

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

q -Schur algebras, Wedderburn decomposition and James' conjecture

Max Neunhöffer



University of St Andrews

Stuttgart, 6 November 2010

q -Schur algebras

Max Neunhöffner

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

All this is joint work with

Olivier Brunat

(Paris)

Iwahori-Hecke-Algebras of type A

Let $W := S_r$ and S its Coxeter generators.

Let R be a commutative ring, and $v \in R^\times$.

The Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_W(R, v)$ is the R -free R -algebra with R -basis $(T_w)_{w \in W}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} T_w T_{w'} &= T_{ww'} && \text{if } l(ww') = l(w) + l(w'), \\ (T_s - v)(T_s + v^{-1}) &= 0 && \text{for } s \in S, \end{aligned}$$

where l is the length function on W .

A ring homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow R'$ induces another one:

$$\mathcal{H}_W(R, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_W(R', \varphi(v))$$

Set $A := \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$:

$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_W(A, v)$ is called the generic Hecke algebra.

$\varphi_e : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e), v \mapsto \zeta_e$ and $\varphi_\ell : A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\ell, v \mapsto u$ are called specialisations.

q-Schur algebras

Let $\Lambda(n, r) := \{\text{compositions of } r \text{ with at most } n \text{ parts}\}$.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ let W_λ be the parabolic subgroups of S_r .

We set $q := v^2$ and

$$S_q(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H} \right),$$

where $x_\lambda = \sum_{w \in W_\lambda} v^{l(w)} T_w \in \mathcal{H}$.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r)$ let $D_{\lambda, \mu}$ be the set of distinguished W_λ - W_μ -double coset representatives.

Let $M(n, r) := \{(\lambda, w, \mu) \mid \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r) \text{ and } w \in D_{\lambda, \mu}\}$.

Write for $\underline{a} = (\lambda, w, \mu) \in M(n, r)$:

$$\text{ro}(\underline{a}) := \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \text{co}(\underline{a}) := \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\underline{a}) := z,$$

where z is the longest element in $W_\lambda w W_\mu$.

Bases of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

$(T_w)_{w \in W}$ is an A -basis of $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_W(A, \nu)$.

In 1979 [Kazhdan](#) and [Lusztig](#) defined a basis $(C_w)_{w \in W}$:

$$\overline{C_w} = C_w \quad \text{and} \quad C_w = \sum_{y \leq w} p_{y,w} T_y \quad \text{for } w \in W$$

where $p_{y,w} \in \mathbb{Z}[\nu^{-1}]$ and $p_{w,w} = 1$ and \leq is the **Bruhat-Chevalley order** and $\overline{} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is the involution

$$\overline{\nu} := \nu^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\sum_{w \in W} a_w T_w} := \sum_{w \in W} \overline{a_w} T_{w^{-1}}.$$

The $p_{y,w}$ are the famous [Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials](#) and $(C_w)_{w \in W}$ the [Kazhdan-Lusztig basis](#).

Bases of the q -Schur algebra \mathcal{S}

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ has a standard basis $(\phi_{\lambda, \mu}^w)_{(\lambda, w, \mu) \in M(n, r)}$.

Recall

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_{\lambda} \mathcal{H} \right),$$

we have $\phi_{\lambda, \mu}^w \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(x_{\lambda} \mathcal{H}, x_{\mu} \mathcal{H})$.

Using $(C_w)_{w \in W}$, Du defined a basis $(\theta_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)}$ with **similar properties**.

We call it the **Du-Kazhdan-Lusztig basis** of $\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$.

What are these interesting properties?

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

Cells and cell modules I

Back to \mathcal{H} , let $(g_{x,y,z})_{x,y,z \in W}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$C_x \cdot C_y = \sum_{z \in W} g_{x,y,z} C_z$$

We have $g_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, the **coefficients are ≥ 0** !

Define $z \leq_L y$ if **there is** $x \in W$ with $g_{x,y,z} \neq 0$, that is:

C_z occurs in some $C_x \cdot C_y$ as above.

\leq_L is a **preorder**, this defines an **equivalence relation** \sim_L , the **equivalence classes** are called **left cells**.

For a left cell Λ and $z \in \Lambda$, define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\leq \Lambda} := \langle C_w \mid w \leq_L z \rangle_A \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{< \Lambda} := \langle C_w \mid w <_L z \rangle_A$$

and set $LC^{(\Lambda)} := \mathcal{H}_{\leq \Lambda} / \mathcal{H}_{< \Lambda}$, the **left cell module** of Λ with basis $(C_w + \mathcal{H}_{< \Lambda})_{w \in \Lambda}$.

Analogously: $z \leq_R x$ if **there is** $y \in W$ with $g_{x,y,z} \neq 0$.

