o/2}$ to gens else append $z := y \cdot c^{(o-1)/2}$ to gens until o was odd often enough or gens long enough

return gens

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Involution centralisers

How can we compute the centraliser of an involution?

The following method by John Bray does the job:

Algorithm: INVOLUTIONCENTRALISER

Input: $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ and an involution $x \in G$. initialise gens := [x] repeat y := RANDOMELEMENT(G) $c := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and o := ORDER(c)if o is even then append $c^{o/2}$ and $(x^{-1}yxy^{-1})^{o/2}$ to gens else append $z := y \cdot c^{(o-1)/2}$ to gens until o was odd often enough or gens long enough

return gens

Note: If xy = yx then $c = 1_G$ and o = 1 and z = y.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

Recognising a prop normal subgroup

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Involution centralisers

How can we compute the centraliser of an involution?

The following method by John Bray does the job:

Algorithm: INVOLUTIONCENTRALISER

Input: $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$ and an involution $x \in G$. initialise gens := [x] repeat y := RANDOMELEMENT(G) $c := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and o := ORDER(c)if o is even then append $c^{o/2}$ and $(x^{-1}yxy^{-1})^{o/2}$ to gens else append $z := y \cdot c^{(o-1)/2}$ to gens until o was odd often enough or gens long enough

return gens

Note: If xy = yx then $c = 1_G$ and o = 1 and z = y. And: If o is odd, then z is uniformly distributed in $C_G(x)$.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

- Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem
- The algorithm
- Involution centraliser
- Done?
- Recognising a prope normal subgroup
- Finding normal subgroups in action
- What can go wrong?

Finding $N \triangleleft G$

We want to find an *N* with $1 < N \leq G$ and 2 | |N|, or conclude that there is none.

- Find a non-central involution $x \in H$. If none found, goto 4.
- **2** Compute its involution centraliser $C := C_H(x)$.
- Replace H with C and goto 1.
- Let D be the group generated by all central involutions we found.
- For all $1 \neq x \in D$: Test if $\langle x^G \rangle \neq G$.
- If no normal closure is properly contained, conclude that G does not contain such an |N| as assumed.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

- Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem
- The algorithm
- Involution centralisers
- Recognising a prope normal subgroup
- Finding normal subgroups in action
- What can go wrong?

Finding $N \triangleleft G$

We want to find an *N* with $1 < N \leq G$ and 2 | |N|, or conclude that there is none.

We can proceed as follows: Initialise H := G. Then

- Find a non-central involution $x \in H$. If none found, goto 4.
- **2** Compute its involution centraliser $C := C_H(x)$.
- Replace H with C and goto 1.
- Let D be the group generated by all central involutions we found.
- For all $1 \neq x \in D$: Test if $\langle x^G \rangle \neq G$.
- If no normal closure is properly contained, conclude that G does not contain such an |N| as assumed.

How do we test if we have a proper normal subgroup?

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Testing for a proper normal subgroup

The following method by Charles Leedham-Green estimates the order of $gN \in G/N$:

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers

Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Testing for a proper normal subgroup

The following method by Charles Leedham-Green estimates the order of $gN \in G/N$:

Algorithm: ESTIMATEORDER

Input:
$$g \in G$$
 and a $N = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_m \rangle \trianglelefteq G$.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Dage2

Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Testing for a proper normal subgroup

The following method by Charles Leedham-Green estimates the order of $gN \in G/N$:

Algorithm: ESTIMATEORDER

```
Input: g \in G and a N = \langle n_1, \dots, n_m \rangle \trianglelefteq G.
initialise o := ORDER(g)
for i := 1 to 20 do
y := RANDOMELEMENT(N)
```

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Dage2

Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Testing for a proper normal subgroup

The following method by Charles Leedham-Green estimates the order of $gN \in G/N$:

Algorithm: ESTIMATEORDER

```
Input: g \in G and a N = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_m \rangle \trianglelefteq G.
initialise o := \mathsf{ORDER}(g)
```

for *i* := 1 to 20 do

```
y := \mathsf{RANDOMELEMENT}(N)
```

```
o := \operatorname{GCD}(o, \operatorname{ORDER}(yg))
```

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Testing for a proper normal subgroup

The following method by Charles Leedham-Green estimates the order of $gN \in G/N$:

Algorithm: ESTIMATEORDER

```
Input: g \in G and a N = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_m \rangle \trianglelefteq G.
initialise o := ORDER(g)
```

```
for i := 1 to 20 do
```

```
y := \mathsf{RANDOMELEMENT}(N)
```

```
o := \operatorname{GCD}(o, \operatorname{ORDER}(yg))
```

```
if o = 1 then
```

```
return 1
```

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Testing for a proper normal subgroup

The following method by Charles Leedham-Green estimates the order of $gN \in G/N$:

Algorithm: ESTIMATEORDER

```
Input: g \in G and a N = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_m \rangle \trianglelefteq G.
initialise o := ORDER(g)
```

```
for i := 1 to 20 do
```

```
y := \mathsf{RANDOMELEMENT}(N)
```

```
o := \operatorname{GCD}(o, \operatorname{ORDER}(yg))
```

```
if o = 1 then
```

```
return 1
```

```
return o
```

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Testing for a proper normal subgroup

The following method by Charles Leedham-Green estimates the order of $gN \in G/N$:

Algorithm: ESTIMATEORDER

```
Input: g \in G and a N = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_m \rangle \trianglelefteq G.
initialise o := ORDER(g)
```

for *i* := 1 to 20 do

```
y := \mathsf{RANDOMELEMENT}(N)
```

```
o := \operatorname{GCD}(o, \operatorname{ORDER}(yg))
```

```
if o = 1 then
```

```
return 1
```

return o

This is a one-sided Monte Carlo algorithm.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?

Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

Testing for a proper normal subgroup

The following method by Charles Leedham-Green estimates the order of $gN \in G/N$:

Algorithm: ESTIMATEORDER

```
Input: g \in G and a N = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_m \rangle \trianglelefteq G.
initialise o := ORDER(g)
```

```
for i := 1 to 20 do
```

```
y := \mathsf{RANDOMELEMENT}(N)
```

```
o := \operatorname{GCD}(o, \operatorname{ORDER}(yg))
```

```
if o = 1 then
```

```
return 1
```

return o

This is a one-sided Monte Carlo algorithm.

We estimate all orders $g_i N \in G/N$ to decide G = N.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

- Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers Done?
- Recognising a prope normal subgroup

Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

The method in action

We look at the following examples:

- $S_{30} \wr S_7 < S_{210}$ (imprimitive action)
- 3rd maximal subgroup of M₂₄ on 24 points: 2⁴ : A₈
- 5th maximal subgroup of M_{24} on 24 points: 2^6 : $3.S_6$
- Double cover 2. Suz of the sporadic Suzuki group
- $Sp(6,2) \wr S_6 < GL(36,2)$ (imprimitive)
- SL(6,3) ∘ M12 < GL(10,3) in GL(60,3) (tensor decomposable)

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

- Finding norma subgroups A helper theorem
- The algorithm
- Involution centralisers Done?
- Recognising a proper normal subgroup
- Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

What can go wrong?

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem The algorithm Involution centralisers

Done? Recognising a prope

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

What can go wrong?

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

Finding normal subgroups A helper theorem

The algorithm

Involution centralisers

Recognising a proper normal subgroup

Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

What can go wrong?

Actually, lots of things!

• We could have trouble to find elements of even order.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

- Finding normal subgroups
- A helper theorem
- The algorithm
- Involution centralisers
- Done?
- Recognising a prope normal subgroup
- Finding normal subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

What can go wrong?

- We could have trouble to find elements of even order.
- An order computation could take unpleasantly long.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

- Finding normal subgroups
- A helper theorem
- The algorithm
- Involution centralisers
- Done?
- Recognising a prope normal subgroup
- Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

What can go wrong?

- We could have trouble to find elements of even order.
- An order computation could take unpleasantly long.
- There could be no non-central involutions.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

- Finding normal subgroups
- A helper theorem
- The algorithm
- Involution centralisers
- Done?
- Recognising a prop normal subgroup
- Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

What can go wrong?

- We could have trouble to find elements of even order.
- An order computation could take unpleasantly long.
- There could be no non-central involutions.
- There could be extremely many central involutions.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

- Finding normal subgroups
- A helper theorem
- The algorithm
- Involution centralisers
- Done?
- Recognising a prop normal subgroup
- Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

What can go wrong?

- We could have trouble to find elements of even order.
- An order computation could take unpleasantly long.
- There could be no non-central involutions.
- There could be extremely many central involutions.
- We could get an involution centraliser wrong.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

- Finding normal subgroups
- A helper theorer
- The algorithm
- Involution centralisers
- Done?
- Recognising a prope normal subgroup
- Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

What can go wrong?

- We could have trouble to find elements of even order.
- An order computation could take unpleasantly long.
- There could be no non-central involutions.
- There could be extremely many central involutions.
- We could get an involution centraliser wrong.
- We could get a normal closure wrong.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

- Finding normal subgroups
- A helper theorem
- The algorithm
- Involution centralisers
- Recognising a p
- normal subgroup
- Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

What can go wrong?

- We could have trouble to find elements of even order.
- An order computation could take unpleasantly long.
- There could be no non-central involutions.
- There could be extremely many central involutions.
- We could get an involution centraliser wrong.
- We could get a normal closure wrong.
- We could get an order estimate wrong.

Max Neunhöffer

Motivation

- Finding normal subgroups
- A helper theorem
- The algorithm
- Involution centralisers
- Recognising a pr
- normal subgroup
- Finding norma subgroups in action

What can go wrong?

What can go wrong?

- We could have trouble to find elements of even order.
- An order computation could take unpleasantly long.
- There could be no non-central involutions.
- There could be extremely many central involutions.
- We could get an involution centraliser wrong.
- We could get a normal closure wrong.
- We could get an order estimate wrong.
- *G* might not have an even order normal subgroup.