

Some Calculations regarding Foulkes' Conjecture

Max Neunhoffer

`max.neunhoeffer@math.rwth-aachen.de`

2nd Sino-German Workshop on

Representation theory and the theory of finite groups

February 9–13, 2004

Notation

(the following was presented on the blackboard)

joint work with J. Müller

$M_n := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $S_n := \{\pi : M_n \rightarrow M_n \text{ bijective}\}$

$\pi \cdot \varphi$ means **first** π , **then** φ for mappings throughout

$S_m \mathbf{wr} S_n := \underbrace{(S_m \times \dots \times S_m)}_{n \text{ factors}} \rtimes S_n$ (wreath product)

$\implies |S_m \mathbf{wr} S_n| = (m!)^n \cdot n!$, $S_m \mathbf{wr} S_n \leq S_{m \cdot n}$

$\Omega_{m,n} := S_m \mathbf{wr} S_n \setminus S_{m \cdot n} = \{(S_m \mathbf{wr} S_n) \cdot \pi \mid \pi \in S_{m \cdot n}\}$

Foulkes' conjecture

Lemma:

If $m > n$, then $|S_n \text{ wr } S_m| < |S_m \text{ wr } S_n|$.

Conjecture:

Let $m > n$. Then the permutation module $\mathbb{Q}\Omega_{m,n}$ is a submodule of the permutation module $\mathbb{Q}\Omega_{n,m}$.
(as $\mathbb{Q}S_{m \cdot n}$ -modules)

Implementation of Action of $S_{m \cdot n}$ on $\Omega_{m,n}$

$$G := S_{m \cdot n}, H := S_m \text{ wr } S_n, S := S_n, U := S_m \times \cdots \times S_m \triangleleft H$$

$$V := V^{(m,n)} :=$$

$\{v : M_{m \cdot n} \rightarrow M_n \mid v \text{ takes every value exactly } m \text{ times}\}$

→ store as vectors of length $m \cdot n$ with entries in M_n .

We get transitive actions

of S on V by $V \times S \rightarrow V, (v, \pi) \mapsto v \cdot \pi$ and

of G on V by $V \times G \rightarrow V, (v, \psi) \mapsto \psi^{-1} \cdot v =: v\psi$.

These actions commute:

$$((v \cdot \pi)\psi) = \psi^{-1} \cdot v \cdot \pi = (v\psi) \cdot \pi \text{ for all } v, \pi, \psi$$

⇒ G acts on the set of S -orbits, let $\Omega := V/S$.

⇒ $\text{Stab}_G(v_1) = U$ with $v_1 := [1, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, 2, \dots, n, \dots, n]$

and $\text{Stab}_G(v_1 S) = H$ ⇒ this is the action on $\Omega_{m,n}$.

Implementation of Action of $S_{m \cdot n}$ on $\Omega_{m,n}$

Def.: We call the lexicographically smallest vector in each S -orbit S -minimal.

This means: In an S -minimal vector the first entry is a 1, the first entry bigger than 1 is 2. The first entry bigger than 2 is a 3 etc.

Algorithm: Identify $\Omega_{m,n}$ with $\{v \in V^{(m,n)} \mid v \text{ is } S\text{-minimal}\}$

Act with an element $\psi \in G$ by:

1. $v' := v\psi = \psi^{-1} \cdot v$
2. S -minimalize the result v' (\rightarrow doable in $O(m \cdot n)$)

This can all be implemented efficiently on a computer.

We need typically 1 byte per entry or $m \cdot n$ bytes per vector.

The Idea of Black and List

Construct a $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module homomorphism

$$\varphi^{(m,n)} : \mathbb{Q}\Omega_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\Omega_{n,m}$$

and show injectivity. For $v \in \Omega_{m,n}$ set

$$I(v) := \{w \in \Omega_{n,m} \mid \forall (i, j) \in M_n \times M_m \exists! k \in M_{m \cdot n} \text{ s.t.} \\ v(k) = i \text{ and } w(k) = j\}$$

and $\varphi^{(m,n)}(v) := \sum_{w \in I(v)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\Omega_{n,m}$.

Example: $m = 3, n = 2, v = v_1 = [1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2]$

S-minimal

$$\varphi^{(3,2)} \longmapsto [1, 2, 3, \underbrace{1, 2, 3}] + [1, 2, 3, 1, 3, 2] + \dots$$

all permutations

The Idea of Black and List

This is a $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module homomorphism.

Black & List (1989):

$\varphi^{(m,n)}$ injective \implies Foulkes' conjecture is true for m, n .

Lemma: $m > n$ and $\varphi^{(m,n+1)}$ injective $\implies \varphi^{(m,n)}$ injective.

Theorem: $\varphi^{(m,n)}$ injective for certain $m \geq n > 1$,
 \implies Foulkes' conjecture true for all (m, r) with $1 \leq r \leq n$.

Observation: $\varphi^{(3,3)}$ and $\varphi^{(2,2)}$ injective.