Cells and cell modules II

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

Again \mathcal{S} , let $(f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}})_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c} \in M(n, r)}$ be the **structure constants**:

$$\theta_{\underline{a}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}} = \sum_{\underline{c} \in M(n, r)} f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}}$$

Lemma

We have $f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} = 0$ *unless*
 $\text{co}(\underline{a}) = \text{ro}(\underline{b})$ **and** $\text{ro}(\underline{c}) = \text{ro}(\underline{a})$ **and** $\text{co}(\underline{c}) = \text{co}(\underline{b})$, *and*

$$f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} = h_{\mu}^{-1} \cdot g(\sigma(\underline{a}), \sigma(\underline{b}), \sigma(\underline{c}))$$

in this case for some $0 \neq h_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$.

Define $\underline{c} \leq_L \underline{b}$ if **there is** $\underline{a} \in M(n, r)$ with $f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \neq 0$.

Define \sim_L , left cells, $\mathcal{S}_{\leq \Lambda}$, $\mathcal{S}_{< \Lambda}$ and $\text{LC}^{(\Lambda)}$ **exactly as for Hecke-algebras** (and R -version as well).

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

Simple cell modules

One of the wonders of the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis is:

Theorem (Kazhdan-Lusztig, Du)

For the field $K := \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and the extensions of scalars $K\mathcal{H}_W(A, v) = \mathcal{H}_W(K, v)$ and $KS_q(n, r)$ we have:

$KLC^{(\Lambda)}$ is a simple module for every left cell Λ .

This gives *filtrations* of $K\mathcal{H}$ and KS by *simple modules*.

Theorem (Dipper-James)

$\mathcal{H}_W(K, v)$ and $KS_q(n, r)$ are semisimple.

In fact, $\mathcal{H}_W(\mathbb{F}, u)$ is semisimple *unless* u is an e -th root of unity with $e \leq r$ (and likewise for $S_q(n, r)$).

Trace forms and dual bases

Let

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then τ is a **symmetrising trace form** on $\mathcal{H}_W(K, \nu)$, i.e.:

- $(h, h') \mapsto \tau(hh')$ is **bilinear** and
- **non-degenerate** and
- **symmetric**: $\tau(hh') = \tau(h'h)$ for all $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$.

Thus, for every basis $(B_w)_{w \in W}$ there is a **dual basis** $(B_w^\vee)_{w \in W}$ with

$$\tau(B_v B_w^\vee) = \delta_{v,w}.$$

We do **the same** for $K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ and use $(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)}$, **note**:

$$\text{If } h = \sum_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)} \beta_{\underline{a}} \theta_{\underline{a}} \quad \text{then } \beta_{\underline{b}} = \tau(h \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}}^\vee) \text{ for all } \underline{b} \in M(n, r),$$

and thus $f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} = \tau(\theta_{\underline{a}} \theta_{\underline{b}} \theta_{\underline{c}}^\vee)$ for all $\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c} \in M(n, r)$.

The asymptotic algebra

Let $\mathbf{a}(z)$ be the **highest degree** of a $g_{x,y,z}$ and $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ the **coefficient** of $g_{x,y,z}$ at $v^{\mathbf{a}(z)}$.

Using the $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}$ Lusztig defined:

- a subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq W$ of **distinguished involutions**,
- a semisimple A -algebra \mathcal{J}_A (the **asymptotic algebra**)
- a homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_W(\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}], v) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_A$. (the **Lusztig homomorphism**).

Du defined:

- $\mathcal{D}(n, r) := \{\underline{a} \in M(n, r) \mid \text{ro}(\underline{a}) = \text{co}(\underline{a}) \text{ and } \sigma(\underline{a}) \in \mathcal{D}\}$,
- $\mathcal{J}(n, r)_A$ with its **standard basis** $(t_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)}$, (the **asymptotic algebra**)
- with **identity** $\sum_{\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)} t_{\underline{d}}$, and
- the **Du-Lusztig hom.** $\Phi : \mathcal{S}_q(n, r) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(n, r)_A$.

Lusztig's conjectures **P1** to **P15**

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's **P1** to **P15**Statements **Q1** to **Q15**

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

Lusztig formulates 15 “conjectures” **P1** to **P15**:

P2 If $\gamma_{x,y,d^{-1}} \neq 0$ with $d \in \mathcal{D}$, then $x = y^{-1}$.

P3 For $y \in W$ exists a **unique** $d \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\gamma_{y^{-1},y,d^{-1}} \neq 0$.

P6 For $d \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $d = d^{-1}$.

P9 If $x \leq_L y$ and $\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(y)$, then $x \sim_L y$.

P10 If $x \leq_R y$ and $\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(y)$, then $x \sim_R y$.

P13 Every left cell contains a **unique** element $d \in \mathcal{D}$.

These are **proved** for $\mathcal{H}_W(A, \nu)$ if

- W is a **finite Weyl group**,
- W is an **affine Weyl group**,
- W is an **infinite dihedral group**.

For other Iwahori-Hecke algebras they are conjectures.

Statements Q1 to Q15

We prove for $\mathcal{S}_q(n, r)$ statements Q1 to Q15: Setting

$$\gamma_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}^t} := \begin{cases} \gamma(\sigma(\underline{a}), \sigma(\underline{b}), \sigma(\underline{c})^{-1}) & \text{if } f_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\underline{a}^t := (\mu, w^{-1}, \lambda)$ for $\underline{a} = (\lambda, w, \mu)$, we get:

Q2 If $\gamma_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{d}^t} \neq 0$ with $\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$, then $\underline{a} = \underline{b}^t$.

Q3 $\forall \underline{a} \in M(n, r) \exists$ a **unique** $\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$ with $\gamma_{\underline{a}^t, \underline{a}, \underline{d}^t} \neq 0$.

Q6 For $\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$ we have $\underline{d} = \underline{d}^t$.

Q9 If $\underline{a} \leq_L \underline{b}$ and $\mathbf{a}(\sigma(\underline{a})) = \mathbf{a}(\sigma(\underline{b}))$, then $\underline{a} \sim_L \underline{b}$.

Q10 If $\underline{a} \leq_R \underline{b}$ and $\mathbf{a}(\sigma(\underline{a})) = \mathbf{a}(\sigma(\underline{b}))$, then $\underline{a} \sim_R \underline{b}$.

Q13 Every left cell contains a **unique** element $\underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$.

Proofs use **P1** to **P15** and some additional q-Schur algebra arguments.

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

An explicit Wedderburn basis

Let Λ be a **left cell** such that $LC^{(\Lambda)}$ has character ψ and

$$\tau(h) := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(KS_q(n,r))} \frac{\chi(h)}{c_\chi}$$

for some elements $0 \neq c_\chi \in K$.

Then the **representing matrix** of $h \in \mathcal{S}_q(n,r)$ on $LC^{(\Lambda)}$ is

$$D^{(\Lambda)}(h) = \left(\tau(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee \cdot h \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}}) \right)_{\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \Lambda}.$$

Use **Frobenius-Schur relations** for $KS_q(n,r)$: Fix $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \Lambda$,

$$\sum_{\underline{c} \in M(n,r)} \tau(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}}^\vee \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}}) \cdot \theta_{\underline{c}} = \theta_{\underline{b}} \theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee$$

acts on $LC^{(\Lambda)}$ as a matrix with one entry 1 and 0 elsewhere.

An explicit Wedderburn basis II

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

Theorem (Wedderburn basis (Brunat, N., 2008/2010))

The set

$$\mathcal{B} := \left\{ c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^{\vee} \mid \underline{c} \in M(n, r), \underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r), \underline{c} \sim_L \underline{d} \right\}$$

is a **Wedderburn basis** of $KS_q(n, r)$.

For $c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^{\vee}$ and $c_{\underline{d}'}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}'} \theta_{\underline{d}'}^{\vee}$ in \mathcal{B} we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^{\vee}) \cdot (c_{\underline{d}'}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}'} \theta_{\underline{d}'}^{\vee}) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{LC}(\underline{d}) \not\cong \text{LC}(\underline{d}') \\ 0 & \text{if } \text{LC}(\underline{d}) \cong \text{LC}(\underline{d}') \text{ and } \underline{d} \not\sim_R \underline{c}' \\ c_{\underline{d}'}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}''} \theta_{\underline{d}'}^{\vee} & \text{if } \text{LC}(\underline{d}) \cong \text{LC}(\underline{d}') \text{ and } \underline{d} \sim_R \underline{c}' \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

\underline{c}'' is the **unique element** with $\underline{c}'' \sim_L \underline{d}'$ and $\underline{c}'' \sim_R \underline{c}$ and such a \underline{c}'' in fact exists.

The dual basis of \mathcal{B}

These relations immediately imply: The dual basis \mathcal{B}^\vee of

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \underline{c}_d^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee \mid \underline{c} \in M(n, r), \underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r), \underline{c} \sim_L \underline{d} \right\}$$

is

$$\mathcal{B}^\vee = \left\{ \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee \mid \underline{c} \in M(n, r), \underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n, r), \underline{c} \sim_L \underline{d} \right\}$$

In fact: $(\underline{c}_d^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee)^\vee = \theta_{\underline{c}^t} \theta_{\underline{d}'}^\vee$ where $\underline{c}^t \sim_L \underline{d}' \in \mathcal{D}(n, r)$.

Note:

$$\langle (\theta_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)} \rangle_A = \mathcal{S}_q(n, r) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_A$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{B}^\vee \rangle_A \subseteq \langle (\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)} \rangle_A$$

not depending on the choice of τ !