Juby Jacob, Jürgen Müller (2003): $\varphi^{(4,4)}$ injective

What about $\varphi^{(5,5)}$???

End.-Ring of a Permutation Module

Let G act on Ω , $v_1 \in \Omega$, $H := \text{Stab}_G(v_1)$.

$$\Omega = v_1H \cup v_2H \cup \cdots \cup v_lH \quad (\text{disjoint})$$

for some $v_2, \dots, v_l \in \Omega$. Then

$$\Omega \times \Omega = (v_1, v_1)G \cup (v_1, v_2)G \cup \cdots \cup (v_1, v_l)G \quad (\text{disjoint})$$

are the G -orbits in $\Omega \times \Omega$ (diagonal action). The **Schur basis** of $\text{End}(\mathbb{Q}\Omega)$ consists of matrices $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(l)}$ with

$$A_{\omega, \omega'}^{(i)} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\omega, \omega') \in (v_1, v_i)G \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(with respect to the **natural basis, column convention**).

$\varphi^{(m,m)}$ expressed in the Schur basis

$\varphi^{(m,m)}$ is an endomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}\Omega_{m,m}$.

To express it in the Schur basis, look at first row of matrix:

Which vectors $v \in \Omega_{m,m}$ have the property, that

$$v_1 = [1, 1, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, 2, \dots, m, \dots, m]$$

occurs in $\varphi^{(m,m)}(v)$?

Exactly those of the form

$$v_2 := [1, 2, 3, \dots, m, \underbrace{1, 2, 3, \dots, m}_{\text{permuted}}, \dots, \underbrace{1, 2, 3, \dots, m}_{\text{permuted}}]$$

This is exactly the H -orbit $v_2 H \implies$ matrix of $\varphi^{(m,m)}$ is $A^{(2)}$.

Use regular representation of $\text{End}(\mathbb{Q}\Omega)$

We compute using the left regular representation:

$$A^{(2)} \cdot A^{(j)} =: \sum_{k=1}^l p_{2,j,k} \cdot A^{(k)}$$

Use **structure constants** of $\text{End}(\mathbb{Q}\Omega_{m,m})$.

Structure constants with respect to the Schur basis are intersection numbers:

$$p_{2,j,k} = |v_2 H g_k^{-1} \cap v_{j^*} H|$$

where $g_1, \dots, g_l \in G$ with $v_i = v_1 g_i$ (* some involution).

So: Run through $v_2 H$, apply g_k^{-1} , recognize H -orbit, **count**.

Size of the Problem

$$|G| = 25! \approx 1.5 \cdot 10^{25} \quad |H| = |S_5 \text{ wr } S_5| \approx 3 \cdot 10^{12}$$

$|\Omega_{5,5}| \approx 5 \cdot 10^{12}$, one vector uses 25 bytes

$\implies 1.3 \cdot 10^{14}$ bytes \approx 130 Terabyte!

$|v_2 H| \approx 2 \cdot 10^8$, $l = 1856$ (character theory).

So: $1856 \cdot 2 \cdot 10^8 \approx 3.8 \cdot 10^{11}$ operations,
for each: recognize H -orbit.

\implies **DOABLE** by parallelization!

But how can we recognize the H -orbit a vector v lies in?

(without storing the full orbit!)

A Trick

Let $U := S_5 \times \cdots \times S_5 \triangleleft H$.

Consider first all vectors in $V := V^{(5,5)}$.

Def.: We again call the lexicographically smallest vector in each U -orbit **U -minimal**.

Idea: Only store U -minimal vectors.

Problem: Action is perm. of entries + S -minimalization.

Lemma:

v S -minimal \implies the U -minimalization of v is S -minimal.

So:

(1) permute, (2) S -minimalize, (3) U -minimalize, (4) lookup.

\rightarrow get S -minimal and U -minimal vector

(there are only 2298891 of those).

Those we can classify beforehand into H -orbits.

The Computation

Precomputation:

- Enumerate all U - and S -minimal vectors in $\Omega_{5,5}$.
- Determine their distribution into the 1856 H -orbits.
- Compute at the same time permutations g_1, \dots, g_{1856} .

Main Computation (parallel, distribute data):

Run (parallelized) through v_2H , apply all g_i^{-1} , and do:

- S -minimalize
- U -minimalize
- lookup H -orbit
- count

The Result

≈ 20 modern PCs ≈ 12 hours CPU time (special C-program).

→ get 1856×1856 matrix for $A^{(2)}$ in left-regular representation with respect to Schur basis of $\text{End}(\mathbb{Q}\Omega_{5,5})$.

RESULT:

$\varphi^{(5,5)}$ is NOT INJECTIVE!

Bibliography

- [1] H. O. Foulkes: Concomitants of the quintic and sextic up to degree four in the coefficients of the ground form, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **25** (1950), 205–209.
- [2] S. C. Black and R. J. List, A note on plethysm, *European J. Combin.*, **10** (1989), no. 1, 111–112.