Preimages of the t_a

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

Since the formula for the Du-Lusztig homomorphism is

$$\Phi(h) = \sum_{\substack{b \in M(n,r) \\ \underline{d}' \in \mathcal{D}(n,r) \\ \underline{d}' \sim_L \underline{b}}} \tau(h \cdot \theta_{\underline{d}'} \theta_{\underline{b}}^\vee) \cdot t_{\underline{b}} \quad \text{for } h \in KS_q(n,r)$$

we can use **Q1** to **Q15** and our theorem to show:

Theorem (Preimages of the t_c (Brunat, N., 2008/2010))

Let τ be an *arbitrary* non-degenerate symmetrising trace form on $KS_q(n,r)$, then

$$\Phi(c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee) = t_{\underline{c}} \quad \text{for all } \underline{c} \in M(n,r),$$

where $c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^\vee \in \mathcal{B}$, that is $\underline{c} \sim_L \underline{d} \in \mathcal{D}(n,r)$.

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

In view of our [Wedderburn basis](#), we have for $A = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and $\mathcal{J}(n, r)_K := K\mathcal{J}(n, r)_A$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{S}_q(n, r) & \longrightarrow & \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_A & \longrightarrow & K\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) \\
 \parallel & & \Phi \downarrow \cong & & \Phi \downarrow \cong \\
 \mathcal{S}_q(n, r) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{J}(n, r)_A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}(n, r)_K
 \end{array}$$

since Du has shown that Φ is an **isomorphism after extension of scalars** to K .

Thus, the **Du-Lusztig homomorphism** is **the same** as the **inclusion**

$$\mathcal{S}_q(n, r) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_A.$$

Furthermore, we get that $\mathcal{J}(n, r)_A \cong \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_A$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of full matrix rings over A .

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual bases

The asymptotic algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's homomorphism revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

James' conjecture ...

Let $s := |M(n, r)|$ and $M = (m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}) \in A^{s \times s}$ be:

$$\Phi(\theta_{\underline{a}}) = \sum_{\underline{b} \in M(n, r)} m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}} \cdot t_{\underline{b}}$$

for all $\underline{b} \in M(n, r)$.

Let \mathbb{F}_ℓ be a finite prime field, $u \in \mathbb{F}_\ell$ of order $2e$, and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\varphi_e} & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2e}] \\ & \searrow \varphi_\ell & \swarrow \varphi_\ell^e \\ & \mathbb{F}_\ell & \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of ring homomorphisms ($\zeta_{2e} \in \sqrt[2e]{1} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ primitive) with $\varphi_e(v) = \zeta_{2e}$ and $\varphi_\ell(v) = u$.

We want to compare the representation theory of

$$KS_q(n, r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2e})S_q(n, r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{F}_\ell S_q(n, r).$$

... in a reformulation by Geck

Let $\varphi_\ell = \varphi_\ell^e \circ \varphi_e$ as above.

Theorem (Geck)

James' conjecture for q-Schur algebras is equivalent to the fact that for $\ell > r$ we have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2e})}(\varphi_e(M)) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(\varphi_\ell(M)),$$

where $\varphi_e(M) = (\varphi_e(m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}))$ and $\varphi_\ell(M) = (\varphi_\ell(m_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}))$.

That is, the **rank** of the matrix M when specialised to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2e})$ is the same as when specialised to \mathbb{F}_ℓ .

By our results, M is the **base change matrix** between

$$(\theta_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{a} \in M(n, r)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} = \{c_{\underline{d}}^{-1} \theta_{\underline{c}} \theta_{\underline{d}}^{\vee}\},$$

all within $KS_q(n, r)$!

q -Schur algebras

Max Neunhöffer

The Players

Algebras

Bases

Cells

Trace forms and dual
bases

The asymptotic
algebra

The Equipment

Lusztig's P1 to P15

Statements Q1 to
Q15

Wedderburn basis

Preimages of the t_a

Lusztig's
homomorphism
revisited

The Goal

James' conjecture

The End

A potential attack?

Let $Q_\tau = (q_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}})$ be the base change from $(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)$ to $(\theta_{\underline{a}})$

$$\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee = \sum_{\underline{b} \in M(n, r)} q_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}} \cdot \theta_{\underline{b}} \quad \text{for all } \underline{a} \in M(n, r),$$

and D be the one from \mathcal{B}^\vee to \mathcal{B} , which is monomial, then:

$$D = M^t \cdot Q_\tau \cdot M,$$

since M^t is the base change from \mathcal{B}^\vee to $(\theta_{\underline{a}}^\vee)$.

If, for some φ_e and φ_l , we could find a nice τ , such that

- the elements c_χ all lie in A ,
- $Q_\tau \in A^{s \times s}$, and
- the number of c_χ that vanish under φ_e is equal to the number of c_χ that vanish under φ_l ,

then James' conjecture would follow for φ_e and φ_l .